

Using Acknowledgments to Demonstrate Impact in STEM: An Underutilized Altmetric?

David E. Hubbard
Science & Engineering Librarian
Texas A&M University
hubbardd@library.tamu.edu

2020 STEM Librarians South Virtual Conference
Southern Methodist University
July 16, 2020

Overview

- Acknowledgments
 - Definition
 - Studies
 - Typology
 - Sources
- Acknowledgments as an Altmetric?
- Use Cases in STEM (Impact)
 - Funding Acknowledgments
 - Individual Researchers
 - Centers, Core Facilities, etc.
 - STEM Librarians and Libraries
- Conclusion

Acknowledgments - Definition

“an author's statement of indebtedness to others”
(Acknowledgment, 2017)

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was made possible by funding from The American Phytopathological Society to P. D. Peterson and an NSF Science, Technology, and Society Grant (no. 0724650) awarded to K.-B. G. Scholthof. We appreciate the assistance of archivists at Iowa State University (Park Library), Cornell University (Division of Rare & Manuscript Collections, Kroch Library), and the National Archives. We also are indebted to C. Griffith, W. Kimler, and H. Scholthof for their helpful comments and suggestions on drafts of the presentations and the manuscript.

(Peterson & Scholthof, 2010)

Acknowledgment Studies

- Earliest studies of acknowledgments
 - Mackintosh (1972) and Chubin (1975)
- Blaise Cronin pioneered the field in the 1990s
 - Let the Credits Roll (1991)
 - The Scholar's Courtesy (1995)
 - About 20 articles from 1991 to 2012
- Types of Acknowledgment Studies (IMHO)
 - Typology
 - Textual Analysis
 - Funding Sources
 - Sociology of Science

See Desrochers, Paul-Hus, and Pescoskie (2017) for a meta-analysis of acknowledgment research.

Acknowledgment Studies (con't)

- Using acknowledgments to demonstrate the impact of libraries: (Finnell, 2014; Scrivener, 2009; Stigberg et al., 2015; Hubbard and colleagues)
- Articles focused on acknowledgments, libraries, and STEM:
 - Demonstrating Library Impact Through Acknowledgment: An Examination of Acknowledgments in Theses and Dissertations. (Hubbard, Laddusaw, Kitchens, & Kimball, 2018)
 - Representation of Libraries in Funding Acknowledgments. (Hubbard & Laddusaw, 2019)
 - Acknowledgment of Libraries in the Journal Literature: An Exploratory Study. (Hubbard & Laddusaw, 2020)

Acknowledgment Typologies

- Citing Cronin et al. (1992), Costas and van Leeuwen (2012) list the following types of acknowledgments:
 - Moral Support
 - Access
 - Clerical Support
 - Technical Support
 - Financial Support
 - Peer Interactive Communication
- Other typologies exist (e.g. Paul-Hus & Desrochers, 2019)

Acknowledgment Sources

- CiteSeer and AckSeer (Giles & Council, 2004, Khabza et al., 2012)
- Web of Science
 - Includes funding acknowledgment text
 - SCI (2008), SSCI (2015), AHCI (2017)
(Mangan, 2019; J. Figueroa, personal communication, July 10, 2020)
 - Only acknowledgments with funding information
- Scopus
 - Funding acknowledgment text added in 2017 (Beatty, 2017)
 - See Liu (2020) for a Scopus - Web of Science comparison
- Dimensions
 - Includes all acknowledgments, not just those with funding
 - No field searching, though using an API may be an option

Acknowledgments as an Altmetric?

- Altmetrics are “generally understood as metrics that measure impact beyond citations...” (Haustein et al., 2016 p. 374)
- Acknowledgments fit that definition, but almost never mentioned.
- Acknowledgment-based metrics mentioned in the 1990s, then discussed for the next 30 years.
- I personally believe that acknowledgments can provide information for richer qualitative assessments and narratives, though probably not as a metric or indicator.

Selective Use Cases in STEM (Impact)

- **Funding Acknowledgments**

- Funding Organizations
 - Locating research funded
- National or Global
 - Examining funding trends
- University
 - Exploring funding awarded
- Individual
 - Identifying areas funded

Selective Use Cases in STEM (Impact)

- **Acknowledgment of Individuals**

Case: A researcher may provide support to others (access, technical, etc.), though it doesn't rise to the level of co-authorship.

Approach: Search acknowledgments for the researcher by name.

Example: "We thank [Named Professor] for valuable comments and for sharing computational resources."

Selective Use Cases in STEM (Impact)

- **Acknowledgment of Centers, Core Facilities, etc.**

Case: A unit within the university, like a center or core facility, may provide technical support to researchers and they may want to verify that support is being acknowledged.

Approach: Search acknowledgments for the name of the center, core facility, etc. Like name searches, consider name variants.

Example: “The authors also want to acknowledge [Named Researcher] for valuable discussion and the Laboratory for Molecular Simulation at Texas A&M University for providing computing resources.”

Selective Use Cases in STEM (Impact)

- **Acknowledgment of STEM Librarians and Libraries**

Case: A librarian or library may provide technical expertise to researchers, though it doesn't rise to the level as co-authorship.

Approach: Search acknowledgments for the name of the librarian or library. Like the previous searches, name ambiguities and variants exist.

Examples:

(1) "The authors would like to thank [Named Librarian] for her guidance on systematic literature review methods..."

(2) "Maps were generated with help from the Map and GIS Collections and Services at the Texas A&M University Libraries. State boundaries, coastline and bathymetry data are from Tobin Global Planner..."

Conclusion

- Acknowledgments are an important aspect of the scholarly communications that are worth exploring.
- Web of Science and Scopus include the full text of funding acknowledgments, which is readily searchable through the Advanced Search. Dimensions includes the full text of all acknowledgments, but not as easily searchable.
- Acknowledgments may be used to demonstrate impact of STEM organizations, researchers and librarians.
- The number of acknowledgments is often small, but may provide a means for richer qualitative information for assessments and narratives though probably not as a metric or indicator.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Sierra Laddusaw, Joel Kitchens, and Rusty Kimball for collaborating and exploring acknowledgments with me. I would also like to thank Bruce Neville for helpful comments on two acknowledgment manuscripts, and the reviewers and others for their useful feedback on this research over the years.

References

Acknowledgment. (2017). Oxford English Dictionary Online (2nd ed.), Oxford, England: Oxford University Press. Available from <http://www.oed.com.srv-proxy2.library.tamu.edu/view/Entry/1614?redirectedFrom=acknowledgment>

Beatty, S. (2017). New on Scopus: Link to datasets, search funding acknowledgements and find more CiteScore transparency. Retrieved from <https://blog.scopus.com/posts/new-on-scopus-link-to-datasets-search-funding-acknowledgements-and-find-more-citescore>

Chubin, D. E. (1975). Trusted assessorship in science: A relation in need of data. *Social Studies of Science*, 5(3), 362-367.

Costas, R., & van Leeuwen, T. N. (2012). Approaching the "reward triangle": General analysis of the presence of funding acknowledgments and "peer interactive communication" in scientific publications. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 63(8), 1647-1661.

Cronin, B. (1991). Let the credits roll - A preliminary examination of the role played by mentors and trusted assessors in disciplinary formation. *Journal of Documentation*, 47(3), 227-239.

Cronin, B. (1995). *The scholar's courtesy: The role of acknowledgement in the primary communication process*. London: Taylor Graham.

Cronin, B., McKenzie, G., & Stiffler, M. (1992). Patterns of acknowledgement. *Journal of Documentation*, 48(2), 107-122.

Desrochers, N., Paul-Hus, A., & Pecoskie, J. (2017). Five decades of gratitude: A meta-synthesis of acknowledgments research. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(12), 2821-2833.

References (con't)

Finnell, J. (2014). Much obliged: Analyzing the importance and impact of acknowledgements in scholarly communication. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1229. Available from <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/1229/?utm>

Giles, C. L., & Council, I. G. (2004). Who gets acknowledged: Measuring scientific contributions through automatic acknowledgment indexing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101(51), 17599–17604.

Haustein, S., Bowman, T. D., & Costas, R. (2016). Interpreting “altmetrics”: Views acts on social media through the lens of citation and social theories (p. 372-406). In C. R. Sugimoto (Ed.), *Theories of informetrics and scholarly communication*. Berlin: De Gruyter Saur.

Hubbard, D. E., & Laddusaw, S. (2020). Acknowledgment of libraries in the journal literature: An exploratory study. *Journal of Data and Information Science*. Available from <https://doi.org/10.2478/jdis-2020-0023>

Hubbard, D. E., & Laddusaw, S. (2019). Representation of libraries in funding acknowledgments. In G. Catalano, C. Daraio, M. Gregori, H. F. Moed, & G. Ruocco (Eds.), *17th International Conference on Scientometrics & Informetrics* (pp. 2604-2605). Leuven, Belgium: International Society for Scientometrics and Informetrics.

Hubbard, D. E., Laddusaw, S., Kitchens, J., & Kimball, R. (2018). Demonstrating library impact through acknowledgment: An examination of acknowledgments in theses and dissertations. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 44(3), 404-411.

Khabsa, M., Treeratpituk, P., & Giles, C. L. (2012). Ackseer: A repository and search engine for automatically extracted acknowledgments from digital libraries. *Proceedings of the 12th ACM/IEEE-CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries*. Washington, DC: Association for Computing Machinery.

References (con't)

Liu, W. S. (2020). Accuracy of funding information in Scopus: A comparative case study. *Scientometrics*, 124(1), 803 -811.

Mackintosh, K. H. (1972). *Acknowledgement patterns in sociology* (Doctoral dissertation), University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon.

Mangan, R. (2019). Need funding data? Exploring funding data in Web of Science. Retrieved from https://wok.mimas.ac.uk/support/documentation/presentations/english_Funding_data_web_of_science.pdf

Paul-Hus, A., & Desrochers, N. (2019). Acknowledgements are not just thank you notes: A qualitative analysis of acknowledgements content in scientific articles and reviews published in 2015. *PLOS One*, 14(12), 13. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0226727

Peterson, P. D., & Scholthof, K. B. G. (2010). The society that almost wasn't: Issues of professional identity and the creation of the American Phytopathological Society in 1908. *Phytopathology*, 100(1), 14-20. doi:10.1094/phyto-100-1-0014

Scrivener, L. (2009). An exploratory analysis of history students' dissertation acknowledgments. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 35(3), 241-251.

Stigberg, S., Guittar, M., & Morse, G. (2015). Capturing qualitative data: Northwestern University special libraries' acknowledgments database. *Portal*, 15(4), 571-585.

Questions?