Comparative Status of the Woodbine in Oil Production

By G. J. LOETTERLE*

While the relative importance of the Woodbine as an oil producing formation is well known in a general way, the purpose of this study is to bring that importance into more specific focus. It is hoped thereby to emphasize even more clearly the economic significance of the present field excursion, and to highlight the tremendous role of Woodbine production as a natural resource.

Attention is called to the accompanying tables 1 and 2, on which the pertinent data are shown. These tabulations are largely selfexplanatory, and little need be added to the information they present. From table 2 it will be seen that twenty-seven Woodbine oil fields are listed and that these fields had produced the large total of about 3,452,000,000 barrels to January 1, 1951. We did not include in this table a few very minor fields, mostly one-well areas, which produced large amounts of water initially and which were abandoned in a short time after yielding a very small amount of oil. It should be noted that table 1 lists oil production only, and that the many important Woodbine gas fields are not included. Many of these Woodbine oil fields, such as Cayuga, Long Lake, Oakwood, and Navarro Crossing, are also important gas producers.

Table 2 presents a statistical study of Woodbine oil production in relation to the world total, the United States total, and the totals from the more important oil producing countries and individual states. The percentage figures tell an important story and are well worth studying. For example, the outstanding significance of the Woodbine formation in world and national oil production is readily demonstrated. It will be observed that the Woodbine has produced approximately 5 per cent of the world total, which means that of every twenty barrels of oil produced throughout the world one barrel has come from the Woodbine formation of East Texas. Similarly, the Woodbine has produced over 8 per cent of the United States total and over 25 per cent of the total for Texas. This state, in turn, has produced 33 per cent of the total for the United States. The large East Texas Field has produced approximately 80 per cent of the total Woodbine production.

The figures shown in table 2 indicate in detailed form the role of the Woodbine and of the United States in world oil production. Of great importance to us as Americans is the fact that the United States

[21]

1

^{*}Hudnall, Pirtle & Loetterle, Petroleum Geologists, Tyler, Texas.

5)	6)
4	4	4	1

FONDREN SCIENCE SERIES

Fondren Science Series, Vol. 1, No. 4 [], Art. 5

	Year of Discovery	$1927 \\ 1934$	$1927 \\ 1942 \\ 1921 \\ 1930$	1937 1940 1950 1938 1933	1939 1920 1938 1939 1939	$\begin{array}{c} 1923\\ 1924\\ 1934\\ 1948\\ 1948\\ 1948\\ 1948\\ 1948\\ 1946\\ 1924\end{array}$	
	Cumulative Production in Bbls. to 1-1-51	5,475,000 49,383,000	330,000 * 15,000 * 15,000 * 7,108,000 2,777,631,000	$\begin{array}{c} 914,000\\ 118,301,000\\ 7,000\\ 753,000\\ 22,010,000\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 91,000 \\ 101,918,000 \\ 1,541,000 \\ 3,020,000 \\ 24,500 \\ 676,000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 119,319,000\\ 6,862,000\\ 261,000*\\ 10,000\\ 271,000\\ 211,138,000\\ 1,127,000\\ 1,127,000\\ 23,528,000\\ 23,528,000\end{array}$	3,451,947,000
OF EAST TEXAS	Depth of Producing Horizon	3600 ft. 3775-4075	2900 4500 3700	2900-3100 4100-4800 5300 5200 5200	$\begin{array}{c} 3150\\ 2900-3100\\ 5700-5850\\ 2800\\ 4050\end{array}$	2900 2950 5100 5300 5300 2600-2900 5710 2750 2950	
WOODBINE OIL FIELDS OF EAST TEXAS	Type of Structure	Salt Dome Anticline	Fault Closure Salt Dome Fault Closure Shoreline	Fault Closure Faulted Dome Shoreline Fault Closure Anticline	Fault Closure Fault Closure Anticline Fault Closure Anticline	Pownwarped suc- of fault Fault Closure Structural Nose Small Dome Fault Closure Fault Closure Fault Closure Small Dome Fault Closure Fault Closure	
MOC	County	Anderson Anderson-Henderson- Freestone	Limestone Anderson Navarro Gregg-Upshur-Smith-	Henderson-Navarro Wood Anderson Cherokee Anderson-Leon-	rrespond Limestone Houston Limestone Leon Rusk	Navarro Navarro Cherokee Cinerokee Limestone Van Zandt Hunt Cherokee Freestone-Limestone	
	Field	Boggy Creek Cayuga	Cedar Creek Concord Currie East Texas	Flag Lake Hawkins Ed Howard Lone Star Long Lake	Mabank Mexia Navarro Crossing Nigger Creek Oakwood Pleasant Grove	Powell Richland South Rusk Southern Pine Tehuacana Van Weches Wieland Wm. Wise Wortham	Total *Depleted Field.

TABLE 1

WOODBINE OIL FIELDS OF FAST TEXAS

https://scholar.smu.edu/fondrenscienceseries/vol1/iss4/5

THE WOODBINE AND ADJACENT STRATA

23

TABLE 2

COMPARATIVE PRODUCTION BY AREAS

Area	Barrels Cumulative to 1-1-51	Per Cent of World Total	Per Cent of U. S. Total	Per Cent of Texas Total
World	65,536,000,000	100.00		
United States	40,917,000,000	62.43	100.00	
Russia	6,555,000,000	10.00		
Venezuela	5,546,000,000	8.46		
Texas	13,721,000,000	20.94	33.53	
California	8,620,000,000	13.15	21.07	
Oklahoma	6,262,000,000	9.55	15.30	
Louisiana	2,561,000,000	3.91	6.26	
Woodbine of				
East Texas	3,452,000,000	5.27	8.44	25.16

Note: The East Texas Field total of 2,777,631,000 barrels (Table 1) constitutes 80.43 per cent of the total for the Woodbine of East Texas and 20.24 per cent of the total for the state.

has produced some 62 per cent of the total world oil output. While this fact attests our leadership in exploration and development, as well as in the abundance of oil with which this great land has been blessed, it also serves to point out the importance to the nation of a progressive and aggressive exploratory and drilling program so that new reserves may continually be made available.