Southern Methodist University

SMU Scholar

Perkins Faculty Research and Special Events

Perkins School of Theology

Spring 1-18-2019

Three reasons Martin Luther King Jr. disliked being labeled "civil rights leader"

Theodore Walker twalker@smu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.smu.edu/theology_research

Part of the African American Studies Commons, Applied Ethics Commons, Christianity Commons, Digital Humanities Commons, Ethics and Political Philosophy Commons, Intellectual History Commons, Political History Commons, Religious Thought, Theology and Philosophy of Religion Commons, and the United States History Commons

Recommended Citation

Walker, Theodore, "Three reasons Martin Luther King Jr. disliked being labeled "civil rights leader"" (2019). *Perkins Faculty Research and Special Events*. 18. https://scholar.smu.edu/theology_research/18

This document is brought to you for free and open access by the Perkins School of Theology at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Perkins Faculty Research and Special Events by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit http://digitalrepository.smu.edu.

By 1967, Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. had come to dislike being called a "civil rights leader." Here are **three reasons King disliked being labeled "civil rights leader:"**

(1) **Rev. King was first and foremost a religious leader, a preacher of the gospel**, not a secular civil rights leader like Stokely Carmichael or Floyd McKissick.

(2) Going beyond "civil rights," **Rev. King was committed to abolishing poverty by advancing "economic rights."** Constitutionally protected "civil rights" do not include "economic rights." Hence, King called for amending the US Constitution by adding an "economic Bill of Rights." Advancing "economic rights" (full employment supplemented by "guaranteed income") goes well beyond advancing constitutionally protected "civil rights" (such as voting rights). Restricting King to "civil rights" means excluding King from "economic rights." And so the label "civil rights leader" was being used against King. The "civil rights" label was being used to exclude King's call for "economic rights."

(3) Going beyond domestic "civil rights," **Rev. King was committed to nonviolence among nations, and thus to ending war in Vietnam.** When nonviolence is placed under the category of domestic "civil rights," it means US citizens should be nonviolent with respect to other US citizens. Non-citizens do not have constitutionally protected "civil rights." King's philosophy of nonviolence reached beyond concern for US citizens. King called for ending war in Vietnam. Restricting King's nonviolence to domestic "civil rights" means excluding King's nonviolence from international affairs. Again, the label "civil rights leader" was being used against King. The "civil rights" label was being used to exclude King's call for ending war in Vietnam.

Going beyond conceiving of King as a domestic "civil rights leader," we should see Rev. Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. as a Holy Spirit inspired global "human rights leader."

Theodore Walker Jr., Perkins School of Theology, SMU