

is incomplete since many forms cannot be identified to species on nymphal characters alone, and a lack of time prevented the rearing of these nymphs to adulthood.

3. *Hexagenia bilineata*, *Stenonema birdi*, *Choroterpes*, *Baetis*, *Caenis*, and *Tricorythodes* have been reported from Texas and Oklahoma, but as far as I can see, no one area has been systematically covered. All other listings here of species comprise new distributional records for the Southwest.

4. Among the stream-forms, the genus *Stenonema* was the most generally distributed, and was usually present in the greatest numbers.

5. Definite correlations between physical adaptations and habitat-preferences were observed and recorded.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- BERNER, L. (1950) "The Mayflies of Florida." *University of Florida Studies*, Biological Science Series, Vol. IV, No. 4.
- LEONARD, J. W. (1947) "Differences in the Occurrence of Nymphs of Two Species of Burrowing Mayflies in Fish Stomachs." *Annals, Entomological Society of America*, 40: 688-91.
- LINDUSKA, J. P. (1942) "Bottom Type as a Factor Influencing the Local Distribution of Mayfly Nymphs." *Canadian Entomologist*, 74: 26-30.
- LYMAN, F. E. (1943) "Swimming and Burrowing Activities of Mayfly Nymphs of the Genus *Hexagenia*." *Annals, Entomological Society of America*, 36: 250-56.
- LYMAN, F. E. (1945) "Reactions of Certain Nymphs of *Stenonema* (Ephemeroptera) to Light as related to Habitat Preference." *Ibid.*, 38: 234-36.
- MORGAN, A. H. (1913) "Contribution to the Biology of Mayflies." *Ibid.*, 6: 392-426.
- MORGAN, A. H. (1930) *Field Book of Ponds and Streams*. G. P. Putnam's Sons, New York and London.
- NEEDHAM, J. G., JAY R. TRAYER, AND YIN-CHI HSU (1935) *The Biology of Mayflies*. Comstock Publishing Co., Inc., Ithaca, New York.
- NEEDHAM, J. G., & P. R. NEEDHAM (1941) *A Guide to the Study of Fresh-water Biology*. Comstock Publishing Co., Inc., Ithaca, New York.

## Note

BIRTHPLACE OF FERDINAND RUGEL (1806-79), EARLY SOUTHERN BOTANIST.—In my biographical sketch of Rugel (FIELD & LABORATORY, v. 16, 1948, pp. 113-19), I stated [following Urban, *Symbolae Antillanae*, v. 3, 1902, 115] that Rugel was born near Altdorf (present Weingarten) in Württemberg. Resumés of my paper appeared, by Prof. E. Bünning of the Botanical Institute of the University of Tübingen (*Schwäbisches Tagblatt*, 3 Aug., 1948) and Prof. Dr. Lehmann, also of Tübingen (*Pharmazeutische Zeitung*, 22 June, 1949.) These resumés elicited correspondence from Apotheker Paul Braun of Weingarten, who kindly looked up the records in the church books at Weingarten. Ferdinand Rugel's full name was "Ferdinand Ignatius Xavier Rugel," which clearly proclaims the Confession into which he was born. From these records it further appears that Rugel was not born at Weingarten, but at the village of Wolfegg on the Ach, some twelve kilometers east of Weingarten. The date of Rugel's birth was given as "December 24, 1806" both by Urban and the tombstone in the old Westminster graveyard near White Pine, Jefferson Co., Tenn. In my paper (p. 114), a *lapsus calami* gives the month of Rugel's birth as January instead of December, the obviousness of which error appears later (p. 117). Herr Braun of Weingarten informs me that the date of Rugel's birth given in the church records is December 17, instead of December 24, 1806. A brother of the botanist was long burgo-master of Weingarten; and many members of the Rugel family still live in Weingarten.—S. W. GEISER, Professor of Biology, Southern Methodist University.