Notes on Megathymus, with Description of a New Species (Lepidoptera, Rhopalocera, Megathymidae)

H. A. Freeman

Megathymus neumoegeni Edwards.

neumoegeni Edwards 1882, Papilio, II, 27. Type—. Brooklyn Museum.

syn. aryxna Dyar 1905: male, Mexico: fig. Druce, pl. 69/4 male. Type—. British Museum.

drucei Skinner 1911: male, N. Sonora, Mexico: fig. 1906, pl. 69/3 male. Type—. British Museum.

Confusion has existed for some years as to the status of aryxna Dyar and neumoegeni Edwards. Edwards was confused in the sexes of his specimens when he described neumoegeni; apparently he described his “male” and female from two females. This caused Dyar to describe the male of neumoegeni under the name of aryxna. Dyar’s discussion of aryxna is as follows:—“This is the form figured in the Biologia Cent.-Am. Lep. Het. III, pl. 69, figs. 3 and 4. It differs from neumoegeni in having the fulvous markings considerably reduced, the outer band being broken into spots. I have ten specimens from Arizona from Dr. Barnes and Mr. Poling.” Skinner thought that Fig. 3 (supra) was not neumoegeni, and thus named it drucei; and Fig. 4 was taken as the type of aryxna. Both of these specimens have been dissected in the British Museum and found to be neumoegeni males.

Barnes and McDunnough were in part correct when they attempted to divide neumoegeni into two species, as two distinct species are involved; their usage of the name aryxna for one of them is, however, not valid, as it is a synonym of neumoegeni. Barnes and McDunnough suggested that Dyar shift his concept but this also would not have been valid.

Megathymus evansi new species.

This species is similar in many respects to neumoegeni, but there are several marked differences in both sexes. In the males, the spots on both pairs of wings are larger and the general wing shape is different. In evansi the dorsum of the primaries is longer than neumoegeni in proportion to the costa. The insect is also somewhat larger in size, averaging
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56 mm.; whereas *neumoegeni* averages 52 mm. On the lower surface of the wings the ground color is much darker in *evansi* and the whitish discal band on the secondaries is much better marked. The females have the spots on the primaries forming a broad band connecting with the spot in the cell, and the general shape of the wing is broader in comparison with that of *neumoegeni*. There are certain differences in the male genitalia of *evansi*, the uncus being broader, with the lower clasp of the prong straight, instead of being curved as in *neumoegeni*.

On the wing it is easy to separate the two species. The broader wings with a somewhat greater expanse gives *evansi* a much larger appearance and also add to the agility of the insect. The females of *evansi* fly six to ten feet above the surface of the ground and are very quick on the wing. The females of *neumoegeni* fly much slower and nearer the ground, usually only two to three feet high, and have the habit of alighting on rocks and also on the soil.

I take pleasure in naming this species for Brig. W. H. Evans of the British Museum, as he was the first to detect its true identity.

Described from 129 males and 18 females. Twenty-four of the males were borrowed from the American Museum of Natural History, from the following localities in Arizona: Cochise County, Sept. and Oct.; Palmerlee, Cochise County, Oct.; Southern Arizona, Sept. 1900 (Poling); and Arizona, Oct. Howell Daly collected 12 males and 1 female (Sept. 11, 1950) and 29 males (Sept. 12, 1950) in Ramsey Canyon, Cochise County, Arizona. I have one male in my collection from Paradise, Ariz. (Sept. 1940) and one male. Arizona (Oct., 1904). On Sept. 11, 1950 I collected 32 males and seven females and on the following day 30 males and ten females in Ramsey Canyon, Cochise County, Arizona.

*Holotype* male, Sept. 11, 1950, Ramsey Canyon, Cochise County, Arizona (H. A. Freeman) will be placed in the American Museum of Natural History. *Allotype* female, Sept. 11, 1950, Ramsey Canyon, Cochise County, Arizona (H. A. Freeman) is in my collection. Twenty-four male *paratypes* and one female *paratype* are in the American Museum of Natural History. Forty-one male *paratypes* and one female *paratype* are in the collection of Howell Daly,
and the remaining 63 male and 16 female paratypes are in my collection.

In preparing this description of *evansi* the new species was compared with 134 males and 30 females of *neumoegeni* from various localities in Arizona and northern Mexico.

Osteology of the Skull of *Phrynosoma cornutum* (Harlan)

Arthur J. Detrie

The horned lizard, *Phrynosoma cornutum*, was first described by Harlan as *Agama cornuta*; in 1831, J. E. Gray transferred the species to the genus *Phrynosoma*. Much work has been done on the species. Many of the references to *Phrynosoma cornutum* are of a taxonomic nature, while references to its morphology are few and the material in the papers is scant. Description of the osteology of the skull are lacking.

Potter uses *Phrynosoma cornutum* as one of his examples of the Reptilia, and his accurate descriptions in his textbook and laboratory manual are widely quoted. This is practically the only ready reference of any value on the anatomy of *Phrynosoma*.

Standard methods were used in the preparation of the materials for this study. Disarticulated skulls were prepared by the maceration of fresh materials. Preserved specimens were used for determination of gross relationships. Sagittal, parasagittal, and various oblique sections were made. Dissection of decalcified specimens, as well as dissection of frozen specimens, made it possible to trace many soft parts.

Description of *Phrynosoma cornutum*

The horned lizard ranges from eastern Kansas (and perhaps western central Arkansas) southward through most of Texas to northern Mexico, and westward through Colorado Springs, Colo. One individual from Fort Benton, Missouri

---

1Thesis, submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of M.S. in Biology, Southern Methodist University. With grateful acknowledgements to Professor Joseph P. Harris, Jr., for generous aid given at all times, and to Professor S. W. Geiser, for his critical reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions, both in regard to manuscript and drawings. I am also grateful to Professor W. M. Longnecker for his suggestions, and reading of the manuscript.


