

2001

Front Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc>

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 66 J. Air L. & Com. i (2001)
<https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc/vol66/iss3/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Air Law and Commerce by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit <http://digitalrepository.smu.edu>.

The Journal of Air Law and Commerce

VOLUME 66

SUMMER 2001

NUMBER 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- Military Aircraft and
International Law:
Chicago Opus 3 *Michel Bourbonniere* 885
and Louis Haeck
- Competition in the Air: European
Union Regulation of
Commercial Aviation *Paul Stephen Dempsey* 979
- The Montreal Convention: Analysis of
some Aspects of the Attempted
Modernization and Consolidation of
the Warsaw System *Pablo Mendes De Leon* 1155
and Werner Eyskens
- Giving Power Back to the Passengers:
The Airline Passengers'
Bill of Rights *Zachary Garsek* 1187
- The Pilot Records Improvement Act of 1996:
Unintended Consequences *John J. Nance* 1225
and Charles David Thompson
- Untangling a Tangled Web Without Trial:
Using the Court's Inherent Powers and
Rules to Deny a Perjuring Litigant
His Day in Court *Jonathan M. Stern* 1251

COMMENTS

- Owolabi v. Air France* and *Moses v. Air Afrique*—
District Courts Deny Relief to Plaintiffs
Injured by Torts Committed by
State-Owned Airlines *Paige Holden Montgomery* 1299

Fourth Circuit Holds that the Common
Law Definition of “Maliciously” Does Not
Apply in the Context of 18 U.S.C.A. § 35—
United States v. Hassouneh,
199 F.3d 175 (4th Cir. 2000) *Shahnaz Panchbhaya* 1307

Who’s the Boss?: New York Federal
District Court Holds That Sight-Seeing
Flights are not Regulated by the
Airline Deregulation Act of 1994—
SeaAir NY, Inc. v. City of New York,
2000 U.S. Dist. Lexis 12115 *Travis M. Perry* 1313

The Continuing Legal Battle to Define
Air Service at Love Field—
Fifth Circuit Holds that Department of
Transportation is in Better Position
than Courts to Interpret Provisions
of Wright and Shelby Amendments *Ken Thomas* 1321