

Journal of Air Law and Commerce

Volume 67 | Issue 3

Article 1

2002

Front Matter

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 67 J. AIR L. & COM. i (2002)
<https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc/vol67/iss3/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Air Law and Commerce by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit <http://digitalrepository.smu.edu>.

The Journal of Air Law and Commerce

VOLUME 67

SUMMER 2002

NUMBER 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLES

- Crisis Management Toward Restoring
Confidence in Air Transport—
Legal and Commercial Issues..... *Ruwantissa Abeyratne* 595
- Safety Oversight: Federal Aviation Administration,
International Civil Aviation Organization,
and Central American Aviation
Safety Agency..... *Olga Barreto* 651
- Predation, Competition & Antitrust Law:
Turbulence in the Airline
Industry *Paul Stephen Dempsey* 685
- Hoover Revisited—Appellate Review of
FAA Emergency Certificate Actions..... *Hilary B. Miller* 841
- Aviation Professionals and the Threat of
Criminal Liability—How Do We Maximize
Aviation Safety?..... *NTSB Bar Association, Select Comm.* 875
On Aviation Public Policy
- Re-Regulation and Airline Passengers’
Rights *Timothy M. Ravich* 935

COMMENTS

- The Ninth Circuit Holds that Physical
Manifestations of Emotional and
Mental Distress Do Not Satisfy the
Warsaw Convention’s “Bodily Injury”
Requirement—*Carey v. United Airlines* *J. Brent Alldredge* 1001
- Airport Expansion—Costs vs. Environmental
Damage When Expanding Airport Facilities—
the Eighth Circuit Holds that All
Reasonable Alternative Solutions Need Not
Be Explained in Great Detail in the FAA’s
Final Environmental Impact Statement—
City of Bridgeton v. FAA..... *Shelby Angel* 1009

The FAA Tarnishes A National Park—*Friends of Gateway v. Slater*: the Second Circuit Ignores Congressional Intent and Contradicts Its Own Holding in *Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. Marsh*..... *Erwin Caban* 1015

The Dallas Court of Appeals Holds That the *Sabine Pilot* Exception That Prevents an Employer from Terminating an At-Will Employee for the Sole Reason of Refusing to Perform an Illegal Act Does Not Extend to Employees Protected by a Collective Bargaining Agreement—*Simmons Airlines v. Lagrotte*..... *Shannon R. Jones* 1023