

SMU Law Review

Volume 55 | Issue 4 Article 1

January 2002

Front Matter

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 55 SMU L. Rev. i (2002) https://scholar.smu.edu/smulr/vol55/iss4/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in SMU Law Review by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit http://digitalrepository.smu.edu.

SMU Law Review

VOLUME 55 FALL 2002 NUMBER 4

© 2002 by Southern Methodist University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TRIBUTE TO WILLIAM J. FLITTIE	
My Friend Flittie	1395
William J. Flittie—A Tribute Charles O. Galvin	1399
William Joseph Flittie—In Memoriam (1920-2000) Joseph W. McKnight	1401
Essay	
Judge Robert A. Ainsworth, Jr. Memorial Lecture, Loyola University School of Law: So Why Do We Call Them Trial Courts?Patrick E. Higginbotham	1405
Articles	
"Red Rover, Red Rover, Send That Expert Right Over": Clearing the Way for Parties to Introduce the Testimony of Their Opponents' Expert Witnesses	1427
"Failure to Communicate": The Reel Prison Experience Melvin Gutterman	1515
Attacking the Copyright Evildoers in Cyberspace	1561
Misclassifying Monetary Restitution Colleen P. Murphy	1577
The Comparable Roles in Social Legislation and Civil Rights of a Conventional Jewish Female and an Unconventional Black Homosexual Male: Belle Moskowitz and Bayard Rustin Jeffrey O'Connell and Thomas E. O'Connell	1641

Sex, Marriage, and History: Analyzing the Continued Resistance to Same-Sex Marriage Josephine Ross	1657
Taxes and Nonrenewable Resources: The Impact on Exploration and Development	1683
Comments	
The Aftermath of <i>United States v. Virginia</i> : Why Five Justices are Pulling in the Reins on the "Exceedingly Persuasive Justification"	1755
Campaign Finance Legislation: McCain-Feingold/Shays-Meehan— The Political Equality Rationale and Beyond	1781
Casenotes	
The Federal Circuit's Shallow Analysis of Consolidated Taxation Invalidates the Loss Disallowance Rule— Rite Aid Corp. v. United States Christopher R. Egan	1813
The City of New York Civil Court Holds That Pre-Authorized Drafts or Telechecks are Subject to the Uniform Commercial Code in the Same Manner as any Other Checks— Interbank of New York v. Fleet Bank R.B. Ramsey	1819
Bibby v. Philadelphia Coca-Cola Bottling Co. and Same-Sex Sexual Harassment In the Workplace: The Third Circuit Forecloses the Possibility of Equal Treatment for Homosexuals Under Title VII	1825
Index to Volume 55	1833
	1000