Doing Business in the New Russia: Rebirth of the Russian Nation
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For sixty-nine years the Russian Soviet Federal Socialist Republic (RSFSR) was the keystone of the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR). The USSR, for much of this time, was the epitome of a command economy. The first steps toward a Russian market economy were taken by the USSR in the five years immediately preceding the formal dissolution of the USSR on December 31, 1991. These bold, early steps, which were widely disregarded or discredited in the West at the time, included decrees authorizing the first joint ventures, a series of laws aimed at developing cooperatives and introducing liberalized
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1. The Union of Soviet Socialist Republics was formally established on Dec. 30, 1922. It originally consisted of four republics: Russia, Byelorussia, Ukraine, and the Caucasus Republic, the latter encompassing Azerbaijan, Georgia, and Armenia. In 1925, Uzbekistan and Turkmenistan were included in the USSR; in 1929, Tajikistan was added. In 1936, Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan were transformed into soviet socialist republics from autonomous republics and the Caucasus Republic was split into Azerbaijan, Georgia, and Armenia. In 1940, the Baltic States, comprised of Latvia, Lithuania, and Estonia, were forcibly added to the USSR, and the Moldavian autonomous republic was joined as a Soviet socialist republic completing the formation of the USSR as it existed until Dec. 31, 1991.

2. An early believer was Richard N. Dean, an associate in the Sydney office of Coudert Brothers in the mid-1980s. In early 1988, he established the first Western law office in Moscow.

privatization of apartments,\textsuperscript{4} the Yavlinsky 500-day program,\textsuperscript{5} the laws on property,\textsuperscript{6} and the revolutionary Law on Enterprises and Entrepreneurial Activity.\textsuperscript{7}

In the seeming chaos wrought by the combination of Chairman Gorbachev's \textit{perestroika},\textsuperscript{8} electoral reforms,\textsuperscript{10} the introduction of economic reforms, and the re-emergence of nationalistic identification of the constituent republics of the USSR,\textsuperscript{11} the RSFSR declared its sovereignty on June 12, 1990.\textsuperscript{12}


\textsuperscript{5} The 500-day program was developed on joint instruction from Mikhail Gorbachev and Boris Yeltsin on July 27, 1990, by a team of Russian politicians and economists including G. Yavlinsky, S. Shatalin, B. Fedorov, and others. The program addressed the steps for the transition from a command economy to a market economy and was presented to the Supreme Council of the RSFSR in early Sept. 1990.

\textsuperscript{6} Zakon SSSR "O Sobstvennosti v SSSR" [On Property in the USSR], Mar. 6, 1990, Vedomosti Verkh. Soveta SSSR, 1990, No. 11, Item 164 (this law authorized private ownership by individuals of residential premises, the retention by enterprises of net profit and its distribution to employees, whether as stock or cash.); Zakon RSFSR "O Sobstvennosti na Territorii RSFSR" [On Property in the RSFSR], July 14, 1990, Vedomosti S'yezda Narodnih Deputatov Rossyiskoi Federatsii i Verkh. Soveta Rossyiskoi Federatsii, July 18, 1990, No. 7, Item 101 (by reference to the resolutions on the state sovereignty of the RSFSR and on the separation of authority to manage organizations in the RSFSR, this law instituted the regulation by the laws of the RSFSR and its regions of the ownership of land and other natural resources, fixed assets, and other property; and guaranteed the protection of ownership rights of citizens and organizations in the RSFSR).


\textsuperscript{8} Mikhail Sergeevich Gorbachev succeeded Konstantin Ustinovich Chernenko as the General Secretary of the Political Bureau of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union (CPSU) on Mar. 11, 1985. Chernenko had served just thirteen months in that position, having succeeded Yuri Vladimirovich Andropov in Feb. 1984. Andropov had been in office only since Nov. 1982 when he succeeded Leonid Brezhnev.

\textsuperscript{9} Perestroika, the Russian word for restructuring, was the term adopted in the former USSR for the course of reforms initiated by Mikhail Gorbachev.

\textsuperscript{10} Popular elections to the Congress of People's Deputies of the USSR were first held in the USSR on Mar. 26, 1989. In Mar. 1990, elections for republic and local administrations were held in the republics of the USSR. In May 1990, the Russian Congress of People's Deputies was convened and elected Boris Yeltsin, the Communist Party member from Ekaterinburg, as its Chairman.

\textsuperscript{11} On Nov. 16, 1988, a sovereignty declaration was adopted in Estonia; this was followed by adoption in Latvia of a sovereignty declaration (on July 28, 1989 and a declaration on independence on Feb. 15, 1990); the act of independence in Lithuania of Mar. 1990; the declaration of sovereignty of Russia on June 12, 1990. \textit{See infra} note 20.

\textsuperscript{12} The Declaration of Sovereignty of June 12, 1990 also announced the supremacy of the laws of the RSFSR over those of the USSR.
The eighteen tumultuous months that followed this declaration of sovereignty by the RSFSR and concluded with the formal dissolution of the USSR were characterized by almost constant confrontation between President Boris Yeltsin\(^3\) and President Mikhail Gorbachev.\(^4\) The climax of this period was the attempted coup by so-called hard liners,\(^5\) which was staged at a time designed to prevent the signing of a new All Union Treaty\(^6\) intended to preserve the USSR but in a more liberal form. The immediate collapse of the coup must be credited in large part to the bold resistance to the coup offered by President Yeltsin\(^7\) and the admirable reluctance of elite KGB\(^8\) forces to use force against the civilians assembled in support of Yeltsin.

The immediate results of the abortive coup were the discrediting of the Communist Party\(^9\) and the acceleration of independence movements in the constituent republics of the USSR.\(^10\) In the RSFSR, the Congress of People's Deputies granted President Yeltsin extraordinary powers to implement radical economic reforms.\(^11\) On December 25, 1991, the Congress of People's Deputies changed the name RSFSR to the Russian Federation (Russia)\(^12\) and a dramatic, if not drastic, economic reform plan, developed by Egor Gaidar, Alexander Shokhin, Anatolii Chubais, Andrei Nechayev, and Alexei Golovkov,\(^13\) was introduced in January 1992.

---

13. Boris Nikolayevich Yeltsin was elected president by popular vote in Russia on June 12, 1991.
14. Mikhail Sergeyevich Gorbachev had been elected president of the USSR, not by popular vote, but by the Congress of People's Deputies of the USSR on Mar. 13, 1990.
15. Aug. 18-21, 1991, "The Coup with Trembling Hands" is wonderfully described in YEVTU-SHENKO, DON'T DIE BEFORE YOU'RE DEAD (1st ed. 1995). The hard-liners included Gennadii Ignatievich Yanayev, vice president of the USSR; Boris Karlovich Pugo, minister for internal affairs of the USSR; Vladimir Aleksandrovich Kryuchkov, chairman of the Committee for State Security of the USSR; and Dmitri Timofeevich Yazov, minister of defense of the USSR.
16. The signing of the All Union Treaty was prevented by the coup of Aug. 1991.
17. President Yeltsin declared the actions of the self-styled State Committee for the State of Emergency unconstitutional and called for a general strike. The only fatalities of these events were three men who died in a confrontation with tanks in the center of Moscow on Aug. 20-21, 1991, Vladimir Usov, Dmitrii Komar, and Ilya Krichevsky. The coup leaders were arrested on Aug. 21, 1991 with the exception of Boris Pugo, who committed suicide.
18. KGB is a Russian abbreviation for the Committee for State Security.
19. On Aug. 23, 1991, President Yeltsin suspended the CPSU by decree throughout Russia and its offices in Moscow were sealed. On Aug. 24, 1991, President Gorbachev resigned as General Secretary of the Communist Party. On Aug. 29, 1991, the USSR Supreme Soviet suspended the CPSU throughout the USSR.
23. President Yeltsin signed ten edicts accelerating the transition to a market economy in Russia on November 15, 1991, e.g., Decree No. 210, On Cancellation of Restrictions on Wages and on the Increase of
Since that time, Russia has been going through wrenching changes as it develops a consumer-oriented economy. Foreign investment has played a modest role in this process with American and German companies having the leading positions by far. As investment in Russia continues to grow, American lawyers may benefit from an introduction to the legal basis for the development of the new Russia.

I. Constitutional Framework

The Russian Federation is a civil law jurisdiction with a written constitution that lays down the fundamentals of Russian state governance and its regulatory framework. The current Russian Constitution was adopted after months of intense, ultimately violent, confrontation between the legislative branch, the Congress of People's Deputies, and President Boris Yeltsin. Following a bloody confrontation in early October 1993, a new constitution was adopted on December 12, 1993 by a nationwide referendum. The constitution establishes Russia as a presidential republic comprised of (1) a president, (2) a ministerially organized government, (3) a legislature, and (4) a judiciary. The constitution also outlines the powers of the regional governments, which make up the constituent elements of the Russian Federation.

The president occupies a standalone, if not superior, place among these branches of state power. The president is the head of the state, the guarantor of the constitution and of human and civil rights and freedoms, and the commander-in-chief of the Russian armed forces.

The Federal Assembly constitutes the legislative branch. It consists of the State Duma, the lower chamber, and the Council of the Federation, the upper chamber.

---

Funds Directed to Consumption; Edict No. 211, On Increasing Salaries of Employees of Budget Organizations and Institutions; Edict No. 212, On Social Partnership and Resolution of Labor Disputes (Conflicts); Edict No. 213, On Liberalization of Foreign Economic Activity in the RSFSR.

24. On Sept. 21, 1993, President Yeltsin dissolved the Russian Parliament and announced the holding of pre-term parliamentary elections on Dec. 12; Edict No. 1400, On Stage-by-Stage Constitutional Reform in the Russian Federation. The legislature went into emergency session, appointed Alexander Vladimirovich Rutskoi president and formed an alternative government. On Oct. 3, demonstrations escalated into armed uprising. President Yeltsin declared a state of emergency. On Oct. 4, on orders of the president, the military deployed around the White House, the seat of the Congress of People's Deputies at the time, and ultimately fired on the building. The leaders of this legislative group, including Ruslan Imranovich Khassbalov, Alexander Vladimirovich Rutskoi, Albert Mikhailovich Makashov and others were temporarily arrested. The publication of some newspapers including Pravda, Sovetskaya Rossiya, and some political movements, including the Russian Communist Workers' Party, the National Salvation Front and others, were suspended by Decree of the President No. 1578, On Emergency Measures to Secure the State of Emergency in Moscow and the Ordinance of the Mayor of Moscow No. 552-RM, On Suspending the Activity of a Number of Periodicals.

25. As reported, the constitution was supported by 58.43% of the vote, while 50% was required for adoption.


27. See id. ch. 5, art. 94.

28. See id. ch. 7, art. 118.

29. See id. ch. 8.

30. See id. art. 80(1).

31. See id. art. 80(2).

32. See id. art. 87(1).

33. See id. art. 94(1).

34. See id. art. 95(1).
Executive powers are vested in the government, consisting of the prime minister, appointed by the president with the consent of the State Duma, and heads of an array of ministries, state committees and state agencies.

Judicial power is vested in the court system, which includes (1) the Constitutional Court, the primary function of which is to (a) ensure the conformity to the constitution of legislation enacted by the various legislative bodies within Russia, (b) resolve jurisdictional disputes between various state bodies, and (c) interpret the constitution; (2) the Supreme Court, which heads regular civil courts and deals with civil, criminal, and administrative matters; (3) the Supreme Arbitrazh Court, which oversees the arbitrazh courts which, despite the name, are indeed courts, not arbitral bodies, that deal with business disputes; and (4) the military courts, which handle disputes involving the Russian military.

The powers of regional governments arise from the federal structure of Russia, which is comprised of eighty-nine subjects of the Russian Federation. The subjects include twenty-one republics, six krais, ten autonomous okrugs, forty-nine oblasts, one autonomous oblast, and two cities, Moscow and St. Petersburg. Each of these subjects has its own legislature and governmental administration. Just as the U.S. Supreme Court has had an important role in the development of the United States as a federal republic, so the Russian Constitutional Court has regularly held that a number of regional authorities have acted in ways inconsistent with the constitution, federal legislation or both. With the announced view of better ensuring that federal policy is implemented as appropriate by the subjects of the federation, Vladimir Putin, within a week of his inauguration as the second president of Russia, issued a decree establishing seven supra-regional districts and appointed his own representatives to supervise them.

35. See id. art. 110(1).
36. See id. art. 111(1).
37. See id. art. 110(2).
38. See id. art. 118(2).
39. See id. art. 125.
40. See id. art. 125(2).
41. See id. art. 125(3).
42. See id. art. 125(5).
43. See id. art. 126.
44. See id. art. 127.
46. Konst. RF, art. 65(1).
48. For example, the Constitutional Court held unconstitutional statutory provisions adopted by the local legislature in the Krasnodar region, which prevented the allocation of plots of land and the notary certification of purchase and sale contracts for residential premises for Russian citizens before they had obtained the right of permanent residence in Krasnodarsky krai. This ruling was based on the limitation of the constitutional freedom of choice of the place of residence. Opredelenyie Konst. Suda RF No. 116-O, Oct. 7, 1998, Sobr. Zakonod. RF, Oct. 19, 1998, No. 42, Item 5210.
49. Vladimir Putin, Prime Minister of Russia since Aug. 9, 2000, had been designated acting-president by former President Yeltsin, on Dec. 31, 1999, when President Yeltsin resigned from office; Putin was elected president on Mar. 26, 2000, and formally inaugurated on May 7, 2000.
Russian legislation represents a structurally sophisticated system of hierarchically organized statutory acts issued at the federal and the local level. To understand them it is necessary to examine these first by considering the terminology used in English. While this is to some extent an academic issue, it is one any legal practitioner looking at Russian legislation must understand.

The constitution is the highest form of legislation in Russia. Amendments to the constitution, depending on their nature, may be adopted either by the legislature with subsequent ratification by local legislatures or through a national referendum.

In the hierarchy of federal legislation, a law (in Russian, zakon) is the highest form of legislation under the constitution. The constitution distinguishes between federal constitutional laws and federal laws. Federal constitutional laws are adopted on a limited range of matters indicated by the constitution (for example, the organization of the government and the fundamentals of the court system). Unless specifically designated as a constitutional law, a law has the status of a federal law. As between these two, a federal constitutional law takes priority.

The president is empowered by the constitution to adopt normative acts (edicts) in the form of ukaz and rasporyazheniye. The constitution establishes that presidential edicts are effective throughout Russia but may not contravene the constitution or federal laws.

---

51. English translations of Russian legislation vary widely, if not wildly. Some translators go to great lengths to ensure that terms are translated as literally as possible and to find different corresponding English words to distinguish different Russian terms that might otherwise be translated into the same word in English. Their concern is that the use of the natural English equivalent word in such a situation risks the loss of a Russian legal or linguistic distinction. The practice in this article, however, particularly with concepts that have been borrowed from outside Russia, is to use the closest English language conceptual equivalent without necessarily seeking linguistic precision. Clifford Chance Puender-Moscow, like most major Western law firms, relies principally upon its own translations of Russian statutes.

52. KONST. RF, art. 15(1) ("[t]he Constitution of the Russian Federation has supreme legal force and is direct-acting and applies throughout the territory of the Russian Federation. Laws and other legal enactments adopted in the Russian Federation may not contradict the Constitution of the Russian Federation").

53. The procedures for amending the constitution vary depending on who initiates the amendment and on the nature of amendment. Detailed procedures are set out in KONST. RF, arts. 135–137.

54. See id. art. 108.

55. See id. arts. 105–107.

56. See id. art. 108(1).

57. See id. art. 76(3).

58. The procedures for adoption of federal laws and federal constitutional laws are different. A federal constitutional law must be adopted by an absolute 75% majority vote of the Federation Council and a two-thirds absolute majority vote of the State Duma. A federal law is normally adopted by a simple majority vote of each chamber of the legislature. Federal laws (with limited exceptions) may also be adopted if the Federation Council fails to review them within fourteen days of submission by the State Duma. This leeway is not available for the federal constitutional laws. See KONST. RF, arts. 105–108. Article 15(3) states that "[l]aws are subject to official publication; unpublished laws are not applied. Any normative legal enactments affecting human and civil rights, freedoms and duties cannot be applied unless they have been officially published for universal information." See id. art. 15(3).

59. See id. art. 90(1).

60. See id. art. 90(2).

61. See id. art. 90(3).
Next are statutory acts issued by the government, for which the Russian terms are pos-
tanovleniya and rasporyazheniya. In this article, the term decree is used to distinguish them from edicts issued by the president. In terms of legal standing, governmental decrees are effective throughout Russia but may not contravene the constitution, federal laws, or presidential edicts. The president is empowered to cancel government decrees that contradict these higher forms of legislation.

Occasionally edicts or decrees adopt more detailed rules or regulations, polozbenie usually translated into English as regulations but sometimes as statute. The legal standing of regulations follows the standing of the act that adopted them. There are also instructions, orders, directions, and others that are issued by particular government agencies and that are subordinate to the acts described above. At the level of local legislation, there is a similar structure. Local legislation derives its force from the constitutional division of powers at the federal and local level and may not contravene federal legislation.

The time of enactment of a statutory act is also important. The general practice in Russia is to indicate the date on which a statute was signed as the date of such act. This date, however, must be distinguished from the date on which such act becomes effective. Publication is a condition precedent to the effectiveness of federal constitutional laws and federal laws. A general rule is that federal constitutional laws and federal laws are effective from the time indicated in such acts or, absent such indication, ten days following their publication. Similar rules apply to presidential edicts and government decrees. These likewise must be published before they become effective. Procedures for acts issued by federal executive bodies are generally similar with a ten-day lag time between publication and effectiveness, but acts that contain statutory norms must also be registered with the Ministry of Justice prior to becoming effective. There are exceptions to these general principles established by federal legislation (for example, acts in relation to taxes, criminal laws, and acts referring to state secrets). Similar principles apply to local acts.

II. The Russian Civil Code – The Heart of the System

Like most of Europe, the legal system in Russia is a civil law system largely built upon a civil code, which was codified three times during the course of the twentieth century,
initially to accommodate the command economy and most recently to adapt to a market economy.

In the USSR, there were two tiers of civil legislation: all-union or USSR level and union republic. The former acted as guidelines on the basis of which more detailed codes were enacted in the constituent republics of the USSR. The civil code of the RSFSR was adopted in 1964 (the 1964 civil code). In May 1991, new civil law guidelines, the Fundamental Principles of Civil Legislation of the USSR and Republics (fundamentals) were introduced in the USSR. These were to become effective throughout the USSR on January 1, 1992. The fundamentals were adopted by Russia in 1992 and have applied where appropriate, pending the introduction of a comprehensive new Russian civil code.

A new Russian three-part civil code is in the process of being introduced. Part 1 generally took effect on January 1, 1995. It addresses basic legal principles, in particular (1) principles of ownership, (2) types of legal entities that may be established, (3) general principles relating to the law of obligations, and (4) provisions relating to the creation of security interests and guarantees. Part 2 of the civil code, effective March 1, 1996, deals with individual types of contractual relationships. Part 3 of the civil code remains to be enacted. Pending for more than five years, it has made significant progress in the State Duma during 2001, although the timing of its adoption remains unknown. Part 3 addresses such issues as wills, copyright, and international law, including the very important question of waiver of sovereign immunity. These areas currently remain governed by the 1964 civil code and the fundamentals.

For the most part, the civil code is a consolidation of basic legal principles deemed necessary to underpin a market economy, which were introduced in the first generation of reform legislation in 1990 and 1991. It is therefore more in the nature of reinforcement of changes previously introduced than radical innovation.

III. Doing Business in Russia

More than 1,000 American businesses successfully operate in one way or another in Russia. The Russian legal operating environment is different, but common-law attorneys

73. The Civil Code of the RSFSR 1922 approved by the Decree of Central Executive Committee of Russia dated Oct. 31, 1922; the Civil Code of the RSFSR approved by the Law of the RSFSR dated June 11, 1964, On Approval of the Civil Code of the RSFSR.

74. See infra notes 78 and 83.

75. The Civil Code of the RSFSR approved by the Law of the RSFSR dated June 11, 1964 On Approval of the Civil Code of the RSFSR.


79. See GK RF, pt. 1, div. 2. The major exception to the practice described above (note 51) regarding the use of proprietary translations is the civil code, Parts 1 and 2. A widely used, commercially available translation was published by the Private Law Research Center of the Office of the President of the Russian Federation, Moscow 1997. It is the translation referred to in this article.

80. See GK RF, pt. 1, div. 1, sub-div. 2, ch. 4, §§ 1-4. These provisions came into effect on Nov. 30, 1994.

81. See GK RF, pt. 1, divs. 1, 3, sub-div. 2.

82. See GK RF, ch. 23.

quickly learn that these differences relate more to the nature of a civil law system than to any sinister aspects of an attempt by an evil empire to become a functioning market economy. The remainder of this article presents the principal aspects of the legal regime in modern Russia as it impacts businesses operating there.

Contract law provisions are concentrated in the civil code. There are, however, other federal laws that address contracts as well. For example, a transfer of shares in a company organized in Russia, while falling within the scope of contract law, must also comply with the Securities Market Law, regulations of the Federal Commission on the Securities Market (FCSM) as well as antitrust and exchange control laws and regulations.

In Russia—as in all other jurisdictions with a participatory, democratic law-making regime—legislation enacted at different times by different bodies is sometimes inconsistent, or at least arguably so. Additionally and not surprisingly, the division of powers among various government authorities remains a matter of debate. Inconsistencies and ambiguities may also be found within the civil code itself.

The primary principles underlying contract law in Russia are freedom of contract and good faith. A contract is defined as "an agreement of two or several persons on establishing, changing, or terminating civil law rights and duties." A contract is regarded as entered into if "an agreement has been reached on all the essential terms of the contract among the parties in the form required in appropriate cases." As an example, the civil code establishes that "the terms of a contract of purchase and sale of goods shall be considered agreed if the contract allows the determination of the name and quantity of the goods." For leasing, the civil code provides,

In a contract of lease there must be data allowing the definite identification of the property subject to transfer to the lessee as the object of the lease. In the absence of these data in the contract, the term on the object subject to transfer by lease shall be considered not agreed upon by the parties and the respective contract shall not be considered to have been made.

Any contract concluded between an individual and a legal entity must be in writing as must (1) contracts between legal entities and (2) contracts between individuals involving at least ten times the minimum monthly wage (approximately 1,000 rubles or $30). Specific types of contracts such as suretyship and international commercial transactions must also

84. See Copyright and Trademark amendments, infra note 85.
89. See GK RF, arts. 1(2), 421(1), (2), (4).
90. See id. arts. 10(1), (3).
91. See id. pt. 1, art. 420(1).
92. See id. pt. 1, art. 432(1).
93. See id. art. 455(3).
94. See id. art. 607(3).
95. See id. art. 162(3); see also infra notes 114-115 and accompanying text.
be in writing. If a written contract is required or if the parties have agreed to conclude it in writing, noncompliance with a written form of this contract deprives the parties in a dispute of the right to prove the existence of the contract through the use of testimony.\footnote{98}{See id. art. 162(1).}

Notarization of a contract is required if (1) the parties so agree, or (2) expressly provided by law, such as for mortgages.\footnote{99}{See id. art. 339(2).} Failure to comply with this requirement may render the contract void.\footnote{100}{See id. art. 165(1).}

Under the civil code a faulty agreement may be either void or voidable.\footnote{101}{See id. art. 166.} A void transaction is void ab initio\footnote{102}{See id. art. 167(1) ("[a]n invalid transaction . . . is invalid from the time of its making").} and does not create any rights or obligations in the parties to the agreement whereas a voidable transaction is valid unless and until it is voided by a court decision.\footnote{103}{See id. arts. 166(1), 167(3).} For a void agreement, a court would not have to—nor would it be able to—establish its invalidity. Instead, the court would apply the consequences of invalidity. For a voidable agreement, the court first invalidates the agreement and only then applies the consequences of invalidity. Unless otherwise provided by law, a general consequence of invalidity of an agreement is restitution.\footnote{104}{See id. art. 165(l).} An important distinction between void and voidable agreements is that a voidable agreement may be disputed in court only by a limited range of parties specified by law, while any interested party may seek to apply the consequences of a void agreement.\footnote{105}{See id. art. 166(2).}

One example that may occur in Russia is when a company enters into a transaction ultra vires. The law establishes the procedures for invalidating such a transaction.\footnote{106}{See id. art. 173.} This brings the transaction under the umbrella of voidable transactions. The transaction is valid unless and until invalidated by court. On the other hand, a transaction that contradicts the requirements of law is void unless other consequences are contemplated by law.\footnote{107}{See id. art. 168 ("[a] transaction not corresponding to the requirements of a state or of other legal acts is void, unless the statute establishes that such a transaction is avoidable or provides other consequences for the violation").} For a void transaction, the statute of limitations for challenging the transaction is ten years from the date on which the performance of the transaction commenced.\footnote{108}{See id. art. 181(1).} For a voidable transaction, the limitation period is one year from the date on which the claimant has, or should have, become aware of grounds for invalidation of the transaction.\footnote{109}{See id. art. 181(2).}

Notwithstanding these uncertainties or perhaps because of the extra care they inspire, allegations of void transactions are rarely encountered.

IV. Choice of Foreign Law

A basic aspect of doing business in Russia is knowing when and whether one should select foreign law to govern a contractual arrangement with a Russian counter-party. The prin-
Principal sources of Russian law that regulate choice of law are the fundamentals, which, although adopted in the Soviet era, remain the basic Russian statute governing conflicts of laws; International Commercial Arbitration Law; and practice reviews and ordinances of the presidium of the Supreme Arbitrazh Court of the Russian Federation.

The fundamentals establish that for a contract to be governed by foreign law, such contract must be an international commercial transaction. There is no definition of an international commercial transaction. By summarizing the approaches to this notion developed in practice since 1992, it is possible to suggest the elements of a basic definition. Thus, an international commercial transaction is a contract in which (1) the parties are located in Russia and abroad and (2) the agreement involves a cross-border transfer of money, goods, or services.

Russian law also contains restrictions on the choice of foreign law as the governing law of a contract. Foreign law may not be applied or enforced if its application or enforcement would contradict the fundamental principles of Russian law.

What actually contradicts the fundamental principles is resolved on a case-to-case basis by the courts or in arbitration. Certain scholars have argued that foreign law should not apply if its application and enforcement contradicts any portion of effective Russian legislation. A recent official interpretation of the law of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation on this point has held that Russian courts should not refuse to apply foreign law merely because it contradicts Russian legislation.

The freedom of Russian parties to choose applicable law is most helpful. Certain provisions of Russian law are still not appropriate to a modern market economy, and these may sometimes be avoided by selecting foreign law as the governing law.

V. Doing Business/Forms of Organization

A. Representative Office

Russian law does not directly prohibit business activities of a foreign company with no representative office or legal entity in Russia. However, Russian tax authorities will rightly claim that a company carrying on business activities in Russia has a permanent establishment there for tax purposes and is therefore liable for Russian taxes. Opening a representative


113. See Fundamentals, supra note 76, art. 158. ("Foreign law shall not apply if its application would violate the basics of Russian law. In such cases, Russian law shall apply.") See also International Arbitration Law, supra note 110, arts. 34(2) and 36(2).

114. See A. Muranov, The Issue of the Order of Signing of International Commercial Transactions and Russian Public Order, Moscow J. Int'l L., No. 3 (1998); but see B. Seglin, Doubtful Ornaments of Russian Justice, BUSINESS-ADVOKAT, No. 11, 2000; see also V. Kabatov, Applicable Law in Dispute Resolution with the Moscow Court of International Commercial Arbitration of the Russian Chamber of Industry and Commerce, KHOZIAISTVO I PRAVO, No. 5, 6, 1998.

office permits a company to separate its Russian revenues and limit its Russian tax exposure. Establishing an accredited representative office is often a workable solution for a company rendering services and trading (as opposed to those involved in manufacturing) and provides the following additional benefits:

- the ability to obtain visas and work permits for expatriate employees;
- facilitating activities and dealings with Russian government agencies and local businesses by virtue of the legitimacy afforded to an accredited office;
- under certain circumstances, exemption from the value added tax for leasing residential and nonresidential premises; and
- facilitating automobile registration.

A company seeking to commence business in Russia will often consider the somewhat simpler procedure of opening a representative office rather than establishing a Russian legal entity. The procedure for establishment of a representative office of a company in Russia is called accreditation. Representative offices must also register with the tax inspectorate, social funds, and other state bodies.

Under Russian legislation, a representative office may be opened to represent the interests of a company and, in particular, to assist the company in gathering economic, commercial, scientific and technical information, and in facilitating commercial and other transactions. The civil code provides, "A representative office is a self-contained division of a legal entity, located elsewhere than at its business address, which represents and protects the interests of such legal entity."118

B. Joint Stock Company and Limited Liability Company

For American companies with significant operations in Russia, it is routine to establish a Russian legal entity that is either wholly owned or jointly owned with Russian or other partners. Company law provisions are found in the civil code119 and in other specialized legislation.120 The more common forms of commercial organizations described generally in the civil code include partnership,121 limited partnership,122 limited liability company (LLC),123 and joint stock company (JSC).124 Of these, JSCs and LLCs are the most useful,
and the forms overwhelmingly used in business operations in Russia. The popularity of these forms is significantly due to the fairly detailed provisions of two additional federal laws: the Joint Stock Company Law (JSC Law)\(^\text{125}\) and the Limited Liability Company Law (LLC Law).\(^\text{126}\) The JSC Law was substantially amended in August 2001, and the great majority of these amendments are effective January 1, 2002.\(^\text{127}\)

A JSC may be of two types: open\(^\text{128}\) or closed.\(^\text{129}\) Ownership is represented by shares in both, but in an open JSC, shares may be distributed to the public while in a closed JSC, the total number of shareholders is limited to fifty,\(^\text{130}\) and the sale of shares is restricted.\(^\text{131}\) From an American practitioner’s point of view, one of the very few distinct weaknesses of the JSC Law and of the Securities Market Law is the general failure of these laws to distinguish in a helpful, pragmatic way between a widely held public company and a privately held JSC.

Ownership in an LLC is represented by interests that are distributed among the company’s participants and are not made available to the public.\(^\text{132}\) This feature of an LLC makes it comparable to a closed JSC. As with a closed JSC, the number of participants in an LLC may not exceed fifty.\(^\text{133}\) A single founder, whether Russian or non-Russian, may create a JSC or an LLC but only if such founder is not itself a company owned by a single person or entity.\(^\text{134}\)

1. Charter Capital

In a JSC, charter capital is comprised of the nominal or par value of company shares issued to its shareholders.\(^\text{135}\) Shares of a JSC, whether open or closed, are securities that must be registered with the FCSM.\(^\text{136}\) Charter capital of an LLC consists of the value of interests owned by its participants.\(^\text{137}\) Unlike shares in a JSC, an interest in an LLC is normally described as a percentage of the total capital contributed. Interests in an LLC are not securities and need not be registered with the FCSM. This creates a real, practical advantage for the LLC, which has become the vehicle of choice for foreign investors. As with JSC shares, interests held in an LLC may be transferred among interest holders as well as to third parties and to the company itself.\(^\text{138}\)

Russian legislation requires that 50 percent of the charter capital of a JSC be contributed within three months following its registration with the state. Until 50 percent of the

\(^{125}\) See JSC Law, supra note 120.

\(^{126}\) See LLC Law, supra note 120.

\(^{127}\) The amendments were introduced by Federal’nyi Zakon No. 120-FZ of Aug. 7, 2001, Ross. GAZETA, Aug. 9, 2001, and effective Jan. 1, 2002. However, the provisions, which amended the competence of the general shareholders’ meeting (art. 48) and the majority vote requirements at a general shareholders’ meeting (art. 49), became effective on Aug. 9, 2001.

\(^{128}\) See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 7(2).

\(^{129}\) See id. art. 7(3).

\(^{130}\) See id.

\(^{131}\) See id.

\(^{132}\) See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 2(1).

\(^{133}\) See id. art. 7(3).

\(^{134}\) See id. art. 7(2); see also JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 10(2).

\(^{135}\) See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 25(1).

\(^{136}\) See Securities Market Law, supra note 86, art. 16.

\(^{137}\) See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 14(1).

\(^{138}\) See id. arts. 21(1), (2), 23.
charter capital has been contributed, a JSC may not conduct any business except that related to its establishment. For an LLC, 50 percent of the charter capital must be contributed prior to such registration. The full amount of the charter capital specified in the initial charter of a company must be fully paid within one year of its registration. These requirements are the same for both a JSC and an LLC.

Under Russian law, a contribution to the charter capital of an LLC or a JSC may be made in kind or in cash. Cash contributions may be made in rubles or in foreign currency. Cash contributions in foreign currency no longer require prior permission from the Central Bank of Russia (CBR) and are now the preferred method of making cash contributions. In-kind contributions have customs clearance and import duty implications.

2. Increasing Capital

An increase in the capital of a JSC is possible by either increasing the par value of shares acquired by the shareholders or issuing additional shares. In the event of an issue of additional shares, a securities tax in the amount of 0.8 percent of the par value of the issue must be paid. The issue of additional shares must be also registered with the FCSM. Existing shareholders of a JSC have preemptive rights with respect to the issuance of new shares.

The JSC Law provides for the issuance of preferred stock with its rights and limitations set forth in the law. Voting for preferred shares is generally limited to reorganization and liquidation, except for series of cumulative preferred. These shares have a vote on all matters at shareholders meetings following any annual meeting where shareholders fail to vote to pay such dividends in full. Preferred shares may be converted into ordinary shares upon demand of the shareholders if so provided by the company's charter. Under the JSC Law, preferred stock is limited to 25 percent of total charter capital.

An increase in the capital of an LLC is made through additional contributions to its charter capital or out of the assets of the company. No securities tax payment or registration of such increase with the FCSM is required. For both JSCs and LLCs, capital may be increased only after the initial charter capital has been paid in full and the capital

---

139. See generally JSC Law, supra note 120, arts. 34(1), 2 (3).
140. LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 16(2).
141. See generally JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 34(1); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 16(1).
142. See generally JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 34(2); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 15(1).
143. Cash contributions in foreign currency are regarded as "transactions relating to the movement of capital." Instruction of the Central Bank No. 660-U, On the Procedure for Performance of Currency Operations Connected With the Attraction and Return of Foreign Investments (Oct. 8, 1999) (section 1(1) authorizes such contributions to be made without a special permission from the CBR).
144. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 28(1).
146. See Securities Market Law, supra note 86, art. 16.
147. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 40.
148. See id. art. 32(5).
149. See id. art. 32(3).
150. See id. art. 25(2).
151. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 17(2).
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increase reflected in an amendment to the company charter, which must be registered with Russian authorities in charge of company registration. The need to register each capital increase of a JSC with the FCSM adds weeks of delay to a capital increase and is the principal reason foreign investors choose to use an LLC for their wholly owned Russian legal entities.

3. Sale of Shares/Withdrawal of an Interest

The fundamental drawback of an LLC is that any interest holder in an LLC may withdraw from the LLC at any time without consent of the other participants or of the company.153 In such cases, the interest of a withdrawing party is transferred to the LLC from the moment of application for withdrawal.154 The company is obliged to pay the withdrawing participant the actual value155 of its interest, either in cash or in kind, within six months from the expiration of the fiscal year in which the application for withdrawal was filed unless a shorter period is provided by the charter.156 In practice, this means an LLC is not used for entities involving partners but works well as a wholly owned subsidiary.

For a JSC, the rules are less surprising. In an open JSC, a shareholder may sell his shares any time he can find a willing buyer. For a closed JSC, the sale procedure is different because the other existing shareholders have a right of first refusal to purchase shares a shareholder wishes to sell.157 The JSC Law also provides that the charter of a closed JSC may give the company itself a right of first refusal to purchase shares held by a shareholder who wishes to sell where existing shareholders do not exercise their right to do so.158

4. Management Structure

The JSC Law prescribes a three-tier management structure consisting of (1) the general meeting of shareholders, (2) the board of directors, and (3) the executive body.159 The LLC Law has comparable provisions.160 For JSCs with fewer than fifty shareholders and for LLCs, there is the option to eliminate the board of directors and to vest its powers in the shareholders161 or participants.162 The so-called executive body of an LLC or a JSC regularly takes the form of an individual executive, often called the general director, although a collective executive body may also be vested with responsibility for day-to-day operations of the company in addition to a general director.163 The general director enjoys substantial discretion and, generally, has full power to act on behalf of the company.164

An annual meeting of shareholders of a JSC or participants in an LLC must be held each year.165 In a JSC, the annual general meeting must be held not earlier than two nor later

---

153. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 26(1) ("[a] participant in a company shall have the right to withdraw from the company at any time, regardless of the consent of the other participants therein or the company itself").
154. See id. art. 26(2).
155. See id.
156. See id. art. 26(3).
157. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 7(3).
158. See id. art. 7(3).
159. See id. arts. 47(1), 64(1), 69(1).
160. See generally LLC Law, supra note 120, arts. 32(1), (2), (4).
161. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 64(1).
162. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 32(2).
163. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 69(1).
164. See id. art. 69(2); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 40(3).
165. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 47(1); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 34.
than six months after the end of fiscal year;\textsuperscript{166} for an LLC, such meeting must be held not earlier than two nor later than four months after the end of the fiscal year.\textsuperscript{167} Interestingly, the power of a general meeting of both a JSC\textsuperscript{168} and an LLC\textsuperscript{169} is limited to that explicitly set forth in the law. While the JSC Law prohibits a general meeting from taking decisions on issues that are not included on the agenda, the LLC Law permits other decisions to be passed at a meeting attended by all participants.\textsuperscript{170}

5. Interested and Major Transactions

Both the LLC Law and the JSC Law address the questions of interested\textsuperscript{167} and major\textsuperscript{171} transactions. A transaction is major if it involves property of the company representing more than 25 percent of its book value as shown on the balance sheet of the company as of its latest reporting date. The LLC Law allows its participants to provide in the company

\textsuperscript{166} See JSC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 47(1).
\textsuperscript{167} See LLC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 34.
\textsuperscript{168} See JSC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 48.
\textsuperscript{169} See LLC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 33.
\textsuperscript{170} See id. art. 37(7).
\textsuperscript{167} See id. art. 45(1). Article 45(1) defines interested transactions as transactions in which there is an interest of a member of the board of directors of a company or of the supervisory board of the company, of a person performing the functions of the one-person executive body of the company, or of a company's participant who, jointly with his affiliated persons, owns 20% or more of votes from the total number of votes of participants in the company. ... The said persons shall be deemed to be interested in the company concluding a transaction, in case they themselves, their spouses, parents, children, brothers, sisters and/or their affiliated persons (i) are a party to the relevant transaction or act in the interests of third parties in relationships between such third parties and the company; or (ii) own individually or in aggregate 20% or more of the stock, participating interests, or shares of a legal entity which is a party to the relevant transaction or act in the interests of third parties in relationships between such third parties and the company; or (iii) hold positions in the management bodies of a legal entity which is a party to the relevant transaction or act in the interests of third parties in relationships between such third parties and the company; and (iv) in any other cases specified in the charter of the company.

A slightly different definition of interested transactions is contained at the JSC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 81: transactions (including loan, credit, pledge or mortgage and surety) in the performance of which there is an interest of a member of the board of directors of the company, a person exercising the functions of the single person executive body of the company including an external managing organization or external manager, a member of the collegiate executive body of the company or a shareholder that owns jointly with its affiliated parties 20% or more of the voting shares in the company as well as a person who has authority to issue instructions mandatory for the company, in the event such person, his or her spouse, parents, children, full and partial siblings, adopted parents and adopted children and/or their affiliated parties: (i) are a party, beneficiary, intermediary or representative in the transaction; (ii) own (each separately or together) 20% or more of the shares (ownership interests) in a legal entity which is a party, beneficiary, intermediary or representative in the transaction; (iii) occupy posts on a managing body of a legal entity that is a party, beneficiary, intermediary or representative in the transaction or posts on a managing body of the external managing organization of such legal entity; or (iv) in other instances specified in the company charter.

\textsuperscript{171} See LLC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 46(1). Article 46(1) defines a major transaction as a single transaction or several mutually-linked transactions related to the acquisition, or alienation, or the possibility of alienation by a company directly or indirectly of the assets valued at more than 25% of the company asset value determined on the basis of the bookkeeping records for the latest reporting time period proceeding the date of the decision concerning such transaction, unless a higher amount for a large-scale transaction is provided for by the charter of the company.

JSC Law, \textit{supra} note 120, art. 78(1) contains a similar definition of a major transaction:
charter for a higher value of a transaction, which is to be considered major. Interested transactions for both a JSC and an LLC are generally those involving a company and a board member, senior executive officer, or shareholder holding 20 percent or more of the shares of or interests in the company.

The approval of interested and major transactions is generally within the power of the general meeting; however, the board of directors may approve such transactions in certain cases. The LLC Law establishes that the charter of a company may provide that no approval of major transactions is required by the participants or the board of directors. This relief, however, does not extend to interested transactions, which require approval. No such relief is available in the case of a JSC. The approval process for interested transactions entered into by either a JSC or an LLC is generally comparable to those for a major transaction, although in a JSC with more than 1,000 shareholders, more elaborate procedures come into play where an interested transaction is contemplated. It is in the area of

---

173. See id. art. 46(1).
174. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 79. Article 79 states,

(1) The decision to approve a major transaction involving property, the value of which comprises from 25% to 50% of the balance-sheet value of a company's assets shall be adopted by all members of the board of directors (supervisory board) of the company by a unanimous vote, without taking into consideration the votes of members who no longer serve on the board of directors (supervisory board) of the company. In the event that the board of directors (supervisory board) of a company fails to reach a unanimous decision regarding the approval of a major transaction, the board of directors (supervisory board) of the company may adopt a resolution delegating the issue of whether to approve of such major transaction to the general shareholders' meeting. In such case the decision regarding the approval of the major transaction shall be adopted by the general shareholders' meeting by a majority vote of the shareholders that own voting shares and participate in the general shareholders' meeting. (2) The decision to approve a major transaction involving property, the value of which exceeds 50% of the balance-sheet value of a company's assets, shall be adopted by the general shareholders' meeting by a three-fourths majority vote of the shareholders that own voting shares and participate in the general shareholders' meeting.

LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 46 provides,

(3) The decision concerning entering into a major transaction shall be made by the general meeting of participants in the company. (4) If either a board of directors or a supervisory board is established by a company, the charter of the company may refer the decision concerning the entering into major transactions related to the acquisition, or alienation, or the possibility of alienation by the company directly or indirectly of assets valued at more than 25% but less than 50% of the value of the assets of the company, to the jurisdiction of the board of directors or the supervisory board of the company.

175. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 46(6).
176. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 83(1) states,

In a company with more than 1,000 shareholders that own voting shares a decision on approving a transaction where an interest exists in its performance shall be made by the board of directors (supervisory board) of the company by a majority vote of the independent directors who do not have an interest in its performance. Where all members of the board of directors (supervisory board) of the
major and interested transactions that a few Russian companies have featured prominently in the Western press in articles describing abuse of minority shareholders.

6. Thirty-Percent Threshold for Takeovers

The JSC Law regulates acquisition of major positions in a JSC by requiring a party acquiring 30 percent or more of the voting shares in a JSC to offer to purchase company shares from all other shareholders at a statutorily determined price. Failure to comply with this requirement results in limiting the voting rights of the acquirer to the number of shares purchased by such shareholder in compliance with the law. It is possible to exclude the application of this requirement by including an express waiver in the company charter or in a shareholder resolution.

7. A Porous Corporate Veil?

For a foreign direct investor contemplating establishing a corporate entity in Russia, a critical area to consider is the apparent limitation in Russian law relating to the sanctity of the corporate veil. The liability of a shareholder of a JSC or a participant in an LLC is generally limited to the amount of such shareholder's contribution to the charter capital of the company. However, under the civil code and under specific provisions of the JSC Law and the LLC Law, a shareholder or a participant may be exposed to subsidiary liability for a company's obligations if (1) the shareholder or participant is entitled to give binding instructions to that company or able to determine the course of action of the company by other means and (2) the shareholder's or participant's act or failure to act caused the company's insolvency. Similarly, a shareholder or participant may be jointly and severally liable for losses that arise out of transactions entered into by the company and/or any other actions taken by the company as a result of the person's binding instructions. There is no clarity as to the meaning of the "right to give binding instructions" and "ability

---

177. See JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 80.
178. See id. art. 80(6).
179. See id. art. 80(2).
180. See id. art. 2(1) ("[s]hareholders shall not be liable for the obligations of the company and shall bear the risk of loss connected with its activity to the extent of the value of the shares they own").
181. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 2(1) ("[p]articipants in the company shall not be liable for its obligations and shall bear the risk of loss related to company business to the extent of the value of the respective contributions made by them").
182. See GK RF, arts. 56(3), 105.
183. See JSC Law, supra note 120, arts. 3(3), 6(3).
184. See LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 6(3).
185. See GK RF, art. 105(2); JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 6(3); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 6(3).
to determine the course of action of the company."

However, a general principle applies to members of the board and executive body. While these provisions generated major adverse comments from Western practitioners in Russia when they were first introduced with part 1 of the civil code in 1995, they have not proven to be a major factor for investors.

Drafters of the JSC and LLC Laws, each of which appeared after introduction of part 1 of the civil code, apparently sought to mitigate the problems presented by these provisions of the civil code. Careful drafting of charters, the widespread practice of holding shares and interests in Russian JSCs and LLCs through asset-free firebreak entities offshore, and the absence of actual resort to these provisions in the courts have drastically reduced the originally perceived significance of these provisions.

C. LABOR LAW

Russian labor law contains elaborate proscriptions on employers and protections for employees. An employee may be hired and put on a trial basis generally for a period of up to three months or, under certain circumstances, may be hired for a fixed term only.

A permanent employee, however, has a number of statutorily established rights not normally found in American jurisprudence except perhaps in rules applicable to state and federal civil service employment. For example, the labor law provides for twenty-four days of annual vacation, based on a six-day business week, education leave, maternity leave, extensive sick leave, and substantial protection in the case of termination.

Russian law does not restrict employment of Russian nationals by foreign companies, and employment contracts governed by foreign law have been successfully used. The application of foreign law may be limited to the minimum benefit levels provided for in Russian labor law.

---

186. Such ability is to be determined in court on a case-by-case basis.
187. See GK RF, art. 401; Federal’nyi Zakon No. 6-FZ, Jan. 8, 1998, art. 10, Ross. Gazeta, Jan. 20–21, 1998 [hereinafter Insolvency Law]; JSC Law, supra note 120, art. 6(3); LLC Law, supra note 120, art. 6(3).
188. JSC Law, supra note 120, arts. 3(3), 6(3).
189. The Russian labor law regulatory framework is based upon the Kodeks Zakonov o Trude (Labor Code) [KZoT RF], Dec. 9, 1971 (last amended July 10, 2001) and numerous instructions issued by the Ministry of Labor and its predecessors, some of which date back to the early days of the twentieth century. A new draft Russian Labor Code has been on the agenda of the legislature for years, which regularly triggers public debate. Attempts are underway to reconcile the regulation of employment with market reforms and regulatory developments in the European Union (e.g., in the area of personal data protection).
190. See id. art. 5 (The underlying principle of the labor regulation in Russia is that the terms of an employment contract may not be less favorable than those established by the Labor Code.). The Labor Code purports to protect employees from unreasonable dismissal, discrimination on the basis of gender, ethnic origin, religious and political affiliation and place of residency thus reinforcing the constitutional provisions relating to human rights.
191. See id. arts. 21, 23.
192. See id. art. 17.
193. See id. art. 67.
194. See id. ch. 13.
195. See id. art. 165.
196. See id. art. 33(1.5).
197. For example, in the case of a lay off an employee is entitled to at least two-month advance notice and a severance payment of one month’s wages. See KZoT RF (Labor Code), arts. 40.2, 40.3.
In practice, Russian labor law has not been an obstacle to foreign investment. Currently, Russian workers at all levels are pleased to hold a job with a viable, progressive entity, and the ranks of qualified Russian managers has led to a substantial reduction in the number of expatriate management personnel seconded to Russia since 1998.

D. Joint Ventures

Joint ventures first became popular in the USSR in the late 1980s when the doors for foreign investors were tentatively opened. Joint ventures are often used for business operations where foreign investors find it useful to work with Russian businesses. A distinctive feature of joint ventures today is the substantial degree of sophistication that has evolved in determining the rights and obligations of the parties.

A joint venture may take the form of a contract of simple partnership, which is not a legal entity. This form of joint activity was common prior to enactment of the JSC and LLC Laws and is rarely used today. As noted above, the serious shortcoming of an LLC is the absolute right of a participant to withdraw at any time. Joint ventures are thus normally structured as JSCs. While a joint venture through a JSC could be created by acquiring shares in an existing JSC, many foreign investors embarking on a joint enterprise in Russia prefer, where possible, to start with a newly organized entity with no contingent liabilities for taxes, wages, or otherwise.

VI. Competition and Anti-Monopoly Legislation

Russian anti-monopoly legislation regulates competition in the Russian market and applies to Russia-based companies and foreign companies doing business in the Russian market. This legislation is administered by the Ministry for Anti-monopoly Policy and Support of Entrepreneurship (MAP) and prohibits monopolistic activities, including abuse of a dominant position, limitation of competition, and unfair competition.

Russian anti-monopoly authorities focus on monitoring compliance with anti-monopoly legislation to prevent monopolization of the Russian market. Anti-monopoly legislation also identifies specific corporate activities such as acquisitions, mergers, and others that

---

199. See GK RF, art. 48.
200. See id. art. 1041.
201. See JSC Law, supra note 120.
203. See Monopoly Law, supra note 87, art. 5.
204. See id. art. 4.
205. See id. art. 6.
206. See id. art. 10.
207. Anti-monopoly authorities include the MAP and its territorial agencies; the CBR monitors the compliance of banks and other financial institutions with anti-monopoly legislation.
208. See Monopoly Law, supra note 87, arts. 17, 18 (''(i) approval of the merger of companies; (ii) acquisition of stakes or assets in other companies which provides control over such companies (at least 20% of shares,
either require prior consent\textsuperscript{209} from the MAP or must be reported subsequently.\textsuperscript{210} Violations of anti-monopoly legislation may trigger administrative,\textsuperscript{211} civil,\textsuperscript{212} and criminal liability.\textsuperscript{213}

Russian law prescribes that the founders of a Russian LLC or JSC are required to notify the MAP of the establishment of the Russian company within fifteen days of the date of the company’s registration if the aggregate amount of the balance sheet assets of its founders exceeds 100,000 times the so-called minimum monthly wage\textsuperscript{214} (approximately $350,000).

When acquiring an interest in an LLC or shares in a JSC results in the ownership by an acquirer of more than 20 percent of voting shares of a JSC or interests in an LLC, the acquirer will be required either to file a subsequent notice\textsuperscript{215} with the MAP or obtain its prior consent.\textsuperscript{216} While practitioners differ on this point, arguably, offshore transactions resulting in a change of control over indirectly held Russian companies also require such clearance. Similar clearance requirements apply to acquisitions of assets,\textsuperscript{217} mergers,\textsuperscript{218} and other forms of corporate restructuring. To American lawyers and businessmen the threshold for prior consent seems low. Nevertheless, to date the practice of the MAP is to collect numerous documents and then grant consent. Delays may occur if documents are not readily available or have not previously been translated into Russian, but MAP approvals are generally available on a timely basis.\textsuperscript{219}

VII. Russia’s New Tax Regime

A. General

Fundamental tax reform has been taking place in Russia for the past ten years. Acts adopted in the early 1990s are now being superseded by new legislation. In 1999, part 1 of

\textsuperscript{209} See supra note 87, art. 18.
\textsuperscript{210} See supra note 87, art. 18.
\textsuperscript{211} See id. arts. 22, 23.
\textsuperscript{212} See id. art. 22-1; GK RF, art. 15.
\textsuperscript{213} Ugolovnyi Kodeks RF (Criminal Code) [hereinafter UK RF], art. 178.
\textsuperscript{214} The administratively convenient “minimum monthly wage” (MMW) is an arbitrary value, revised on a regular basis by the government that is used as a standard measure of value in many areas, such as salary, pension, social benefit payments, and establishing fines for minor driving infractions. For example, the MMW was 83.49 rubles from Jan. 1, 1997 to Jan. 1, 2000. During this period the fluctuating value of the ruble moved the value of the MMW from U.S.$14.99 to U.S.$2.96. The value of the MMW was increased to 100 rubles with effect from Jan. 1, 2001 (approximately $3.70).
\textsuperscript{215} See supra note 208.
\textsuperscript{216} See id. art. 17.
\textsuperscript{217} See id. art. 17.
A new Russian tax code came into force. Effective January 1, 2001, the first four chapters of part 2 of the tax code came into effect. These chapters regulate the following taxes: value-added tax (VAT), excise tax, personal income tax, and unified social tax. The rules established by these chapters clarify many issues connected with assessment of VAT and reduce the tax burden on individuals. Chapter 25 of the tax code, which regulates profits tax, was effective January 1, 2002. The balance of more than fifty other taxes remains uncodified. Among these are the road user’s tax and taxes on the property of enterprises.

B. PROFITS TAX

As of January 1, 2002, the overall profit tax rate is 24 percent, consisting of 7.5 percent for the federal budget, 10.5 percent to 14.5 percent for the regional budget, and 2 percent for the local budget. Russian legislation still does not allow the deduction of all reasonable business expenses. It previously provided for a limited list of deductible business expenses. Moreover, for some expenses (for example, advertising expenses and interest on loans) there was a cap on the deduction. However, most deductibility limitations were moderated or eliminated as of January 1, 2002.

C. VALUE-ADDED TAX

Current changes in VAT are designed to eliminate inconsistencies and make VAT legislation consistent with worldwide practice. The rates are:

- zero percent for goods exported from Russia (except for oil, gas condensate, and natural gas exported to the member states of Commonwealth of Independent States) and precious metals sold to banks by taxpayers who extract them;
- 10 percent for meat and meat products, grain, vegetables, seafood, and so on; and
- 20 percent for all other goods and services.

Sales of certain goods and the rendering of certain specified services are exempt from VAT (for example, certain medical goods, banking services, and operations with securities).

---


223. See On the Adoption of the Regulation On the Expenses Incurred in the Production or Sale of Products (Works, Services), Included in the Cost of Products (Works, Services), and on the Procedure of Forming Financial Results Counted for the Purposes of Profits Taxation, Sobr. Zakonod. RF, Aug. 5, 1992.

224. See id. § 2(g).

225. See id. § 2(q).

226. See Tax Code, supra note 220, pt. 2; see generally ch. 25 of the Second Part of the Tax Code.


228. See id. § 1.

229. See id. art. 149.
D. Road User's Tax

Road user's tax is a turnover tax that is levied on the revenues of a company. It is charged at a rate of 1 percent.\textsuperscript{230} This tax is scheduled to be abolished on January 1, 2003.\textsuperscript{231}

E. Tax on the Property of Enterprises

Tax on the property of enterprises is levied on the balance sheet value of tangible and intangible assets and inventory. The maximum tax rate is 2 percent. Subjects of the Russian Federation may establish lower rates.\textsuperscript{232}

F. Personal Income Tax

The tax code provides for three different tax rates depending on the type of taxpayer and kind of income. A general flat tax rate of 13 percent applies to the incomes of Russian tax residents.\textsuperscript{233} An individual is a tax resident of Russia if present in Russia for not less than 183 days in a calendar year.\textsuperscript{234} There are exceptions to this 13-percent rate for lottery prizes, certain insurance payouts, discounted interest, and others, which are taxed at the rate of 35 percent.\textsuperscript{235} A flat rate of 30 percent applies to dividends and incomes of nonresidents.\textsuperscript{236}

G. Unified Social Tax

The unified social tax is a payroll tax imposed on an employer. It is charged at rates ranging from 35.6 percent to 2 percent. This lower rate is effective as of January 1, 2002. The actual rate depends on total employee compensation received annually and is as follows:

- 35.6 percent of the first 100,000 rubles;
- 20 percent of the next 200,000 rubles;
- 10 percent of the next 300,000 rubles; and
- 2 percent of all amounts in excess of 600,000 rubles.\textsuperscript{237}

The unified social tax, payable by employers, became effective on January 1, 2001, and is a great improvement over the 38.5 percent flat rate previously due from an employer with respect to the entire amount of an employee’s salary.


\textsuperscript{233} See Tax Code, \textit{supra} note 220, pt. 2, ch. 23, art. 224, § 1.

\textsuperscript{234} See id. pt. 1, art. 11, § 2.

\textsuperscript{235} See id. pt. 2, ch. 23, art. 224, § 2.

\textsuperscript{236} See id. pt. 2, ch. 23, art. 224, § 3.

\textsuperscript{237} See id. pt. 2, ch. 24, art. 241.
VIII. Tax Incentives

A. Federal Law

Before January 1, 2002, Russian tax legislation provided incentives to certain taxpayers that offered limited relief from certain taxes. Profits tax incentives include a deduction of up to 50 percent of taxable income for productive capital investments. There was also an exemption from taxation of profits derived from newly acquired manufacturing facilities that cost at least 20,000,000 rubles. This exemption was for three years or until the entire investment had been recovered, whichever occurred first. These incentives, however, are abolished as of January 1, 2002.

Certain small businesses and individual entrepreneurs may be exempt from VAT if they do not deal in excisable goods. A widely used exemption from VAT applies to the import of technological equipment contributed to the charter capital of a Russian company. Property of certain entities, such as agricultural processing and storage facilities, may not be subject to property tax. Regional and local authorities also have the right to establish other tax benefits for certain types of taxpayers.

B. Other Incentives

The right of regional and local authorities to grant tax concessions on federal taxes to the extent of payments to their budgets was previously provided in articles 1 and 10 of the Federal Law on the Fundamentals of the Tax System of the Russian Federation. These provisions were superseded on January 1, 1999, when part 1 of the tax code came into force. A new law enacted in August 2000, but effective from April 1, 1999, establishes the right of regional and local legislative bodies to grant tax concessions on the profits tax (which is a federal tax) to the extent of payments to their own regional or local budget. Regional and local authorities may also grant concessions on regional and local taxes if the law establishing such tax provides them with such power. However, tax incentives may not be of an individual character but may be established only for a particular category of taxpayers.

IX. Tax Incentives Available Only to Foreign Investors

Russian legislation provides that applicable taxes on investments by foreigners and the profits received therefrom shall not be greater than the taxes imposed on Russian taxpayers.
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While this general rule provides for national treatment of foreign investors, the Law on Foreign Investments in the Russian Federation\(^{248}\) provides for certain exclusions from this rule.\(^{250}\)

The foreign investment law also contains a so-called grandfather clause, which covers federal taxes and customs duties.\(^{251}\) It provides protection from subsequent adverse changes in tax legislation that would increase the overall tax burden on the activities of (1) companies with at least 25-percent foreign ownership and (2) commercial organizations with foreign investments carrying out a priority investment project.\(^{252}\) A *priority investment project* is a project with an overall foreign investment of not less than one billion rubles (currently approximately $34.8 million) or a project carried out by a company with foreign investment if the overall amount of foreign investment in its charter capital is at least one hundred million rubles (currently approximately $3.4 million). In both cases, a priority investment project must be included on a list approved by the government.\(^{253}\) The grandfather clause protects qualifying commercial organizations for an investment recoupment period, which may not exceed seven years.\(^{254}\)

On January 1, 2001, Federal Law No. 118-FZ entered into force. This law grants local authorities the right to establish an additional five-percent profits tax.\(^{255}\) Whether the grandfather clause protects against this tax is still unsettled.

### X. The Double Tax Convention

The Russia-U.S. Double Tax Convention\(^{256}\) entered into force on December 16, 1993, and replaced an outdated treaty between the United States and the USSR, which had been signed on June 20, 1973. The double tax convention established certain benefits related to taxation of income and capital,\(^{257}\) which are not otherwise available under Russian law. For example, there is a 5-percent or 10-percent\(^{258}\) tax rate on dividends. This contrasts with the normal Russian rate of 15 percent for corporations\(^{259}\) and 30 percent for individuals.\(^{260}\) In addition, interest and royalties, which are earned from sources in Russia by a U.S. resident subject to Russian personal income tax, are taxed only by the United States.\(^{261}\) There
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is also an income tax credit in respect of income tax paid by residents of the United States in Russia.262

XI. Accounting

Pursuant to Russian legislation, all bookkeeping records are to be kept in the Russian language, expressed in Russian rubles,263 and maintained in accordance with Russian bookkeeping standards.264 At present, Russian accounting standards are undergoing massive changes to make them more consistent with international accounting standards and the needs and experience of market economy investors.265 Many aspects of Russian accounting have already been revised, including the following: accounting policies;266 accounting for intangible assets, fixed assets, income, and expenses;267 valuation of assets and liabilities in foreign currency;268 contingencies;269 and segment information.270

Effective January 1, 2002, accounting records of a Russian company must be maintained on the basis of a new chart of accounts approved by the Ministry of Finance on October 31, 2000.271 Financial statements (the balance sheet and profit and loss accounts) must be submitted to tax authorities and the State Statistics Committee quarterly and annually.272 Some entities must publish their annual financial statements in a form accessible to all interested persons.273

Every transaction entered into by a company must be evidenced by primary accounting documents.274 The required documents are generally specified by the State Statistics Committee. Primary accounting documents, accounting ledgers, and bookkeeping reports must be maintained for not less than five years.275

Most foreign investors find it convenient to have accounting and bookkeeping functions performed by a full-time Russian accountant276 (the chief accountant) even if they have an expatriate chief financial officer.

XII. Real Estate

The constitution establishes that land may be owned privately.277 This constitutional right remained unfulfilled in most of Russia because there was no land code and the relevant
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provisions of the civil code had been suspended pending adoption of such a code. On September 20, 2001, after a seven-year struggle, the State Duma passed a new land code. The Federation Council (the upper house) approved the land code on October 10, 2001.

Prior to the enactment of the land code, a plot of land and a building were not irrevocably linked. Buildings were owned even though situated upon land under long-term lease. The new land code establishes the principle that in the event of transfer of land, objects connected with the land follow.

Rights of ownership in real estate, their transfer, and their termination are subject to registration in a state register, which was established only in 1998. Rights to real estate crystallize only upon such registration. State registration of rights to real estate and transactions involving such rights are regulated by the Real Estate Registration Law. Registration of rights established prior to the effective date of this law is not mandatory. Thus, encumbrances may exist which are not reflected in the state register. Information regarding real property rights registered with the state is publicly accessible, although not yet in electronic form.

XIII. Currency Transactions
A. General

The CBR serves a dual role. It regulates the activity of commercial banks with the power to enact normative acts (regulations) binding on legal entities, individuals and other state bodies; it is also a legal entity with the power to engage in commercial activity. Along with the federal government, the CBR is the state body responsible for exchange control.

Under Russian law there are two types of regulated currency transactions: current transactions and transactions “connected with the movement of capital.” Russian legislation specifies the list of current transactions:

(i) transfers to and from Russia of foreign currency as payment for the import and export of goods (works, services and the results of intellectual activity) and as payment connected with the providing of credit for export and import transactions for terms which do not exceed ninety days.
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(ii) the receipt and extension of financial credit for terms not exceeding 180 days;290
(iii) transfers to and from Russia of interest payments, dividends and other income on deposits, investments, credits and other transactions connected with the movement of capital;291 and
(iv) transfers of a non-commercial nature to and from Russia, including the transfer of wages and salaries, pensions, alimony, legacies, and similar transactions.292

Currency operations "connected with the movement of capital" include

(i) direct investments, for example, contributions to the charter capital of enterprises to earn income and to obtain the right to participate in the management of such enterprises;293
(ii) portfolio investments (i.e., the acquisition of securities);294
(iii) cash transfers to pay for the right of ownership to buildings, facilities and other property, including land and the mineral resources thereof, which constitute real estate according to the legislation of the country in which they are located, and also for other rights to real estate;295
(iv) extension of credit for payments deferred for more than ninety days and the receipt of payment therefor for exports and imports of goods (works, services, the results of intellectual activity);296
(v) the extension and receipt of financial credits for a term exceeding 180 days;297 and
(vi) any other currency transaction that is not a current currency transaction.298

Movement-of-capital transactions and current transactions are subject to very different rules. Russian law provides that current currency operations of residents do not need a license from the CBR. Currency operations connected with the movement of capital, which include all transactions not otherwise defined as current, must be performed in accordance with procedures established by the CBR.299 As a general rule, movement-of-capital transactions by Russian residents, including legal entities owned by non-Russian residents, may be performed only when a resident has a license issued by the CBR. Nevertheless, there are some operations connected with the movement of capital that are exempt from the licensing regime (for instance, charter capital contributions by nonresidents in foreign currency and transfers by residents in payment for insurance premiums to nonresident insurers).300
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New rules on the opening of nonresident ruble accounts were announced in the fall of 2000 and came into effect in March 2001. Under these rules, authorized Russian banks may open three types of ruble accounts for nonresidents. These are (1) type K accounts (a convertible account); (2) type N accounts (a nonconvertible account), which are for both legal entities and individuals; and (3) type F accounts for individuals. The kinds of operations that may be effected through the above-mentioned accounts are described in schedule 1 to Instruction No. 93-I.

B. Repatriation of Proceeds

There exists a general repatriation rule providing that all foreign currency proceeds of Russian residents must be credited to their accounts with authorized banks in the Russian Federation unless the CBR specifically authorizes otherwise.

C. Mandatory Currency Conversion

There is also a mandatory currency conversion requirement providing that 50 percent of a Russian resident’s foreign currency proceeds from the export of goods is subject to compulsory sale through authorized banks on the domestic Russian market. The conversion must be performed within seven days following receipt by a resident of such foreign currency proceeds.

There are no prohibitions on Russian residents, including legal entities that are owned by foreign investors and are incurring foreign currency obligations. Most importantly, there is no relationship between the amount of foreign currency a Russian resident may purchase with rubles and the amount of foreign currency his business operations generate.

XIV. Dispute Resolution

There are two parallel Russian court systems of interest to foreign investors: the regular civil courts and the arbitrazh courts. Arbitrazh courts have been especially established to hear commercial disputes. Despite the name arbitrazh, these are courts, not venues for commercial arbitration. An alternative forum in Russia where a foreign party is involved
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is arbitration under the auspices of the International Commercial Arbitration Court of the Chamber of Commerce and Industry.

The USSR was party to the 1958 New York Convention. Russia has succeeded to these arrangements, and there has been some success in enforcing foreign arbitral awards in Russia. Such enforcement is not a simple process and, as described earlier, arbitral awards inconsistent with Russian public policy are not enforceable. Given the short history of modern Russia, predicting which aspects of public policy may preclude enforcement of foreign arbitral awards under the 1958 New York Convention has not proved straightforward. For that reason and to avoid the significant delays and costs of foreign arbitration, more and more foreign investors are opting for direct access to Russian courts. Lower level judges are not yet sophisticated in dealing with commercial disputes. There is no discovery, as American lawyers know it, involved in Russian litigation. Proceedings are relatively quick, especially when compared to arbitration or crowded American court dockets, and foreign litigating parties find that the right result is normally obtained.

XV. Secured Landing

A. General

While Russia's domestic banking system has hardly begun to develop into anything like a traditional market economy mechanism for recycling savings into productive loans, foreign banks and a few Russian banks are active in secured lending into Russia principally through secured pre-export financing and other comparable mechanisms.

As noted, it is possible for a Russian entity to enter into a security arrangement under foreign law. With a few caveats, there is no prohibition in Russian law against a foreign party taking security over an asset situated in Russia or owned by a Russian party in a manner provided by foreign law. However, to avoid problems of enforcement in Russian courts, lenders often find it preferable to establish security arrangements under Russian law since Russian courts and other authorities are more familiar with Russian methods of taking security. Another reason for the use of such Russian security is that in bankruptcy, preferred creditor status may not be achieved if a non-Russian pledge is used. Current practice is that parties to cross-border finance transactions will use a Russian law pledge over property or bank accounts and will often take foreign law security assignments over receivables. Security for performance of obligations is regulated by the civil code, the Law on Pledge, dated May 29, 1992, the Mortgage Law, and a few other laws.
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The civil code provides that performance of obligations may be secured by pledge, suretyship, bank guarantee, deposit, retention of the debtor's property (lien), penalty, or by such other means as provided for by law or contract. The most commonly used means of effecting security in Russia are described in the upcoming sections.

B. PLEDGE

A Russian pledge provides the pledgee with a right in the property pledged, which is good against persons other than those who are parties to the pledge contract. As a result, if the pledged property is sold, the rights of pledge do not end, but follow the property. A Russian law pledge may thus be said to create rights that attach to the asset itself and is not merely a claim against the initial owner of the asset. Under Russian law, this principle is not affected by the fact that a subsequent purchaser of property subject to a pledge is a good faith purchaser who acquires the property for value.

1. Priority of Claims

Priority for satisfying claims of creditors upon the liquidation of a legal entity is established by the civil code and by the Insolvency Law. Secured creditors holding a valid pledge of property of an insolvent legal entity are third in line (fourth if the entity is a bank because of the priority given to depositors) since, in Russia, individuals with claims relating to (1) health and personal injuries and (2) severance and employment related obligations have priority over secured creditors. However, properly secured claims have priority over claims of the state for profits tax or payments owed by an employer to the state in the nature of social security obligations. In practice, once a debtor has been declared insolvent by a court, all property is included in a pool of assets, and following satisfaction in full of prior claims, secured creditors are satisfied out of the entire pool.

2. Property That Can Be Pledged

The civil code provides that almost anything can be pledged except (1) assets removed from circulation (property withdrawn from circulation is that which is stipulated to be so by law), and (2) claims of a personal nature that cannot be assigned, such as payments in compensation for tort. Generally, if one can sell it, one can pledge it.

3. Mortgage

The civil code provides that a mortgage is a specific form of pledge. Thus, a mortgage is a pledge of land, an enterprise, a building, an apartment, or other form of
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property. The definition of *immovable property* also includes aircraft, ships, outer space objects, and what American real property law broadly recognizes as *fixtures*.

4. Perfection of Pledge

To be valid, a Russian pledge must be in writing and must specifically identify the item pledged, the value of the item pledged, and details of the obligation secured. Failure to include one or more of the required items renders the pledge invalid.

Generally, immovable property and transactions involving immovable property are subject to state registration. Hence, Russian law requires a mortgage contract be registered in the uniform state register of rights to immovable property. However, since Russian law does not provide an exhaustive list of property subject to state registration, one must determine in each case whether the property to be pledged is registered and whether it is necessary to register the pledge.

The general principle is that a contract of pledge is concluded at the time the contract is executed unless the pledge is subject to registration. A pledge subject to state registration, which includes all mortgages, is effective only upon registration. A pledge may exist only if it is not prohibited by a prior pledge agreement. If such a prohibition exists, any subsequent pledge may be declared invalid. A mortgage is a public transaction. Therefore, an interested party can acquire evidence of registration and be in a position to know whether the property was previously mortgaged. Priority is established strictly by reference to the time of state registration.

Notarial certification is required for a mortgage contract. Failure to certify a mortgage contract results in its invalidity. A pledge of movable property or rights to such property is also subject to notarial certification if the obligation secured thereby requires such certification. Currently notarial certification requires payment of a fee of 1.5 percent of the value of the contract.

5. Parties to a Pledge

Under Russian law the pledgor may be either the debtor under the principal obligation that is being secured or a third party. If the security is granted by a third party, there may
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be an interested-party issue under the JSC Law or the LLC Law.\(^\text{347}\) In one case, the Supreme Arbitrazh Court held that a pledge agreement concluded by a third party securing the obligation of an unconnected company resulted in a gift of property, which is prohibited between commercial entities in Russia.\(^\text{348}\) This issue remains unsettled.

The pledgor must be the owner of the property or a person who has the property under a “right of economic management.”\(^\text{349}\) Obviously, failure to own the pledged property makes the pledge agreement invalid. However, a pledge may be created over after-acquired property—that is, property that is not owned by the pledgor at the time the pledge is created but becomes owned by the pledgor at a later date.\(^\text{350}\) In this case the pledge is effective only when the pledgor becomes the owner of the after-acquired property.

6. Enforcement of a Pledge

The exclusive remedy available to a secured creditor on enforcement of a pledge of property is sale of the asset at a public auction.\(^\text{351}\) Self-help remedies such as exercising a power of sale or taking possession of a secured asset are not available. The involvement of a court and a bailiff in a sale of secured assets is also required in certain circumstances, and significant delays may be encountered.\(^\text{352}\) In addition, enforcement is available only if the debtor has materially failed to perform the obligation for which it is responsible, and the amount of the pledgee’s claim is not “manifestly disproportionate to the value of the pledged property.”\(^\text{353}\) This limitation means that some events of default typically included in financing documents may not give rise to a right to levy execution.

When levying execution against immovable property, a court decision is required unless the parties conclude, after the debtor’s failure to perform, a notarially certified agreement providing that the levying of execution may be performed without a court decision.\(^\text{354}\) If the pledge is of movable property, execution against such property must occur pursuant to a decision of a court unless the pledgor and pledgee have agreed to another method of levying execution.\(^\text{355}\) There is no prescribed time for when such an agreement needs to be concluded. Thus, it is possible for the parties to agree in the pledge agreement that the levying of execution against the relevant movable property may occur without court proceedings.

It should be noted that Russian law contains restrictions on a nonresident’s ability to receive and convert rubles. This restriction needs to be borne in mind when security is taken by way of a Russian law pledge since the enforcement of such security would normally result in ruble proceeds.

7. Pledge of Cash Deposits/Bank Accounts

Many secured finance structures involve taking security over bank accounts of a debtor. However, the Supreme Arbitrazh Court has held in a number of decisions\(^\text{356}\) that money
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cannot be the subject of a pledge. The rationale for these decisions is that money cannot be sold at public auction and an auction is the only permitted mechanism for enforcement of a pledge. To accommodate these decisions, pledges of accounts are now being structured as a pledge of the pledgor's rights to such accounts. However, it is not yet certain that this distinction will be recognized by Russian courts.

8. Shared Security

There is no concept of either a security trustee or a security agent in Russian law. Therefore, security for several beneficiaries or a changing group of beneficiaries is not generally available without either assigning the benefit of such security or using a parallel debt structure, under which another parallel obligation of a debtor is created in favor of an agent acting as a security trustee for all members of a syndicate.

C. Suretyship

Suretyship under Russian law is a form of guarantee provided by non-credit organizations. The essence of suretyship is that a surety is liable in full or in part to the creditor of another person for the performance of an obligation of such other person. If a debtor under an obligation secured by suretyship fails to perform, the surety is liable to the creditor to the same extent as the debtor unless otherwise provided by the contract of suretyship. If the secured obligation involves payment in hard currency, the requirements of Russian currency control legislation will need to be considered.

The express consent of a surety is required for any change in the scope of a secured obligation. Failure to secure such consent permits a challenge to the validity of the suretyship. This is the case even when the underlying obligation grants its parties the unilateral right to change or amend its terms and the surety is aware of such right. A surety that has satisfied the obligation of a debtor acquires the creditor's rights under such obligation and can claim from the debtor the amounts paid to the creditor plus compensation for all other losses incurred in connection with performing the debtor's obligations.

XVI. Criminal Law

Russian criminal law is governed by the constitution, the criminal code, and the criminal procedural code. Criminal liability in Russia does not extend to legal entities but only to individuals of appropriate age and mental capacity.

The criminal code provides for criminal liability for certain kinds of business activities. Under Russian law, crimes in the area of business and economics include, among many others, the following, which could be of concern to foreign investors:
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• unlawful entrepreneurial activity, such as operating without a required license;
    \[367\]
• production, purchase, storage, transportation, or sale of unmarked goods;
    \[368\]
• unlicensed banking activity;
    \[369\]
• money-laundering or other fraudulent acquisition of assets;
    \[370\]
• acquisition or sale of property knowingly obtained in a criminal manner;
    \[371\]
• fraudulent securing of a loan;
    \[372\]
• deliberate evasion of repayment of debts;
    \[373\]
• abuse of a monopolistic economic position or restricting competition;
    \[374\]
• extortion and duress in entering into contracts;
    \[375\]
• illegal use of a trademark or of state certification marks;
    \[376\]
• false advertising;
    \[377\]
• illegal procurement of commercial or banking secrets;
    \[378\]
• utilizing a fraudulent prospectus in connection with issuing securities;
    \[379\]
• counterfeiting credit or debit cards and other payment documents;
    \[380\]
• illegal import of goods;
    \[381\]
• illegal export of technology or of scientific and technical information and services, materials, and equipment that may be used for the purposes of creating weapons of mass destruction, armaments, and military hardware, the export of which is restricted;
    \[382\]
• illegal sale of precious metals, precious stones, or pearls;
    \[383\]
• violation of rules for handing over precious metals and precious stones to the state;
    \[384\]
• failure to comply with rules regarding the repatriation of foreign currency from abroad;
    \[385\]
• evasion of payment of required customs duties;
    \[386\]
• bankruptcy fraud;
    \[387\]
• fictitious bankruptcy;
    \[388\]
XVII. Conclusion

The current Russian legal environment is certainly one in which American companies may and, in fact, do thrive. Notwithstanding crisis headlines and alarming sound bites, the new Russia is working. Experience over the past ten years has confirmed that there is no simple, straightforward way to convert a command economy that is dominated by military-oriented production into a consumer-oriented market economy. It is now evident that it is not just the collapse of a wall, the voluntary, peaceful dissolution of a nuclear superpower, or even the well intentioned efforts of many erudite Western academicians that create a functioning market economy based upon the rule of law. Progress in the new Russia to date has required—and further progress toward a prosperous market economy in the new Russia will continue to require—the incessant efforts of many millions of democratically inclined consumers, voters, business leaders, legislators, lawyers, and government officials.

One should recall that the successful consumer economy in the United States did not develop overnight, and notwithstanding its current effectiveness, U.S. legislation is revised regularly. It should be further noted that despite experienced, sophisticated, well funded enforcement personnel, scandals and abuse emerge regularly in the U.S. economy. The many American-based companies successfully doing business in Russia have found that the legal basis for a favorable business climate already exists in Russia.
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