

SMU Law Review

Volume 58 | Issue 2 Article 1

January 2005

Front Matter

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 58 SMU L. Rev. i (2005) https://scholar.smu.edu/smulr/vol58/iss2/1

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in SMU Law Review by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit http://digitalrepository.smu.edu.

SMU Law Review

VOLUME 58 SPRING 2005 NUMBER 2

© 2005 by Southern Methodist University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Λ.	RT	T/T	T.C
$\boldsymbol{\alpha}$	ж.	ı	Æ

Who Are Those Guys? An Empirical Examination of Medical Malpractice Plaintiffs' Attorneys	225
Medical Monitoring Without Physical Injury: The Least Justice Can Do for Those Industry has Terrorized With Poisonous Products	251
Evolution, Creation-Science, and the Meaning of Primary Religious Purpose G. Sidney Buchanan	303
Jury of Our Peers: An Unfulfilled Constitutional Promise Robert C. Walters, Michael D. Marin and Mark Curriden	319
The Evolutionary Drift of Vicarious Liability and Contributory Infringement: From Interstitial Gap Filler to Arbiter of the Content Wars	357
Prime-Time Lies: Do Portrayals of Lawyers Influence How People Think About the Legal Profession?	411
Casenotes	
Religious Land Use—Eleventh Circuit Broadly Interprets Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000 to Leave Local Governments Nearly Powerless to Zone Houses of Worship	465

Partnership—Sharing Of Profits—The	
United States Bankruptcy Court For The	
Northern District Of Texas Holds An	
Insurer Liable For The Bankruptcy Debt Of	
Its Obligor Based On Finding Of De Facto	
Partnership When Premiums Entitled The	
Insurer To Share In The Obligor's Profits Sarah Moore	473
Constitutional Law—The Eleventh Circuit	
Fumbles the Supreme Court's	
Recognition of a Due Process	
Right to Sexual Intimacy	481
Employment Discrimination—Age Discrimination—	
The Fifth Circuit Holds a Plaintiff May	
Utilize the Mixed-Motives Method of	
Analysis in Age Discrimination Cases,	
Absent any Direct Evidence	
of Discrimination	
Rachid v. Jack in the Box, Inc Lindsey Watkins	487