

1981

Front Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc>

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 46 J. Air L. & Com. i (1981)
<https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc/vol46/iss2/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Air Law and Commerce by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit <http://digitalrepository.smu.edu>.

The Journal of Air Law and Commerce

VOLUME 46

1981

NUMBER 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATIONS: FUNCTIONAL AND LEGAL PERSPECTIVES	<i>C. O. Miller</i>	237
CANADIAN PROCEDURAL LAW IN AVIATION LITIGATION	<i>Eric M. Lane and D. Bruce Garrow</i>	295
GOVERNMENT GUARANTIES FOR AIRCRAFT FINANCING	<i>Michael Downey Rice</i>	329
SPECIAL PROJECT — THE MODEL UNIFORM PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT		
INTRODUCTION — THE MODEL UNIFORM PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT: PINNING DOWN PRODUCTS LAW	<i>Larry A. Ribstein</i>	349
MODEL UNIFORM PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT: AN ANALYSIS OF ARBITRATION CLAIMS UNDER SECTION 116	<i>Arnold</i>	359
THE MODEL UNIFORM PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT: BASIC STANDARDS OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR MANUFACTURERS	<i>Jobe</i>	389
EXAMINING THE PLAINTIFF'S CONDUCT UNDER THE MODEL UNIFORM PRODUCT LIABILITY ACT	<i>Mitchell</i>	419
THE COUNTER ATTACK TO RETAKE THE CITADEL CONTINUES: AN ANALYSIS OF THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATUTES OF REPOSE IN PRODUCTS LIABILITY	<i>Turner</i>	449

COMMENTS

SUCCESSOR LIABILITY IN CORPORATE ACQUISITIONS — AN EXAMINATION OF ATTEMPTS TO LIMIT THE USE OF THE DE FACTO MERGER DOCTRINE . . . Dyer 483

CASENOTES AND STATUTE NOTES

TORTS—GOVERNMENT INSPECTION AND CERTIFICATION OF PRIVATE PROPERTY — In the Absence of Liability under the Applicable State Good Samaritan Doctrine, the United States is not Liable Pursuant to The Federal Tort Claims Act for Negligence in the Inspection of Private Activities or Property, even though Federal Statutes or Regulations Direct that Government Employees Undertake the Activity. United Scottish Insurance Company v. United States, 614 F.2d 188 (9th Cir. 1979). . . . 525

CIVIL PROCEDURE—PERSONAL JURISDICTION — Mere Foreseeability of Contacts with a State is not the Test for Personal Jurisdiction; To Satisfy Due Process a Nonresident Defendant Must have Such Contacts with a State that He is on Clear Notice that He is there Subject to Suit. World-Wide Volkswagen Corp. v. Woodson, 100 S. Ct. 559 (1980) 541

CURRENT LITERATURE

Bibliography 567