

1981

Front Matter

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc>

Recommended Citation

Front Matter, 46 J. Air L. & Com. i (1981)
<https://scholar.smu.edu/jalc/vol46/iss3/1>

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Air Law and Commerce by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit <http://digitalrepository.smu.edu>.

The Journal of Air Law and Commerce

VOLUME 46

1981

NUMBER 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HANDLING FAA ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS: A
VIEW FROM THE INSIDE . . . *Michael J. Pangia* 573

ADMINISTRATIVE PRACTICE BEFORE THE FAA
AND NTSB: PROBLEMS, TRENDS AND
DEVELOPMENTS *J. Scott Hamilton* 615

SMALL COMMUNITY AIR SERVICE UNDER
THE AIRLINE DEREGULATION ACT OF
1978 . . . *Arnold I. Havens and David A. Heymsfeld* 641

COUNTING THE DRAGON'S TEETH: FOREIGN SOVEREIGN
IMMUNITY AND ITS IMPACT ON INTERNATIONAL
AVIATION LITIGATION *Kevin F. Cook* 687

COMMENTS

AMERICANS AND THE MOON TREATY *Griffin* 729

OPPORTUNITY OR MISFORTUNE: AIRLINE
MANAGEMENT FACES AN INTEGRATED SEC
DISCLOSURE SYSTEM *Tucker* 765

CASENOTES AND STATUTE NOTES

FEDERAL AVIATION ACT—AIRLINE EXIT REGULATION—The Power of the Civil Aeronautics Board under the Airline Deregulation Act Includes the Power to Order an Incumbent Airline to Provide Back-up Service for a Replacement Carrier in order to Insure Essential Air Transportation Service to the Affected City on a Continuing Basis. *Frontier Airlines, Inc. v. CAB*, 621 F.2d 369 (10th Cir. 1980). 805

PRODUCTS LIABILITY—EXEMPLARY DAMAGES—Compliance with Industry Custom Evidences “Slight Care” By the Defendant, Thereby Precluding Plaintiffs From Recovering Exemplary Damages Under Texas Law. *Maxey v. Freightliner Corp.*, 623 F.2d 395 (5th Cir. 1980). 823

FEDERAL AVIATION ACT—IMPLIED PRIVATE REMEDY UNDER FEDERAL REGULATORY STATUTE—Charter Air Travelers Damaged by a Bank’s Violation of the Civil Aeronautics Board Regulations Governing Charter Security Arrangements Have an Implied Private Cause of Action against the Bank under Section 401 (n) (2) of the Federal Aviation Act. *Bratton v. Shiffrin*, 15 Av. L. REP. (CCH) 18,076 (7th Cir. 1980), *cert. denied*, 49 U.S.L.W. 3526 (U.S. Jan. 26, 1981) (No. 80-146). 845

CURRENT LITERATURE

BIBLIOGRAPHY 869