Currency Unification in the European Economic Community: The Mechanics, Politics, and Probability for Success

David P. Valenti

Recommended Citation
https://scholar.smu.edu/til/vol28/iss4/8

This Comment is brought to you for free and open access by the Law Journals at SMU Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in International Lawyer by an authorized administrator of SMU Scholar. For more information, please visit http://digitalrepository.smu.edu.
Currency Unification in the European Economic Community: The Mechanics, Politics, and Probability for Success

The Bretton-Woods Agreement of the 1950s was the first modern attempt to stabilize European currencies versus each other on a long-term basis. The agreement was limited to minimizing changes in exchange rates on foreign exchange markets between a small number of European countries, far fewer than the European Economic Community (EEC) comprises. The agreement lasted for nearly twenty years and was moderately successful in achieving its goal of stabilization. However, due to its limited scope, the agreement was inevitably doomed to failure as countries' individual priorities took precedence over the EEC-oriented goal of the agreement, namely exchange-rate stabilization. Increased inflation and other economic pressures during the late 1960s and early 1970s led the agreement's original participants (Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands) to abandon the constraints of the global policies set forth in the agreement in favor of policies that would improve their individual economies. The abandonment of the Bretton-Woods agreement led to wild fluctuations in exchange rates among many European countries and to uncertainty in European capital and goods markets. The failure of the Bretton-Woods Agreement...
Woods Agreement also helped to usher in a period of soaring inflation and economic hardship throughout Europe.\(^7\)

The current effort to stabilize markets and exchange rates in Europe, called the European Monetary System (EMS), is based in part on what the EEC learned from the successes and failures of the Bretton-Woods Agreement.\(^8\) Yet, the current endeavor by the EEC is far more comprehensive than the Bretton-Woods Agreement because it includes objectives in fiscal and monetary policy coordination as well as currency stabilization.\(^9\) The members of the EEC recognized that one of the major flaws in the Bretton-Woods system was the lack of political and fiscal coordination.\(^10\) Therefore, the EEC members also established the goals of creating fiscal, monetary, and political unification throughout the entire EEC region.\(^11\) In addition to other differences, the current plan envisions the EMS as promoting not simply currency stabilization, which was the primary goal of the Bretton-Woods Agreement, but currency unification.\(^12\)

A key feature of the EMS is the proposed establishment of a common currency for intra-EEC transactions that could eventually become a currency suitable for international trade.\(^13\) The current candidate for use as the sole currency throughout the EEC is the European Currency Unit (ECU).\(^14\) The basic premise of and problems facing the ECU are similar to those of the Bretton-Woods system.\(^15\) The problems include the tendency for countries to abandon their agreements during times of hardship in favor of policies supporting their own nationalistic interests.\(^16\) The success the EEC achieves in overcoming such problems will ultimately determine the success or failure of the EMS.\(^17\) The EEC may have already overcome one of its larger hurdles to achieving currency unification through recognition by the Member States that lasting economic stability throughout the region requires fiscal, monetary, and political uniformity and not simply currency stability.\(^18\)

The purpose of this Comment is to demonstrate how the technicalities of creating a single currency are simple compared to the political complexities, and why,
in the end, the political problems are likely to forestall any real progress towards currency unification. Part I discusses some potential effects of currency unification, including an overview of the EMS. Part II looks at the place of the ECU within the EMS and the progress the EMS has achieved toward currency unification. Part III evaluates the possibility of the successful introduction of a unified currency within the EMS.

I. What Could Currency Unification Mean Within the EMS?

A. A HYPOTHESIS

Just when the world thought that the latest series of corporate layoffs was ending, here they come again. Only this time they will likely be longer and more drastic than ever before. Europe, which once looked to be one of the world's most promising growth markets of the 1990s, has suddenly taken a turn for the worse. Europe is pitched in a deep recession with runaway inflation and pervasive high unemployment throughout the entire region. The prospect of 2 percent gross domestic product (GDP) growth in the United States, once thought to be stagnant, leads the world. Indeed, economists are predicting that Europe's GDP may even be shrinking in the face of overwhelming problems. High inflation in many European countries has led to sharp increases in unemployment throughout the region and a drastic reduction of disposable income for most Europeans.

The only difference between this slowdown in the European economy and similar slowdowns of the past is that the governments of Europe have lost the ability to control the situation. The result in the United States is much the same as it is in Europe. A reduction in personal income has precipitated a corresponding decrease in demand for products produced in the United States. The U.S. economy is experiencing a dramatic slowdown, an increase in the inflation rate in order to compensate for the lost profits, wages, and taxes, and high interest rates that harken back to the 1970s. The United States is also facing a new onslaught of corporate down-sizings.

The European governments precipitated this loss of control by relinquishing the major policy tools that had previously been available to them to correct these problems.\footnote{Marc Dassesse, Selected Aspects of European Economic Community Law on Investments and Acquisitions in Europe, 25 INT'L LAW. 375, 389-90 (1991).} No longer can an EEC member government use fiscal or monetary policy to help slow down inflation or decrease unemployment.\footnote{Shulman, supra note 4, at 409.} That power has been reserved for a central authority responsible for setting economic policy for the entire EEC.\footnote{Id. at 410.} Everything from setting interest rates to printing money is controlled, not by each country, but by the European Central Bank (ECB).\footnote{Id. at 410.}
Individual governments can no longer determine what the best economic course may be for their nations, but rather must live with decisions made by the ECB. Unfortunately, the decisions that the bank has determined to be the best for the region as a whole may not be in the best interest of any one particular country. The result is that the separate policies that are in the best interest of each country individually are subordinated to a unified, and consequently less efficient, policy. Governments have replaced precise management of economies at an individual country level with generalized policies suitable only for the "average" country in an entire community of states. The ECB policy control is tantamount to a surgeon operating with a jackhammer or a musician playing the piano while wearing mittens.

This situation sounds like a plausible and even familiar illustration of governmental bureaucracy in action. Yet, it is possible that an entire community of countries could be making such a major strategic blunder. This devastation is a likely result of the move toward currency unification and a fully integrated EMS in the EEC. When the national currencies of the EEC member countries are eliminated and a single currency takes over, the resulting loss of control by EEC members over their fiscal and economic policies is likely to cause the type of uncontrollable inflation and reduced demand that could send European and other world economies into a tailspin and result in another prolonged and deep recession, or even another depression. This loss of policy control will lead to the exporting of inflation and unemployment throughout the EEC like that seen when the Black Plague descended upon Europe in the Middle Ages. If such terrible destruction is a possible result of the adoption of a unified currency in Europe, the important consideration becomes how likely is it that currency unification will happen.

B. An Overview of the EMS

The foregoing hypothesis predicting the economic destruction of the world was predicated on the assumption that the EMS was fully operational and that currency unification had taken place. The situation presented was one in which the governments of the EEC countries had little ability to influence the economic world on their own. The discussion now turns to what might motivate a logical government, knowing the potentially disastrous effects of unification, to get involved in such a plan.

23. Dassesse, supra note 19, at 390.
24. Id.
25. Shulman, supra note 4, at 411.
27. Id.
The answer may be as simple as human nature. At some point in time, some unknown person had the idea that a Europe unified by currency would be better off than one divided. And while that idea may have been valid in the past, it does not necessarily hold true today. However, human nature can lead the authors of a concept to feel obligated to accomplish it no matter how the situation has changed since the idea was first conceived.

Certainly, economic cooperation is not a newly conceived idea within Europe. Even before the Bretton-Woods Agreement of the early 1950s Europeans were striving to make trade simpler throughout the region. Indeed, the European effort is quite understandable considering, for example, how difficult it would be to move throughout the United States if it were necessary to exchange currency upon entering a new state. Imagine trying to conduct interstate business knowing that you must have fifty different currencies available at all times. Businesses could end up spending more time trying to keep straight the exchange rates between all of the states than in trying to sell their products.

While other avenues for monetary cooperation are available, such as reserve pooling and reciprocal lines of credit, the EEC settled on monetary unification as its goal. The current attempt to achieve currency stability, the EMS, is not the first to be attempted by European governments. In 1957 the Treaty of Rome was signed by Belgium, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, and the Netherlands and was ratified by all six states by January of 1958. The treaty provided detailed provisions and timetables for the creation of a customs union and the removal of barriers to movement of capital within the participating countries. In addition, the aforementioned Bretton-Woods Agreement of the 1950s was an attempt to fix exchange rates in an effort to stabilize certain European currencies. During the period that the Bretton-Woods Agreement was in effect, from 1957 until 1972, little meaningful progress occurred toward monetary and economic policy coordination. This lack of progress resulted in the divergence

29. Harry G. Johnson, Narrowing the Exchange Rate Bands, in EUROPEAN MONETARY UNIFICATION AND ITS MEANING FOR THE UNITED STATES, supra note 1, at 79.
30. See generally Bloomfield, supra note 1.
31. Id.
32. Johnson, supra note 1, at 79.
33. Bloomfield, supra note 1, at 22.
34. Id.
35. Id.
36. Shulman, supra note 4, at 392. According to Shulman the ECU had many predecessors. The European Payment Union set up the first unit of account in 1950. This unit was valued in gold, and had the same weight in gold as the U.S. dollar. The unit could be converted into national currencies based upon the Member States' fixed exchange rates under the Bretton-Woods Agreement. The degeneration of fixed exchange rates led to experimentation with many different units of accounts within the Community. Most notably, in 1972 the EEC adopted the "snake." The "snake" permitted exchange rate fluctuations of +/-2.25% against the U.S. dollar and +/-4.5% between any two Community currencies. Id.; see infra text accompanying notes 40-42.
37. Bloomfield, supra note 1, at 22-23.
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of inflation rates and economic trends among the participating countries. The lack of coordination also led to a series of crises in the balance-of-payments between the EEC Member States.

In March of 1972, in an effort to correct the problems caused by the recent de facto abandonment of the fixed exchange rate system, the EEC governments made the first moves toward the creation of an informal mechanism for coordination of monetary policy, which they referred to as the "snake." The "snake" only managed to achieve moderate success in stabilizing exchange rates within the EEC. The main impediment to greater success of the "snake" was its lack of two items: (1) policy coordination (both fiscal and monetary) and (2) the financing necessary to implement exchange-rate intervention.

In 1970, in an effort to strengthen the monetary union that the Bretton-Woods Agreement had begun, the Werner Report explicitly set out the implications of monetary union. In addition, where the Bretton-Woods Agreement left many aspects of economic policy ambiguous, the creators of the Werner Plan left no ambiguity about the desirability of freedom of private capital movements within the region. The report "envisions a situation in which 'policy harmonization and coordination' will eliminate speculative capital movements and avoid . . . problems" caused by restricted movement of capital.

Where the Bretton-Woods Agreement was limited to exchange-rate stability, the EEC, through the Werner Report, recognized that in order to establish an effective economic and monetary union, the free movement of capital between Member States was essential to the agreement. The Werner Report viewed the free movement of capital within the EEC as not only advancing economic welfare, but as essential to the formation and maintenance of an effective economic and monetary union. In 1979, recognizing that the creation of a monetary union is more complex than the simple mechanics of creating a single currency, the EEC

---
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43. Krause, supra note 1, at 114. The report called for three main items: (1) total currency convertibility, (2) elimination of exchange rate fluctuations, and (3) the fixing of parity ratios. Id. at 114-15.
44. Id. at 115. "One should not take the mistaken view that the Werner group was unaware of the problems that private capital movements may cause for governments; indeed, the report points to the enormous growth in speculation as an argument for monetary union." Id.
45. Id.
46. Id. at 116.
47. Id.
developed the EMS. At first, some members of the EEC did not receive the concept of the EMS positively. The majority of economists predicted an inevitable and most likely quick departure for the agreement.

The EEC has operated under the EMS for fourteen years and the EMS has achieved some moderate success. The EMS has already gone through at least three distinct phases of development since its inception. The first phase lasted from the beginning of the EMS in 1979 until approximately March of 1983. "During those early years the ECU . . . failed to gain the importance that had been widely hoped for. It did not play a major role either as a reserve asset or as a means of settlement of intervention debts." This failure occurred notwithstanding the fact that the ECU was a key feature of the EMS. The second phase began in March 1983 and continued into 1987. In a drastic change of policy, the French Government led the way by abandoning their expansionary policies and by accepting a significant drop in the central rate of the French franc with respect to most other EEC currencies. "The emergence of a common economic policy approach had its corollary in the operational field. The Deutsche Mark, as the currency with the most consistent record of stability among the major exchange rate mechanism (ERM) currencies and in its role as reserve currency, emerged as the 'anchor currency' of the EMS." Since approximately September 1987, when the EMS signed the Basle/Nyborg agreement, the EMS has been working through its third phase. The agreement marked the beginning of the

---

48. Fratianni, supra note 15, at 1. "The EMS followed several unsuccessful attempts to stabilize exchange rates among the Community members. Its charter describes the goals of the EMS as 'a greater measure of monetary stability,' 'growth with stability,' and 'convergence of economic development.'" Id.

49. Id.

50. Id.
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52. Ungerer, supra note 13, at 2-3.

53. Id. at 2. This first phase was characterized by trial and orientation during which time the member countries followed different economic policies in attempts to cope as best they could with exogenous disturbances. Id. These policies acted many times in diverse and discordant ways. Id. The differences in approach actually increased divergences in economic developments among the EEC Member States. Id. "Several realignments—seven including the one in March 1983 . . .—took place to compensate, at least in part, for price and cost differentials." Id.

54. Id.

55. See generally The ECU, supra note 14.

56. Ungerer, supra note 13, at 2-3. This phase primarily consisted of economic policy consolidation where the EEC Member States increasingly aligned their economic policies in an effort to begin to create some level of monetary stability within the Community. Id.

57. Id.

58. Id.

59. Id. at 3. The third phase is typified by the reexamination of the monetary system and its effects on individual Member States in an effort to generate more equitable results between the members. Id.
third phase and set out new rules for Member States’ access to the so called very short-term financing facility.  

The EEC’s inclusion of elements of economic, monetary, and political union within the EMS constitutes a clear recognition that more than exchange rate stability is necessary for success of the EMS. In comparison to the narrow goals of the “snake” and the Bretton-Woods Agreement, the EEC created the EMS to be a comprehensive system aimed at broader economic integration objectives. A likely reason for the notable successes of the EMS in weathering conflicts as well as it has, and certainly better than the Bretton-Woods Agreement, is its concentration on integration of all aspects of the monetary system.

The EMS comprises three main operational elements. The first is an exchange rate mechanism backed by regulations strong enough to force Member States to actively attempt to reduce fluctuations in exchange rates within the EEC. The second is a financing mechanism that provides the necessary short-term credit to enable member countries to effect the required intervention in the foreign exchange markets in order to reduce fluctuations. The third encompasses regulations that entrench the ECU as the official reserve asset as well as the official accounting unit for the EEC.

The EEC did not design the EMS to be an end in itself, but adopted the EMS as a step on the road toward accomplishment of complete monetary union. Coordination of economic policy will be through the ECB. The EEC set up the ECB within the framework of the EMS agreement to control monetary policy, including the setting of interest rates, throughout the EEC. The actual shifting of policy determination power to the ECB will not take place until the later stages of the agreement, allowing time for adjustment on the part of EEC Member States. At the point of power shifting, the system of fixed exchange rates will
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disappear and the ECU will become the single currency used throughout the EEC.  

The EEC's plan for economic, monetary, and political unions has the potential of accomplishing far more than simply altering the balance of economic power within the EEC and throughout the world. These unions have the potential to effect the reshaping of Europe as fundamentally in the future as did the convention of Vienna in 1815 and the Yalta summit in 1944. The agreements forged by the EEC, if taken to their logical and intended conclusion, will shift some political power from the Member States' governments in the EEC to a central body responsible for managing the region as a whole. The Member States of the EEC clearly demonstrated, by adopting a resolution at the meeting launching the EMS in 1978, that the ECU was to be the pillar of the EMS and was designed to be the unified currency of the EEC. The EEC also signaled an expectation for broad unification within the EMS by providing within the 1978 agreement "for the future consolidation of all existing credit mechanisms inside a European Monetary Fund." 

The exchange-rate mechanism, for which the ECU serves as the method of settlement, includes an obligation on the part of participating central banks to intervene in exchange-rate markets whenever a currency is at risk of crossing its fluctuation limit relative to other currencies. Implicit in this obligation is the requirement that credit mechanisms exist to supply the funds necessary for intervention in the foreign exchange markets. Thus, the EMS has three primary uses for the ECU within its context as a reserve asset: (1) very short-term financing, (2) short-term monetary support, and (3) medium-term financial assistance. 

As the founding members of the EMS recognized, the unified system will only
work and last if there is significant convergence of not only currency but also monetary and fiscal policies. As the states participating in the Bretton-Woods Agreement had experienced, a lack of policy and political coordination increases the possibility that the good intentions of an agreement will readily give way to the short-sighted proprietary goals of the individual governments. At the outset of the EMS, major divergences in economic situations between EEC Member States existed, including wildly disparate inflation rates. As an example, inflation rates at the formation of the EMS ranged from 2.7 percent in Germany to 12.2 percent in Italy. Many of the principal objections to the EMS at that time were based on the economic divergences between EMS members. EMS critics recognized three possible consequences of the divergences. First, because of its rigidity, the system would not be able to accommodate situations with such great divergences and as a consequence might rapidly fail. Second, the system might be able to continue for a time, but would lead to deflationary consequences that would negatively impact employment either by forcing high-inflation countries to become more restrictive in their policies or by forcing all Member States to suffer in competitiveness in order to support the weaker countries. Third, severe inflationary consequences throughout the EEC could result because countries with a low rate of inflation would have to import inflation from the higher inflation countries via price supports and increases in their monetary bases. In the end, unification will cause Member States to become more interdependent, trading more than ever with each other and forcing the adoption of unified economic and fiscal policies so as to avoid the otherwise inevitable inflation wars. Because of increased interdependence within the EEC after currency unification, fiscal policy will become more important to the individual states in order to protect against unemployment and run-away inflation. The need for independent fiscal policy will become the chief paradox to the entire concept of a unified currency and monetary system; unified fiscal policy is essential to the

---

economies can cause. Id. Finally, the EMS envisions using the ECU for medium-term financial assistance "to any Member State that experiences difficulties or is seriously threatened by difficulties with its balance of payments." Id. at 62.

81. Id. at 66.
82. See supra notes 34-42 and accompanying text.
83. VAN YPERSELE, supra note 28, at 66.
84. Id. The oil shock of the 1970s, which dramatically affected the United States, also served to exacerbate the already huge spread in inflation rates present between the EEC Member States. Id.
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86. Id.
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89. Id.
90. EMERSON, supra note 61, at 72. At a minimum, coordination in fiscal policy will be necessary any time that the ECU exchange rate or the EC current account or both are in need of correction. Id.
91. Id. at 71.
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success of any union, but independent fiscal policy is the most potent weapon at the disposal of individual Member States' disposal for battling inflation and unemployment. This dichotomy will be one of the chief stumbling blocks toward the accomplishment of lasting currency unification.

II. The ECU

A. The Mechanics of Currency Unification in the EMS

Creating a monetary union requires more than merely accepting a single currency as the means of trade within a geographic region. A monetary union has two essential components: exchange rate union and capital market integration. The exchange rate union is the geographic area in which exchange rates for the Member States' currencies are permanently fixed in relation to each other. The integrated capital market is an area permanently without exchange controls for capital transactions, including interest and dividend payments. In addition, the integrated capital market will most likely involve the harmonization of wages, taxes, and other measures that directly and indirectly affect the capital market. For true currency unification, all national currencies would disappear, and a single currency would take over as the sole means of settlement, both public and private, within the system.

Currency unification has several implications. First, a tourist could traverse the entire region of the EEC, passing through country after country, without ever having to exchange currency. Second, business people could give price quotes in one currency and be sure that exchange rates would not affect their profit. Third, consumers could directly compare prices for goods in two separate countries. Fourth, a trader could take advantage of price differences between goods coming from different countries in order to make buy and sell decisions more easily. Fifth, bankers could lend and borrow throughout the region with concern only for credit risk and not exchange-rate risk. And sixth, investors could build and invest where the cost was the least, knowing that the

92. See generally notes 81-91 and accompanying text.
93. Id.
94. W. Max Corden, The Adjustment Problem, in European Monetary Unification and Its Meaning for the United States, supra note 1, at 159.
95. Id.
96. Id. at 159-60. The exchange rates of the currencies participating in the union may still vary widely in relation to nonunion currencies. However, they will move in unison with each other. Id.
97. Id. at 160.
98. Id.
99. Emerson, supra note 61, at 11.
100. Id.
101. Id.
102. Id.
103. Id.
104. Id.
marketability and cost competitiveness of exports would not be affected by ex-
change-rate shifts in the future.\textsuperscript{105} "In short, a single currency would transform
many economic relationships for the better."\textsuperscript{106}

In addition to the acceptance of a single currency for trade throughout the
EEC, at least two other actions are needed to forge a truly complete union: (1)
the EEC must pool the individual Member States' foreign exchange reserves,
and (2) monetary policy must be centrally controlled.\textsuperscript{107} The system will work
only if the EEC Member States can sustain it.\textsuperscript{108} Therefore, the stability of the
system would seem to be of paramount importance.\textsuperscript{109} The consequence of not
having a central bank independent of political control is that the public's expectation
that politicians will boost the economy, and consequently inflation, might
become self-fulfilling, making it more difficult for the central bank to reduce
inflation.\textsuperscript{110} Eventually, these unavoidable political pressures are likely to force
the ultimate collapse of the system.\textsuperscript{111} Thus, full integration of the economies of
the EEC must be based on more than just a common currency.\textsuperscript{112} The political
power to set fiscal and monetary policy must be fully integrated within an indepen-
dent political body such as the ECB.\textsuperscript{113}

The Delors Report is the premier work outlining a number of proposed policies
and goals of the EEC in creating the EMS. The report outlines three stages to
integration: (1) increased convergence of economic performance by strengthening
economic and monetary policy coordination within the current system, (2) a
transitional period when learning and progress towards collective decision mak-
ings are of paramount importance, and (3) a final move toward irrevocably locked
exchange rates that is designed to give way ultimately to a single currency (namely
the ECU).\textsuperscript{114} The report also emphasizes that parallel progression of economic

\begin{thebibliography}{114}
\bibitem{105} Id.
\bibitem{106} Id.
\bibitem{107} Corden, \textit{supra} note 1, at 161. If each Member State maintains the ability to set its own
monetary policy, then one country can run a balance-of-payments deficit by expanding credit, forcing
other countries to finance the deficit.\textit{Id}. Thus, monetary policy must be centrally controlled, and
no country must be allowed to engage in this type of domestic credit creation. \textit{Id}.
\bibitem{108} \textit{Emerson, supra} note 61, at 55.
\bibitem{109} \textit{Id}.
\bibitem{110} \textit{Id}.
\bibitem{111} \textit{Id}.
\bibitem{112} See generally \textit{supra} notes 94-111 and accompanying text.
\bibitem{113} \textit{Id}.
\bibitem{114} \textit{Ungerer, supra} note 13, at 40-41. In the first stage, the focus on the economic field
would center on the completion of the internal market and the reduction of existing regional
disparities. In the monetary field, the objective of a single financial area would be fully imple-
mented." \textit{Id}. In the second stage, the most important feature in the monetary field "would be
the setting up of the ESCB [European System of Central Banks], which would absorb previously
existing institutions . . . " \textit{Id}. In the final stage, the effort in the economic field would concentrate
on "common structural and regional policies would be further strengthened, and rules in the
macroeconomic and budgetary field would become binding. The transition to a single monetary
policy would be made, and the ESCB would assume all its responsibilities." \textit{Id}.
\end{thebibliography}
and monetary policies among the Member States is of strategic importance.\textsuperscript{115} The report proposes that instead of setting deadlines, the EEC should be free to determine the beginning of new stages based upon the progress and success of the previous stages.\textsuperscript{116}

As outlined in the report and in the EEC agreement, the current formation of the ECU is a basket of currencies in which each participating member's currency comprises a share of the total value of the ECU.\textsuperscript{117} The value and composition of the ECU when set up was identical to its predecessor, the EMUA, which also consisted of a basket of currencies but of a much smaller group of countries.\textsuperscript{118} “The currencies of the 12 countries participating in the EMS are counted in the basket of currencies that make up the European Currency Unit (ECU). . . . These same 12 governments have deposited gold and dollar assets with the European Monetary Cooperation Fund (EMF) in exchange for an equivalent amount of ECUs.”\textsuperscript{119} In addition, "EC leaders reached a consensus (with reservations by U.K. Prime Minister, Margaret Thatcher) on a three-stage approach leading to full monetary union."\textsuperscript{120} The EEC determined the respective weights of the participating currencies based on the GDP of each country and the countries' participation in the external trade of the EEC.\textsuperscript{121}

By the inclusion of all Member States' currencies, the EEC intended to demonstrate the importance of the ECU as more than a simple technical unit.\textsuperscript{122} If the ECU were intended to be a mere technical unit, the EEC would have more appropriately limited the unit to only a few currencies so that its value could be easily calculable.\textsuperscript{123} Normally, the EMS commission responsible for the basket composition will only reexamine the value every five years.\textsuperscript{124} However, any Member State may request re-examination of the basket if the weight of any currency has changed by 25 percent or more during the period since the previous examination.\textsuperscript{125} Revisions of the basket must be accepted by all EEC Member States.\textsuperscript{126} Because of the recent strength of the German economy, the Deutsche

\textsuperscript{115} Id. at 40.
\textsuperscript{116} Id.
\textsuperscript{117} The ECU, supra note 14, at 9.
\textsuperscript{118} Resolution of the European Council on the Establishment of the European Monetary System (EMS) and Related Matters, compiled in Monetary Committee of the European Communities, Compendium of Community Monetary Texts § 2.1 (1986) [hereinafter Resolution of the European Council].
\textsuperscript{119} Zamora, supra note 40, at 458-59.
\textsuperscript{120} Id. at 459. These reservations are important in the discussion of the potential political pitfalls that are pervasive in the current agreement because they are symptomatic of the underlying inadequacies of the entire concept of a unified currency. Id.
\textsuperscript{121} The ECU, supra note 14, at 9.
\textsuperscript{122} Id.
\textsuperscript{123} Id.
\textsuperscript{124} Id. at 12.
\textsuperscript{125} Id.; see Resolution of the European Council, supra note 118, § 2.3.
\textsuperscript{126} The ECU, supra note 14, at 12; see Resolution of the European Council, supra note 118, § 2.3.
The mark has become the de facto anchor currency of the ECU, making Germany the "point of orientation for monetary policy." The development of the German currency as the anchor has enabled EEC Member States with weaker currencies to import stability and increase credibility in their currencies through their participation in the EMS.

The ECU is not a goal in itself, but is a tool to aid in accomplishing the principal goal of the EMS, establishing a region of stability among the EEC members' currencies. This is accomplished through the operation of an exchange rate mechanism (ERM) that consists of two principal instruments for monitoring exchange rate volatility—the parity grid, and the divergence indicator. The mechanism contains two components. The first mechanism is grounded on what is called the concept of bilateral parties. Under this concept rates are set as bilateral central rates between currencies of each of the states participating, around which bilateral limits of fluctuation are established and maintained with the use of compulsory and unlimited intervention on the foreign exchange market. The second mechanism is based on what is called the divergence indicator. The EEC created this indicator in order to establish a presumption of action by the appropriate body in charge of the currency of the Member State's government whose exchange rate fluctuation exceeds the EEC's limits.
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127. UNGERER, supra note 13, at 6.
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129. Zamora, supra note 40, at 459.
130. Id.
131. VAN YPERSELE, supra note 28, at 50.
132. Id.
133. Id.
134. Id.
135. Id.

Id. at 50-52.

One of the new elements of the EMS that makes it different from the snake, which was based only on the parity grid, is the divergence indicator. This device makes it possible to locate the position and movement of an EMS currency relative to the Community average represented by the ECU.

To do so, one calculates first the maximum divergence spread for each currency. This spread represents the maximum percentage appreciation or depreciation which the market rate of the ECU in terms of a given currency may show against its central rate. It will be reached when the rate of this currency deviates by 2.25% in the same direction from all the other EMS currencies...

The divergence indicator shows the extent to which a given currency is nearing its
Each country participating in the EMS is required to establish a central currency rate for its currency in terms of the ECU.136 This central rate becomes the lynch-pin in assessing whether bilateral rates (exchange rates between pairs of ERM-participating currencies) fall within the margins prescribed by the EMS.137 This rate, when put in a matrix with the rates from other EMS members, forms a grid of exchange rates known as the parity grid.138 The maximum deviation of a participating currency from the parity grid rate is 2.25 percent above or below the average rate as represented by the ECU.139 Members of the EMS agreement that did not participate in the "snake" were initially allowed a greater margin of deviation from the parity grid rate.140 Deviations outside of the parity grid are to be avoided through a process of coordinated intervention in foreign exchange markets, which is undertaken by central banks that purchase and sell the appropriate currencies.11141

The primary use of the ECU as a financing mechanism becomes important as a source of funding for the necessary intervention.142 The parity grid thus requires EMS participants to maintain a band of stable exchange rates vis-à-vis each other, and the EMS assists in the maintenance by providing a short-term source of funds for intervention.143 The divergence indicator is designed to maintain stability of another sort; it is designed to measure EMS Member States' currencies' exchange rates versus the ECU basket as a whole.144 The ERM has established a threshold spread of divergence that is 75 percent of the maximum spread.145 Attaining the threshold spread requires the participating EMS member to take corrective policy measures in order to stabilize their currency and prevent further divergence of the exchange rate.146 Intervention in participating currencies is compulsory when the intervention points defined by the fluctuation margins are reached.147 When a currency crosses its 'threshold of divergence' this results in a presumption that the authorities will correct this situation by adequate measures, namely: (1) diversified intervention, (2) measures of domestic monetary policy, (3) changes

maximum spread. This is done by relating the premium or the discount, in the market rate of the ECU in terms of that currency, to the above-mentioned maximum spread. This ratio, expressed in percent, is the divergence indicator. When it reaches 75%, the implicated currency is said to be at its divergence threshold.

Id. at 53.
137. Id.
138. Id.
139. Id.; Resolution of the European Council, supra note 118, § 3.1.
140. Zamora, supra note 40, at 459.
141. Id.
142. Id. at 461.
143. See generally supra notes 127-41 and accompanying text.
144. Zamora, supra note 40, at 459-60.
145. Id. at 460; see also Resolution of the European Council, supra note 118, § 3.5.
146. Zamora, supra note 40, at 460; see also Resolution of the European Council supra note 118, § 3.5.
147. Resolution of the European Council, supra note 118, § 3.4.
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in central rates, and (4) other measures of economic policy. In the context of the EMS system described above, the ECU serves three principal functions in the EEC: (1) it is the principal accounting unit among members of the EMS; (2) it serves as a means of settlement under EMF financing facilities; and (3) it is the common denominator of the ERM.

B. PROGRESS TOWARDS CURRENCY UNIFICATION

As described above, since its inception in 1979, the EMS has achieved some success. But just how much progress has occurred and in what areas? During the period between 1957 and 1972 the economic, monetary, and fiscal policies employed by the EMS members were highly divergent. These divergences in policy led to the collapse of the previous stability system, the Bretton-Woods Agreement. Despite the creation of the EMS, fiscal policy divergence among the EEC members continues even now. High governmental budget deficits in ERM countries accompanied marked differences in fiscal performance among the group stretching from double-digit deficits in Italy to huge surpluses in Denmark.

The EMS is designed to avoid some of these pitfalls. Indeed it has achieved some success. The EMS members agreed to the first of the three stages of the unification process, the creation of the ERM, in 1990. The first stage involves participation in the ERM by all Member States. This stage has already begun to yield benefits. These benefits include exchange rate stability for Member States' currencies and price stability throughout the EEC. Participation in the ERM by the Member States also implies that, so long as realignment of the basket is not adopted, one of the main costs of creating a single currency, loss of the policy mechanism of exchange rate adjustment, is already being paid.

The second stage, creating a system of European central banks to run the
EMU, officially began in November 1993. A review of the move to the third stage is set to take place no later than 1997. All members of the EEC except the United Kingdom have agreed to this review. To date, the EMS seems to have garnered a modicum of support throughout the EEC and has achieved a broader success in a much shorter period of time than the Bretton-Woods Agreement was ever able to accomplish.

Acceptance by the governments that have expended a huge amount of political time and clout in order to develop the EMS is unremarkable. But how have the private markets reacted to the system and the ECU in particular? Although private goods markets have not yet accepted the ECU as a hard currency for private trade, a private market for the ECU has developed to the point that soon it may dwarf the public use of the currency. The first public uses for the ECU were primarily in the area of ECU denominated bonds that were floated by public utility companies such as STET, the Italian public telecommunications company. In addition, more than 200 banks throughout Europe accept deposits in ECUs. Many banks also participate in an ECU clearing mechanism for transactions between banks in the EEC. A few banks even issue ECU-denominated certificates of deposit. The EEC also decided in 1984 to issue ECU credit cards and travelers checks. This swift growth of a private market for the ECU reflects the public’s desire for a true common European currency. Thus, while the governments participating in the EMS may move slowly and cautiously in an effort to maintain stability, the private
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162. Treaty Establishing the European Community, Feb. 7, 1992, art. 4A, 1992 O.J. (C 224). Stage two will involve the slow but progressive narrowing of exchange rate fluctuation bands from the current 2.25% to as close to zero as possible and will allow any significant divergence from target values only for exceptional circumstances. Emerson, supra note 61, at 17.
163. Id.
164. Id.
165. See supra notes 156-64 and accompanying text.
166. See generally The ECU, supra note 14; Emerson, supra note 61; Van Ypersele, supra note 28; Ungerer, supra note 13.
167. Van Ypersele, supra note 28, at 93. "Privately, the ECU is used in particular for: (i) public issues of bonds, (ii) bank loans and deposits, (iii) the interbank market, [and] (iv) commercial transactions." Id.
168. Id. The response to the issue of bonds from STET was so tremendous that the telecommunications company was forced to raise the amount of the issue from 25 to 35 million ECU in order to satisfy the demand. Id. Since the STET issue, the number of issues has grown at an ever-increasing pace, up to 100 for a total of seven billion ECU by mid-1984. Id.
169. Id. at 94.
170. Id.
171. Id. Corporations have also quickly developed a high degree of attraction to use the ECU to cover against exchange rate risk in almost every type of international trade and business within the EEC. Id.
172. Id. at 95.
173. Id. The ECU is now quoted on a growing number of stock exchanges including the Paris and Brussels Stock Exchanges (where it is quoted officially) and in Milan and Rome (where it is quoted unofficially). Id.
markets have been overwhelming in their acceptance of the ECU both conceptually and functionally. 174

Nevertheless, acceptance is not everything. Another important requirement for success is for the governments involved to develop strong enough support to ensure continued progress toward complete unification. From the phenomenal acceptance of the ECU, it might appear at first that the underlying governmental support is strong. However, a basic difference in approach to monetary integration among the EEC members may well keep unification from happening. 175 This difference in approach presents the EMS with a kind of chicken and egg paradox—whether creating the institutions will bring about monetary policy unification or whether monetary policy unification will bring about the necessary institutions. 176 This difference in approach is not new, having dominated debates on monetary integration since the 1960s. 177

One group, led by the Bundesbank, argues that monetary union should be the "coordination" of a long process of economic integration and macroeconomic convergence gradually aligning the countries with high inflation and profligate policies to the countries with low inflation and prudent fiscal policies. 178 The other group, led by the French Government, argues instead that monetary union is an instrument for achieving convergence, and therefore, a monetary union can be created before convergence has been achieved. 179 Furthermore, this group regards monetary union and economic integration as interactive processes that should proceed in parallel. 180

These basic differences in approach demonstrate one of the reasons why commitment to the ECU was less than complete initially and why any future agreement will do little to strengthen it. 181 Therefore, while the ECU may have wide public support as a trade simplifier, any further progress is in jeopardy so long as the EMS Member States cannot agree on the fundamental notion of how to proceed. 182

174. See generally supra notes 168-73 and accompanying text.
175. UNGERER, supra note 13, at 39.
176. See supra note 175.
177. UNGERER, supra note 13, at 39.
178. FRATIANNI, supra note 15, at 5.
179. Id.
180. Id.
181. UNGERER, supra note 13, at 7.
182. See generally supra note 176-81 and accompanying text.
Acknowledging the differences of opinion within the EEC on how to proceed, the next question is what effect those differences of opinion are already having on the progress towards currency unification? To begin with, the EEC countries have severely limited the role of the ECU as a reserve asset and means of settlement. At the center of the lack of commitment by EMS members to the ECU, and the reason that the United Kingdom did not participate in the ERM, is the desire of members to maintain an independent monetary policy in order to prevent high inflation and high interest rates. Recent high inflation within the United Kingdom is an additional reason why the United Kingdom has hesitated to fully participate. For these reasons, the United Kingdom has considered a commitment to the ECU not only unnecessary but also undesirable.

Since fiscal policy is only one piece of the policy jigsaw puzzle, the next inquiry to make is whether the other policy areas are faring any better. To begin, evidence on the economies of EMS members shows that divergence in monetary policy among the members continues today. The lack of a common policy is demonstrated by the fact that correlation of short-term interest rates in ERM countries with rates in Germany, the anchor country, have been weaker in most countries than would have been expected with a high degree of monetary conver-
And although the Delors Report represents one of the most concrete proposals on unification to date, not all countries agree with the report. Great Britain, in particular, is opposed to this idea and has developed its own proposal. Britain’s alternative, known as the ‘hard ECU’ proposal, sees no need to mandate the acceptance of the ECU as the EEC’s currency. The Delors Report recognizes the desire for political independence, but also recognizes that in order to achieve a lasting union, independence of monetary policies must dramatically decrease. The report identifies the need for convergence in at least four areas: (1) inflation rates within the Member States, (2) unemployment rates within the Member States, (3) budgetary policies, and (4) competition policies.

While the Report encourages some autonomy among the Member States in order to accomplish the desired convergence, the report favors the transfer of power out of the Member States and into EEC institutions whenever the transfer would benefit the development of the monetary union. The Delors Report also favors a single currency on the grounds that it will facilitate monetary management within the EEC. At the 1990 EEC intergovernmental conference, the Delors Report lost a significant amount of backing, while support for the more cautious and slower developing United Kingdom proposal increased. Spain, France, and Italy all added support to the British plan. Thus, coordination in monetary policy seems to be falling prey to the same sense of protectionism that has inhibited the development of a cohesive fiscal policy for the EEC.

Despite all of the discussions recognizing the need for increased coordination of policies, Member States have apparently made little effort to coordinate. Fiscal imbalances and divergences in fiscal policy among the EMS members have

191. Id. at 31.
192. Shulman, supra note 4, at 391.
193. Id. Under the British proposal, the EEC would allow market forces, and not mandates, to determine the acceptance of the ECU in the foreign exchange markets. Id. The British proposal would also scrap the idea of a single ECB, in favor of another institution, called the European Monetary Fund, that would operate alongside the EMS Member States’ own central banks, thus leaving some policy autonomy at the Member State level. Id. The British proposal also envisions the ECU as merely a dual currency, available on demand in lieu of Member States’ currencies. Id.
194. Id. at 407.
195. Id.
196. Id.
197. Id. at 408. The Delors Report further indicates a strong belief that a single currency would also remove exchange rate uncertainties and reduce transaction costs arising from trade between entities within different EMS Member States. Id.
198. Id. at 420. One of the reasons for the loss of support for the Delors Report was the awareness, by Member States, of the persistent divergences in their respective economic performances and the need for increased coordination before they proceeded further.
199. Id.
200. See generally supra notes 191-99 and accompanying text; cf. notes 184-90 and accompanying text.
not only continued, but in some cases have actually increased.\textsuperscript{202} Contributing to this inability of the EMS members to coordinate policy are the differences in preferences about the balance of inflation and unemployment among the EMS Member States.\textsuperscript{203} These preferential differences cut at the heart of any unification plan and have the potential to make the introduction of a common currency extraordinarily costly for all the economies involved.\textsuperscript{204} Based on current economic and political conditions within the EEC, the large divergence in unemployment and inflation rates, and the difference of opinion on what the proper balance is for those factors, the EEC is not currently suited for the adoption of a single currency.\textsuperscript{205} In the context of the divergent economic situations and policy preferences that pervades the EEC, currency union is a desirable but distant goal.\textsuperscript{206} Considering the massive reformation necessary in fiscal and monetary policy and the lack of cooperation between EMS members in these areas so far, the EEC appears unable to achieve enough convergence among its members to make a unified currency possible.\textsuperscript{207}

C. BENEFITS AND COSTS OF CURRENCY UNIFICATION

The next step in this analysis is an investigation of the potential costs and benefits of unification. First, the EEC has come to a general consensus on the most appropriate economic and monetary policy for the EEC members.\textsuperscript{208} "Exchange rate stability and price stability have become twin objectives. Realignments have been used to offset cost and price differentials but have been supported by internal adjustment measures signaling the determination of the authorities to make price stability the priority of economic policy."\textsuperscript{209} The result has been that "consensus on economic policy priorities, the increasing convergence of key nominal variables, and the mostly successful management of exchange rates,

\textsuperscript{202} Id. at 4.
\textsuperscript{203} See generally Paul de Grauwe, The Economics of Monetary Integration 13 (1992).
\textsuperscript{204} Id. As De Grauwe explains, the various combinations of choices of inflation rates balanced with unemployment rates can be represented by a Phillips curve much like a supply and demand curve. Id. If two countries such as Italy and Germany were to choose different preferences about the combination of unemployment and inflation rates, whatever fixed exchange rate they have chosen will be unsustainable to either one, the other, or both. Id. The cost of monetary union for the two countries lies in the fact that if Italy and Germany want to keep the exchange rate fixed they will have to choose another (less preferred) point on their Phillips curves, so that an equal rate of inflation becomes possible. Italy might have to accept less inflation and more unemployment than it would do otherwise, and Germany might have to accept more inflation and less unemployment. Id. at 13-15 (figure 1.4).
\textsuperscript{205} Shulman, supra note 4, at 421.
\textsuperscript{206} Sherman, supra note 187, at 41. Because unification seems to be a distant goal, proposals such as the "Genscher Initiative," which call for the quick establishment of the ECB, can be viewed as political attempts to use a common currency as a symbol to continue support for unification at a time when the system of agreements may be on the verge of collapse. Id.
\textsuperscript{207} See generally supra notes 176-206.
\textsuperscript{208} Ungerer, supra note 13, at 5.
\textsuperscript{209} Id.
intervention, and interest rate differentials go a long way toward explaining why for more than three years . . . there was no general realignment of central rates in the EMS. 210

In addition to the general requirements of policy coordination, the EEC has advanced several goals of economic convergence, including improving living and working conditions for people within the EEC Member States. 211 At least within the EEC, unification is a positive sum game that all can win as opposed to a zero sum game where gains by one come at a cost to another. 212 One obvious benefit is that currency unification can potentially eliminate the costs of currency conversion. 213 The elimination of currency conversion costs has been estimated to have the potential to save more than 15 billion ECU per year, which amounts to approximately 0.4 percent of the EEC's annual GDP. 214 Currency unification could help to decrease transaction costs for all trading companies. 215 The impact would be greatest for small and medium-sized trading companies where transaction costs are currently estimated at 15 percent, or more, of their profit. 216 Currency unification could also help to alleviate the foreign exchange risk. 217 Exchange-rate risk imposes one of the major obstacles to trade between EEC Member States by increasing the costs of international goods transactions. 218

The EEC could also realize several other benefits from unification that are more difficult to quantify. One is improvement of EEC policies in areas of EEC-wide importance. 219 Another likely benefit is the reduction in uncertainty that investors

210. Id. at 6.
211. Id. at 22. In addition to fiscal policy convergence, economic convergence is also considered necessary in the context of the EMS to establish stable exchange rates within the member countries. Id.
212. Emerson, supra note 61, at 168. The essence of this argument is that by creating a unified and completely open trading block, including the use of a single currency for all trade, the benefits that the Member States could achieve will far outweigh the costs of reduced or eliminated fiscal and monetary policy flexibility. Id. However, it remains consistent with the concept of a positive sum game to point out that some Member States will continue to argue for a greater share of the gains that they expect by the redistribution of budget resources in their favor or through lower contributions. Id.
213. Id. at 32.
214. Id. The gains mostly consist of financial gains from the disappearance of bank commissions and the elimination of the spread that banks charge businesses on such transactions. Id. Thus the logical question is whether the elimination of these costs is a true savings benefit or a mere redistribution of wealth within the fixed economy. Corporations may also be able to save costs by eliminating the treasury departments that they maintain in order to manage their own multiple currency transactions. Id. The elimination of treasury departments, of course, implies a corresponding reduction of jobs and increase in unemployment. The question again becomes whether these reductions are a real benefit of the adoption of a single currency or a way of shifting dollars from the pockets of those who used to work to the now defunct treasury departments to the pockets of their former employers. Id.
215. Id.
216. Id. at 33.
217. Id.
218. Id.
219. Id.

VOL. 28, NO. 4
feel with respect to economic prospects. Such an easing of uncertainty has the potential of lowering the risk premiums that firms have to pay in order to raise capital and increase investment. Finally, reduced uncertainty could result in sustained growth in income and a decrease in unemployment.

Thus, the EEC must be aware of the possibility in the future of at least modest benefits from currency unification. But benefits standing alone do not tell the entire story. In order for the EEC Member States to make a fully informed and reasoned decision about the intelligence of adopting unification, they must necessarily understand the costs involved. For the EEC Member States to adopt a unified system would make no sense unless they believe the potential benefits outweigh the potential costs.

While currency unification may potentially have many benefits, "[t]here is no categorical or unconditional reason to conclude that a monetary union is preferable to a flexible exchange regime." Some opponents of the ECU-based system have expressed a fear "that the greater symmetry implied by such a system would have inflationary consequences, since it allows for the possibility that a strong currency could diverge owing to the simple fact that the combined weight of weaker currencies might become dominant in the basket." Thus, a strong currency could reach its divergence limit before the weak currency and force its country to intervene and endure accelerated inflation, thus financing the weak currency country's lower inflation.

While the EEC Member States might begin to realize some of the benefits mentioned above at the inception of the EMS, they will only achieve the full benefits of the union in the final stage. Thus, the problem comes not in receiving the benefits, but in achieving the final stage where the Member States can truly realize the benefits. Motivation to continue on the path to unification is likely

220. Id.
221. Id. "Preliminary estimates show that even a reduction in the risk premium of only 0.5 percentage points could raise income in the Community significantly, possibly by as much as 5 to 10 per cent in the long run." Id.
222. Id.
223. See generally supra notes 212-22 and accompanying text.
On one hand, a monetary union eliminates exchange-rate flexibility and the attendant degrees of freedom economies enjoy in coping with supply and demand shocks. Because certain shocks are better handled with a common monetary policy while others are better handled with independent national monetary policies, the preferability of a unified option depends critically on the nature and the mix of the shocks to which the participating countries are exposed. On the other hand, a common monetary policy—which is a direct implication of a monetary union—can be seen as a collusive agreement among multinational banks with the intent of raising the benefits of inflation. Collusion by central banks damages the discipline imposed on them by competitive monetary policies and leads to higher inflation. Id.
225. VAN YPERSELE, supra note 28, at 49.
226. Id.
227. EMERSON, supra note 61, at 131.
228. See generally supra notes 176-207 and accompanying text.
to wane when it becomes apparent to the members of the EMS that the savings from transaction costs alone, which constitute much of the benefits that will be achieved during the transition period, will be too small to justify unification. The reason is that European mobility "is relatively low even within nations using the same language, let alone across language barriers." Finally, movement toward a single currency limits the fiscal policy options of the EEC Member States and essentially handcuffs them in their attempts to react to supply and demand shocks in their own economies. Currency unification will also result in the loss to the EMS Member States of an important policy instrument, namely the ability to control national currency in order to exert influence over the national economy. When the internal market becomes imbalanced, the likely result for the EEC is that the costs associated with reestablishing an equilibrium in domestic and trade accounts will be less under a policy of currency devaluation than the cost of using the exchange rate mechanism in order to accomplish the same goal. Losing control over monetary policy can have harsh effects on a country's economy. Exchange rate flexibility allows a country, at least in the short run, to choose inflation rates in order to help control the rate of unemployment.

III. Conclusion

A. Requirements for Success

Understanding the potential cost/benefit relationship of the EMS leads to several conclusions about the potential for success in unifying currencies. First, however, what are the requirements for successful integration? Successful unification requires high coordination in three areas. The first area for coordination

230. Id.
231. Dassesse, supra note 19, at 390. The move to a single currency imposes significant limitations on the ability of Member States to finance deficits. Id. The move toward a single currency throughout the EEC implies that not only can the individual Member States not finance their deficits through borrowing from their national central bank, but they also cannot finance their deficits by obligating their national banks, insurance companies, and similar institutions to purchase government bonds. Id.
232. De Grauwe, supra note 203, at 42.
233. Id. Several EMS members have achieved some noteworthy cases of successful currency devaluations recently. Most notably, devaluations in France in 1982-1983 (which France used as a correction for a long period of major policy errors) and the Netherlands in 1982 were successful in reestablishing domestic and trade equilibrium. Id. Neither devaluation caused significant increases in unemployment in the local economies. Id.
234. Shulman, supra note 4, at 397-98.
236. Joseph S. Nye, The Political Context, in European Monetary Unification and Its Meaning for the United States, supra note 1, at 40-41. "[S]uccessful progress to eventual monetary and economic union will require a move from attempted coordination to a unification of policies, at least in key areas such as money creation, determination of exchange rates, and pooling of reserves." Bloomfield, supra note 1, at 25.
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is in the creation of institutions to organize and run the union. 237 "Institutional integration is the creation and development of common institutions. This dimension is measurable in terms of the respective jurisdictions and budgetary resources of the institutions involved." 238 The second area of coordination is in both fiscal and monetary policy making. 239 Policy integration is the extent to which a set of countries act as a group in making policy decisions. This "political division of labor" is measurable by a locus-of-decision scale ranging from totally national to totally communal. 240 The third area requiring coordination among the EMS members is the moderation of attitudes toward obligations to the EEC. 241 "Attitudinal integration is the extent to which people develop a sense of common identity and mutual obligation. This can be measured by survey research data." 242

The need for common monetary policy and monetary union go hand-in-hand; one cannot succeed without the other. 243 The implementation of the internal market program will have to be supported by a common monetary policy and monetary union. 244 The optimal results of the internal market can only be achieved if the monetary arrangements for the EEC were to minimize, if not eliminate, the uncertainties resulting from independent national monetary policies and from exchange rates subject to adjustments and fluctuations. 245 The argument can be summed up under the dictum of the "inconsistent quartet," that is, the incompatibility of free trade, full capital mobility, fixed exchange rates, and national autonomy in the conduct of monetary policy. 246

The EMS requires a high degree of policy consistency and compatibility among the Member States for the EEC to avert disruptions in internal markets and pronounced regional imbalances. 247 The Delors Report recognizes that in order to achieve the goal of free circulation of capital and integrated financial markets among the EEC countries, "member states' economic and monetary policies need to be more closely coordinated; this includes, but is not limited to, convergent inflation and unemployment rates and similar budgetary and competition policies." 248 No matter what decisions are made with respect to fiscal and monetary

237. Nye, supra note 236, at 40.
238. Id.
239. Id.
240. Id.
241. Id. at 41.
242. Id. The three aspects of political integration change at different rates: some progress faster than others. Id. The leads and lags between the aspects are of great importance because successful and stable long-run integration requires high degrees of integration among all aspects. Id.
243. UNGERER, supra note 13, at 38.
244. Id.
245. Id.
246. Id.
247. Id.
248. Shulman, supra note 4, at 407. The obvious result of such broad policy and economic coordination is that the EEC Member States will become increasingly interdependent both financially and politically. Id.
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policy within the EMS, unification of purpose and policy are vital to the success of the agreement.

If policy coordination were the end-all for unification, then it might be possible for the EMS members to agree on a successful solution. However, a number of other problems are holding up the development of a unified currency. A second prerequisite for moving to a single currency is a stable exchange rate. A stable exchange rate in turn requires convergence of costs and prices in goods since differences in inflation rates of traded goods will disrupt the balance of trade between high inflation and low inflation countries, thereby causing an imbalance of payments. However, while cost and price convergence is a prerequisite to achieving lasting stability, it is insufficient on its own to achieve the desired result. In order to achieve and sustain stability throughout the EEC, the EEC members’ disparate macroeconomic policies must converge. A necessary precondition for achieving convergence in prices and costs is the requirement for wage-rate change coordination since wages are in large part responsible for the determination of prices and costs of goods. Wage-rate control, in turn, will require a change in public attitude throughout the EEC, since the changing of wage-rate growth will ultimately result in some countries living with more inflation than they would prefer and others enduring more unemployment than they feel is acceptable. Thus, the concept of discipline in the areas of costs, prices, and wages constitutes another of the important requirements for the success of unification.

Economic integration alone will not bring about monetary union without a concurrent move toward political union. This political leap will only come about once each EEC Member State government believes that a European monetary union will aid it in achieving other political goals. One of the primary political leaps necessary for the success of any lasting economic union is in formation of a unified strategy. However, strategy coordination will not be sufficient; unification of strategy through centralization of the decision-making process is necessary for the success of a European monetary union. Eventually, economic integration will require a major transfer of economic
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sovereignty to new EEC institutions capable of exercising that power.\textsuperscript{260} Because unification requires the abdication of substantial political power by all participating members of the EMS, continued progress toward unification requires political and economic will rather than enforceable laws.\textsuperscript{261} In all, the success of currency unification and the EMU requires political feasibility and economic viability.\textsuperscript{262} Both requirements suggest that gradualism is a key factor in any approach.\textsuperscript{263} In terms of pure politics, the argument is that, except for a major crisis such as a war, it is impossible to generate the political support necessary to bring about rapid currency unification.\textsuperscript{264} "Even the most devoted European among the political leaders of our Member States would prefer a transition process that would allow for gradual adjustments of institutional structures and a slow erosion, at least formally, of national sovereignty."\textsuperscript{265} Thus, the correction of a sustainable union will involve a number of distinct elements. These elements will include fiscal and monetary policy coordination; coordination of wage, price, and cost adjustments; attitudinal modification; and political power sharing.\textsuperscript{266}

\textbf{B. Possible Outcomes}

In light of the costs, benefits, and requirements associated with unification, the adoption of a common currency will likely lead to one of three possible outcomes for the EEC.\textsuperscript{267} First, the attempt at European monetary union may turn out to be nothing more than a futile gesture.\textsuperscript{268} Second, the EEC may achieve enough success in the first step to create a genuine European currency.\textsuperscript{269} Third, European countries may accomplish no more than succeeding in narrowing exchange rate bands.\textsuperscript{270} By understanding these possibilities, the EEC should be able to choose the best plan to achieve the greatest success. It may be possible to use integration
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\textsuperscript{261} Shulman, supra note 4, at 398.

\textsuperscript{262} Peeters, supra note 258, at 9.

\textsuperscript{263} Id.

\textsuperscript{264} Id.

\textsuperscript{265} Id.

\textsuperscript{266} See generally supra notes 237-65 and accompanying text.
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in one of the areas in order to create integration in another. The key to this strategy is to find sectors with which to begin the transformation that are noncontroversial but politically symbolic and sufficiently insulated from other, more controversial sectors. However, the fact is that objectives among the EEC members conflict with respect to the underlying political purpose of monetary unification. The EEC members continue to maintain conflicting objectives with respect not only to the political purpose of unification, but also toward the steps that should be taken to achieve it. This divergence of objectives is demonstrated in the lack of coordination in monetary and fiscal policy.

Whether these differences in policy judgments and preferences make any practical difference is difficult to determine. One possibility is that the EEC Member States have not learned from the failure of the Bretton-Woods Agreement that proprietary policy determinations will only lead to a collapse of the system. Apparently they have not. For example, in direct confrontation with what the EEC requires for unification, Member States "have held firmly to their autonomy in decision making on domestic monetary and economic policies, relying on their right to alter exchange rates or to impose safeguard measures if need be in case of balance-of-payments problems." In addition to differences in basic policy objectives, the history of attempts at cooperation among the European countries, including the Bretton-Woods Agreement, demonstrates that crisis in monetary situations fosters dissent and division in implementing external monetary policy. Thus, the potential remains high for encountering the fiscal and monetary policy conflicts that have pervaded past relationships among EEC members and that endanger the future stability of the system. These conflicts will potentially lead to fiscal imbalances that will undermine market stability. These imbalances could also lead to unemployment spiralling out of control as low-inflation countries are forced to import inflation from the high-inflation countries in order to retain some semblance of stability in the system.
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The possibility remains that either all of the obstacles will be overcome and unification will take place, or some of the requirements will be ignored and unification will proceed despite this deficiency. In either case, it is important to question the impact that policy divergence will have on Member States once currency unification has taken place. Moving to a single currency implies that countries cannot use exchange rates to fix imbalances. External account imbalances are likely to the extent that cost and price convergence cannot be achieved. The existence of a single currency will prevent the effects of the divergences from being offset by exchange rate adjustments. Thus, when imbalances occur within an EMS Member State's economy, the Member State will suffer losses in order to compensate. In addition to uncontrollable fiscal imbalances, parallel advancement of economic and monetary policy could result in spreading high unemployment and inflation throughout the entire EEC. These potential results demonstrate "the Delors Report's rigid and often unrealistic approach." Because countries will lose control of their domestic monetary policy to a centralized body within the EEC, the fixed exchange rate regime will cause countries with higher inflation to export their inflation to countries with lower inflation. Member States are likely to succumb to the export/import of inflation because the decrease in demand likely to occur from the increased inflation will cause increased unemployment. High unemployment, in turn, will cause an increase in inflation in other countries in order to correct it.
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289. Id. Using France and Germany as examples, if France were to relinquish control over its exchange rate by creating a monetary union with Germany, it would be saddled with sustained unemployment and a current account deficit. Id. That deficit could only disappear by deflation in France. Id. Essentially, France would feel the costs of a monetary union through a negative demand shock. Id. In the same way, Germany's cost of participation in the monetary union with France would be the need to accept more inflation than it would prefer. Id.
In addition to the Member States’ loss of ability to correct inflation and unemployment imbalances, "[m]onetary union destroys the discipline of policy competition and thereby worsens the quality of the product—that is, it results in higher average inflation." Finally, countries have different optimal inflation rates.

The countries that currently maintain underdeveloped tax systems will tend to find inflation the most advantageous method of raising revenue, because the marginal cost of inflation will be less than the marginal cost of increasing taxes.

In the end, the advantages cannot outweigh the large-scale unemployment likely to result from unification.

In addition to the potentially destructive fiscal and economic policy implications, the EEC Member States are likely to face a number of other detrimental possibilities. First, the initiative will likely invalidate a number of bilateral treaties between the United States and the EEC Member States. "[F]riendship, commerce, and navigation treaties will have to be replaced, or the United States will not be protected from discrimination. Aviation treaties will also have to be renegotiated." Second, differences in labor markets, especially wages, will increase the cost of unification because they "lead to divergent wage and price developments, even if countries face the same disturbances." Institutional differences in labor markets are likely to continue long after the EEC has fully implemented currency unification. These differences may lead to wage and unemployment differences and ultimately cause severe adjustment problems. Finally, from 1981-1990 the average yearly GDP growth rates of countries in the EEC ranged from a low of 1.8 percent in the Netherlands to 2.9 percent in Spain. These differences in the natural growth rates of EEC Member States will lead to trade imbalances and balance-of-payments problems that could cause the eventual destruction of any union.

In the final analysis, economic reasoning will, as always, be subordinated to
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Currently, no political consensus exists in France, Italy, or the United Kingdom toward currency unification, and no evidence exists to support the belief that ideological differences within and among EEC members will disappear upon joining a monetary union.

It can, of course, be argued that governments behave the way they do merely because they are too . . . short-sighted to recognize how limited their real freedom of action is. But even if that is true, there is no reason to believe that they will suddenly cease being . . . short-sighted merely because they have promised to behave more wisely in the future.

Even if local governments relinquish power to an autonomous central bank, the people will still blame them for unpopular fiscal policy. For their own political protection, the local governments will be forced to take back the power that they relinquished. Flexible exchange rates are thus a reflection of the state autonomy necessary to control both local economies and the political realities of the situation. "Only a flexible-exchange-rate regime permits each country to choose its own degree of monetary discipline independently of choices made by others." In the final analysis, economic union requires monetary union for success, and the only way to achieve true monetary union is through political union. "And political union requires a different institutional structure, not merely when it has been achieved but as a condition to achieving it."

Unless a more flexible approach is adopted, prospects are not good for success of the EMU. If the political priority given to EMU remains low in two or more of the larger Member States, then little further movement can be expected. Because of the lack of institutional development at present, if political momentum begins to shift toward the EMU, the strain of integrating the higher-inflation countries is likely to overwhelm the current institutions. Currently, growth rates among member countries and inflation rates in those countries are significantly disparate. Thus, at the current point of evolution of the EMS a direct move to a system of fixed exchange rates could be disastrous for the EEC..
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Member States.\textsuperscript{314} The current development of political institutions for running the EMU is almost nonexistent and is unlikely to survive the political strains that are likely to emerge.\textsuperscript{315} Thus, skepticism about the likelihood of progress is justified.\textsuperscript{316}

C. Alternatives

Considering the preceding discussion, EEC Member States would do well to put their greatest effort toward solutions that will not become embroiled in the politics that are destined to destroy even the most successful agreements. Until the EEC is willing to have supranational elections for EEC political positions, the idea of relinquishing political power to an "independent" foreign body is likely to provoke a strong public reaction.\textsuperscript{317} Thus any solution attempting to develop greater economic unity among the EEC members will have to avoid this type of power delegation.

One example of how greater economic unity might be accomplished is through commercial joint efforts such as the Chunnel, the Airbus, or European Economic Interest Groupings.\textsuperscript{318} This type of international cooperation helps to build a manufacturing base within the entire region that would not be possible for the participating countries acting independently.\textsuperscript{319} These cooperative ventures may also help to coordinate economic policies in the participating countries by integrating the participants' economies.\textsuperscript{320} For example, if the economy worsens for a particular product, the affected countries must react with similar fiscal and economic policies in order to rectify the situation.\textsuperscript{321} This interaction would also allow for the tailored use of economic and fiscal policy within each country that is necessary because of the inherent differences in each member's economy.\textsuperscript{322} Even this type of cooperative solution will not prevent the inevitable economic downturns and upturns. In the end, however, it may prove more palatable than the alternative of states relinquishing their only power to control their economic situations: fiscal and economic policy.\textsuperscript{323}
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