Mitigation of Pore Generation in Laser Welding of Magnesium Alloy AZ31B in Lap Joint Configuration

Masoud Harooni
Teaching assistant, mharooni@smu.edu

Fanrong Kong
Southern Methodist University, fkong@smu.edu

Blair Carlson
General Motors, blair.carlson@gm.com

Radovan Kovacevic
Southern Methodist University, Kovacevi@lyle.smu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.smu.edu/engineering_mechanical_research

Part of the Manufacturing Commons

Recommended Citation
ABSTRACT
Magnesium, as the lightest structural metal, has been widely used in the automotive and aerospace industries. Porosity is the main issue in the welding of magnesium alloys and can be caused by surface coatings, hydrogen gas, pre-existing porosity, the collapse of an unstable keyhole and vaporization of alloying elements. In this study, the effect of the oxide layer on pore generation in the welding of AZ31B-H24 magnesium alloy is investigated. A fiber laser with a power of up to 4 kW is used to weld samples in a lap joint configuration. Two groups of samples are studied: as received (AR) surfaces (where an oxide layer remains on the surface) and treated surfaces. The surface treatment includes two techniques: mechanical removal (MR) and the use of a plasma arc (PA) as a preheating source. Also, a separate set of experiments are designed for preheating samples in a furnace in order to investigate whether the pore mitigation effect of a plasma arc is caused by preheating. Observations include a weld bead profile achieved through optical microscopy, chemical compositions tested by Electron Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS), and mechanical properties measured with a tensile test. The results obtained show that the preheating effect of a plasma arc procedure can effectively mitigate pore generation. The tensile-shear results reveal that PA samples have a higher strength than other groups of samples.
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INTRODUCTION
Manufacturing light weight transportation vehicles helps save fossil fuel resources. The automotive industry tries to replace heavy metal parts with light weight parts in order to produce economic automobiles that fit society’s needs. US government sources have declared that by using 10% lighter parts, a 7% reduction in fuel consumption would be achieved [1]. Magnesium is one of the lightest structural metals, a quarter of the weight of steel and a third lighter than aluminum [2]. Magnesium is categorized as green engineering metal since it is light, non-toxic and recyclable [2]. Also, magnesium has the best strength-to-weight ratio among commercial alloys [3]: 122 MPa compared to 103 MPa for aluminum and 45 MPa for low carbon steel [4]. Therefore, magnesium is one of the most promising metals for the production of light weight systems in the twenty-first century [2]. Magnesium alloy production for industry applications increased by 390% in the 12 years from 1995 to 2007 [5].

By realizing that there is a demand for an increase in magnesium alloy production in various industries around the world, especially in the automotive industry, then it becomes equally clear that there is also a need for related fields like assembly and joining to be developed. Today, different joining processes such as arc [6, 7], high energy beams including laser [8-14] and electron beam [15, 16], hybrid techniques [17] and friction stir welding [18] are used for producing magnesium alloys. In fusion joining processes, a large heat input vaporizes low melting alloying elements such as magnesium, aluminum and zinc which results in insufficient weld. This large heat input results in different varieties of welding defects [2]. Therefore, among different welding methods, laser welding has been given more attention because of its low heat input, narrow fusion zone, high depth/width ratio and good weld mechanical properties [2, 12].

One of the main issues in magnesium alloy welding is pore formation in the weld zone [2, 4, 8, 9, 14]. This defect can decrease the mechanical properties of weld joints, especially tensile strength and elongation [9]. The main reasons for porosity formation are coating on the surface [2, 8, 14, 19], pre-existing pores [4, 9], hydrogen pores [20], the collapse of unstable keyholes [21] and alloy elements with low melting points [2].
One of the sources of pore generation is hydrogen. The solubility of hydrogen is higher in molten magnesium than solidified magnesium and this can cause hydrogen porosity in the weld joint. When molten magnesium solidifies, its extra hydrogen is rejected and gas bubbles are formed [2, 4, 19]. Mikucki et al. [20] quantitatively studied the relationship between hydrogen gas and porosity formation in magnesium. They experimentally disclosed an approximate linear relation between hydrogen content and porosity generation and concluded that the hydrogen content should be kept as low as is practically possible.

Pre-existing pores are another type of porosity which is caused by hydrogen. These pores are formed during the manufacturing process of alloys. During the welding process, these existing pores coalesce to form larger pores [4, 9]. These pores can be mitigated by choosing suitable process parameters in die-cast magnesium alloys [4, 9]. The formation of pre-existing pores is more likely to occur during the welding process of die-cast magnesium alloys than with sand cast or wrought magnesium alloys [22].

An unstable keyhole is another source for porosity formation during the laser welding process of different alloys [21, 23, 24]. However, the possibility of the formation of these defects is less for magnesium alloys [4, 9]. Pastor et al. [25] reported that although an unstable keyhole is one of the main reasons for porosity formation in aluminum alloys, this is not an important issue in magnesium alloys [9]. Zhao et al. [9] studied keyhole and conduction as two different modes of laser welding of magnesium alloys and reported that no porosity change was shown in either of these two modes. This implies that an unstable keyhole is not the main cause of porosity formation in magnesium alloys. Also, the stability of the keyhole depends on the balance of two forces: surface tension and vapor pressure in the keyhole area [9]. Surface tension works to close the keyhole; however, vapor pressure works to keep it open. Since magnesium has a lower surface tension and a higher vapor pressure than aluminum, magnesium alloy properties form a keyhole that tends to be open and stable [9].

Coating on the surface of magnesium metal sheets is one of the causes of pore formation during the welding process [2, 14, 19]. Magnesium is susceptible to galvanic corrosion [2, 26], so the final product should undergo surface treatment before it is used in industries [27]. There are many different procedures which are used to treat magnesium metal surfaces such as chemical conversion coating, anodizing, organic coating, electroless nickel, gas phase deposition, plasma electrolyte oxidation and spray coating [1, 28]. Also, magnesium is a very active metal and reacts with oxygen to create an oxide layer on its surface; therefore, oxides are one of the main inclusions in the welding of magnesium alloys [2, 8].

AZ31B-H24 is an all-purpose Mg-Al-Zn magnesium alloy [19]. The term H24 is related to a hard-rolling procedure which creates a dark grey oxide layer on metal surfaces [19, 29]. This coating layer on the surface is one of the main reasons for pore generation in weld joints [2, 8, 19, 30]; especially when the welding joint is in an overlap configuration. This oxide layer absorbs moisture from the air [8, 30-33]. Magnesium oxide is not stable and reacts with water molecules in the atmosphere to form magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)2) [34]. Magnesium hydroxide has a low decomposition temperature of around 300 °C, and it is at this point that molecular water is released [35], which causes pore generation. There are different surface preparation approaches used before welding for removing the coating from surfaces, including chemical removing [2], mechanical removing such as sand paper [9, 10, 12], sand blasting [14] and preheating with different sources such as laser [36] and plasma arc [37].

In this study, the effect of the oxide layer on pore generation in laser welding and its remedy is investigated on an AZ31B magnesium alloy. A limited number of studies have been done in this area to the knowledge of the authors. Since most of the studies are on butt joint configurations [3, 10-14], the experiments are designed to study the effect of coating layers on weld quality in lap joint configuration. For this purpose, two groups of samples, as received and treated surfaces are studied. Cross-sectional views, EDS analysis and mechanical properties (tensile-shear) of the welded joints are examined in order to identify the oxide layer effect on the weld joint quality.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Based on experiments on AR samples and the observation of pore generation in weld metal, a series of experiments are designed to study the effect of the oxide layer on pore formation. Therefore, two groups of samples are introduced: as received and treated surfaces. Samples with an existing oxide layer on the surface are called as received (AR). Surface treated samples refer to mechanically removed (MR) and plasma arc preheated (PA) surfaces. For MR samples, the oxide layer on the surface is removed by sand paper. Although removing the coating layer through a mechanical procedure might result in a better quality of weld, especially at the interface, it has two negative effects. First, mechanical removing is a time consuming procedure for industrial use that is not cost-effective. Second, since magnesium alloys are susceptible to galvanic corrosion; removing this coating layer deteriorates the corrosion resistance of the samples. For this reason, a new procedure was introduced by adding a plasma arc to preheat the surface. As Figure 1 shows, the laser beam head and the plasma torch are set in tandem to move at the same speed. The distance between the laser head and the torch is set at 100 mm. Based on a series of plasma arc experiments on the metal surfaces, parameters of preheating are optimized to create a preheated surface which has the least melted area. The arc and laser parameters are shown in Table 1. Also, in a separate experiment, samples are placed in a furnace to investigate whether the effect of the plasma arc on porosity mitigation is due to preheating. For this purpose, two different temperatures of 150°C and 300°C are selected. Samples remain inside the
furnace for 5 minutes and are then brought out and laser welded.

A 4 kW fiber laser and a KUKA robot, as shown in Figure 1, are used for welding samples. Welding is performed with a focused beam on the top surface of two overlapped metal sheets. The spot diameter size of the focused laser beam is 0.6 mm. Experimental samples are fixed tight in a fixture to ensure that the same constraint is operative on all the coupons. After setting all the required parameters, a robot is run to preheat and weld the samples. A thermocouple system is used to record the temperature history in the plasma arc preheating process. The thermocouple tip is installed at the bottom surface of the upper sheet exactly under the plasma arc scanning line. The weld surface is protected by a shielding gas. Pure argon, with a flow rate of 60 standard cubic feet per hour (SCFH) in the opposite direction of the scanning speed and an inclination angle of 30 degree to horizontal, is fixed for all experiments. The selection of shielding gas parameters is referenced from the literature [8].

The chemical compositions of the base metal and weld samples are tested with the Scanning Electron Microscope- Energy Dispersive Spectrometer (SEM-EDS) provided by Zeiss-EDAX brands. The AZ31B magnesium alloy chemical composition is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arc</th>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Distance off (mm)</th>
<th>Electrode diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Speed (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2- Chemical composition of base metal tested by EDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Metal</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ31B</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>Bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Coating effect on pore formation

In order to identify the coating layer on a surface, EDS analysis is applied for AR and MR samples. The EDS test results of these groups of samples are shown in Figures 3a and 3b, respectively. When comparing these two figures, it is clear that the oxygen element is obviously decreased on the surface of the MR sample. This implies that the coating layer existing on the AR sample is a dense oxide layer which can be removed through a mechanical procedure. Magnesium starts to oxidize around 450°C [8] and the oxide layer on AZ31B-H24 is the result of the hard-rolling temper during the manufacturing process.
Comparing two main alloying elements—aluminum and zinc—reveals that the chemical compositions are not changed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>At%</th>
<th>Wt%</th>
<th>At%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Mechanically removed</td>
<td>As received</td>
<td>Mechanically removed</td>
<td>As received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>00.48</td>
<td>00.74</td>
<td>24.03</td>
<td>32.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>95.97</td>
<td>96.76</td>
<td>72.63</td>
<td>65.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>02.18</td>
<td>01.98</td>
<td>02.21</td>
<td>01.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>01.36</td>
<td>00.51</td>
<td>01.13</td>
<td>00.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>Correction</td>
<td>ZAF</td>
<td>Correction</td>
<td>ZAF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3 Results of EDS analysis for a) mechanically removed surface b) as received surface

Generated pores in AR samples are mainly located near the interface of lap joint configurations. The arrangement of pores implies that the source might be the existing oxide layer on the AZ31B magnesium alloy sheet. This is also supported by the findings reported in [2, 8, 14, 19, 30, 33]. Figure 4 shows cross-sectional views of AR, MR and PA samples. Also the pores are comparable to the research done by Liu et al. [39] in laser bond welding. They revealed that the formation of volatile adhesives between surfaces can cause pores at the interface due to a low decomposition temperature. In Figure 4a, pores are generated in the weld metal as a result of vaporized elements in the surface composition. In Figures 4c and 4e, where power increases, fewer pores are generated in the weld metal. This can be described by schematic pore formation at the interface, as shown in Figure 5 [39]. By observing this figure, when power increases, the molten pool and keyhole size increases and water molecules have a greater possibility of escaping through the molten pool. However, as seen in Figure 4h, when full penetration is achieved, the molten metal flows out from the molten pool due to the extremely low viscosity and surface tension of the molten magnesium [8]. It seems that the pressure of the vaporized elements creates pores at the interface.

Laser welded MR samples are shown in Figures 4f and 4i. The results show that by removing the oxide layer at interface, pore generation is mitigated at the interface of two metal sheets. This implies that the source of pore formation may be attributed to the oxide layer existing on the surface of the AZ31B magnesium alloy.

In Figures 4b, 4d, 4g and 4j, the cross-sectional results of PA samples are shown. The results show that pores are effectively mitigated at the interface. By preheating samples, it seems that vaporized elements, which are water molecules in the oxide layer and caused pores, are removed locally prior to the laser welding process. This results in the mitigation of pore
generation in the weld bead. In order to better understand the vaporized elements from the oxide layer, pores generated inside weld have been verified by EDS to reveal their chemical composition. Comparative chemical composition is made at a point very close to the pore edge and a point inside the weld metal matrix. In Figures 6a and 6b, EDS analyses results of the pore edge and weld metal matrix are presented, respectively. Results show that oxygen element concentration at the pore edge is increased in comparison with the weld metal chemical composition. This implies that the amount of oxygen inside the pore is higher than the weld metal matrix. As shown earlier in Figure 3, there is a high amount of oxygen on the coating layer of AR samples. However, melting of magnesium oxide might not be the pore source since its melting point is much higher than the magnesium melting point. Two possible reasons are discussed in what follows. First, the density of magnesium oxide is more than twice that of magnesium. This may be the cause of oxide inclusion in weld metal [8, 30]. Second, magnesium oxide absorbs moisture from air [8, 30-33], and since magnesium oxide is not stable, it reacts with water molecules to form magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)2) [8, 34]. Magnesium hydroxide has a low decomposition temperature of around 300 °C and it is at this point that molecular water is released [35]. The released water molecules result in hydrogen pores inside the weld metal in AR samples. In PA samples, preheating is performed to decompose magnesium hydroxide to water molecules. Water molecules are then vaporized prior to laser welding. Therefore, fewer pores are generated in the weld bead.

Figure 4 Cross-sectional view of welds for different pretreatments at welding speed of 30 mm/s

Figure 5 Schematic view of pore formation at interface [39]
In order to investigate the temperature between two overlapped sheets, thermocouple is applied at the interface of two sheet metals. Thermocouple is set at the bottom surface of the overlapped sheets concentric with the scanning line of the plasma torch.

The temperature history is shown in Figure 7. The results show that the temperature at the interface of overlapped metal sheets reach 300°C. Therefore, magnesium hydroxide reaches its decomposition temperature at the interface. This proves that the plasma arc process can effectively remove the source of pore generation at the interface of two metal sheets.

In order to check whether the plasma arc is effective in mitigating the formation of pores, samples are preheated in a furnace prior to welding. In Figure 8, cross-sections of different samples, which are exposed to preheating in the furnace, are shown. In Figures 8a, 8d and 8g, results of weld samples without preheating are shown. The pores are obviously inside the weld bead (the reason for this was discussed earlier). In Figures 8b, 8e and 8h, cross-sectional results of preheated samples of temperatures up to 150°C are shown. Although it seems pores are mitigated, they continue to exist in the weld bead. This shows that preheating up to 150°C does not effectively mitigate the pore generation at the interface of weld joints. In Figures 8c, 8f and 8i, the cross-sections of preheated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Inside matrix</th>
<th>Pore edge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>04.27</td>
<td>08.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>02.35</td>
<td>03.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>89.74</td>
<td>85.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>02.27</td>
<td>01.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>01.37</td>
<td>00.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Correction ZAF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>Correction ZAF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 6 EDS analysis of a) pore edge b) weld metal matrix](image)

![Figure 7 Temperature history of the point at the interface of two lapped magnesium alloy sheets](image)
samples in a temperature of 300°C are shown. The results show that by reaching 300°C, pores are removed from the interface of overlapped weld joints. This shows that by reaching this temperature, magnesium hydroxide is decomposed and pores are removed as expected. This also implies that the effect of a plasma arc mitigates pore generation at the interface of two metal sheets as the result of preheating.

Figure 8 Cross-section of preheated samples with different temperature at furnace with welding speed of 30 mm/s

Mechanical properties of weld joints

Because the cross-sectional view does not reveal all the information about the weld joint, the mechanical property of welds is tested. For each specimen, five samples were cut in order to study the tensile shear strength of the weld. The corresponding tensile test results are presented in Figure 9.

Figure 9 Tensile properties of weld joints with different pretreatments
The average tensile strength of PA samples is higher than AR and MR samples. Results show that by increasing power to 900 W, tensile strength is increased and, when full penetrated depth is achieved, the tensile strength is decreased. As shown in Figure 4, the increase in laser power mitigates pore formation, resulting in higher tensile strength. However, when full penetration is achieved, a molten metal drips out at the bottom sheet and pores are formed in the weld metal, resulting in lower tensile strength. The variation of tensile strength for AR samples is larger than in the case of PA and MR samples, which is related to the instability during the welding of AR samples. However, at P=1000 W, there is variation not only in AR samples, but MR samples as well. The cause of this variation may be attributed to the manual removal of the oxide layer on the surface, which causes different conditions for laser energy absorption. Also, the joining in MR samples that is initiated at this power and penetration to lower sheets is unstable. When the power is increased to 1100 W, the tensile strength in MR samples is higher than in the case of AR and PA samples. This is caused by the difference in the cross-sectional view of the weld bead show in Figures 4h, 4i and 4j. Based on the performed analyses it could be concluded that the best quality of weld is achieved for plasma arc treated surface under 900 W power of laser.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, laser welding of the AZ31B magnesium alloy was performed through different welding procedures. The major conclusions of this study are as follows:

1. In overlap joint configuration, pores were mainly formed at the interface of two sheets as a result of presence of an oxide layer on the surface of AZ31B at once when the oxide layer is removed from the surface, sound weld quality can be achieved.
2. By applying a plasma arc torch as a preheating source in front of a laser welding head, sound weld quality was achieved and pores at the interface were mitigated.
3. By preheating samples inside a furnace up to 300°C, pores were eliminated at the interface during laser welding which implies that the main contribution of the plasma arc as a preheating source is in the elimination of the hydrogen along the joint line.
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