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Bank Performance as the Economy Rebounds

Both short-and long-term interest rates have remained persistently high during the past year, a phenomenon that has spawned much discussion about whether rates are "too high" and the proper course of action the Administration and the Fed should take. Another aspect of the debate over high interest rates has been political "jawboning" which has suggested that banks have kept consumer and business loan rates artificially high. Typically, loan rates adjust to market rates with a lag because the base lending rate reflects an average of the current cost of funds and the cost of previously issued funds that are still outstanding. At the early stage of an economic recovery, banks may still have some longer term sources of funds locked in at higher rates. After these liabilities mature, the banks can renew this source at the lower, current market rates.

Loan rates did not fall as much (relative to market rates) in 1983 as they have in previous recoveries for two reasons. First, the average cost of funds for most banks has not declined as much as it did at the same stage of previous economic recoveries. This phenomenon precludes the base lending rate from dropping as much except for the largest money center banks. In past recoveries, banks have benefited from reintermediation of funds into accounts subject Regulation Q interest ceilings. But the introduction of Money Market Deposit Accounts (MMDAs) and Super-Now Accounts precludes this pattern from happening during this recovery. Second, changes in the bankruptcy code, effective in late 1979, raised the cost of producing loans which have been passed on to the borrowers.

The combined effect of these events have generally made previously acceptable return targets achievable only by taking on significantly more risk.
Banks could have charged higher loan rates or increase other fees and charges, but the competition from other financial institutions (as well as within the banking industry itself) in the lending and deposit markets limited the effectiveness of these strategies. Banks might have also lowered expenses through acquisitions to achieve its previously acceptable return targets, but the most recent research suggests that economies of scale in the banking industry are limited.

This paper examines in detail the reasons why the decline in lending rates at the early stage of this business cycle has been less (relative to market rates) than previous economic recoveries and to identify the types of risk banks may be forced to take if previously acceptable return targets are to be maintained. The paper concludes with the implications of these findings for bank management in the future.

Higher Relative Cost of Funds

The introduction of MMDAs and Super-Now Accounts in late 1982 -- early 1983 and the removal of rate ceilings on most savings type accounts in October, 1983, has had a dramatic effect on the deposit composition of most banks. For example, the MMDAs are estimated to have grown to $380 billion by early January, 1984 since they were authorized in December, 1982. Table 1 shows an example balance sheet for a hypothetical $100 million bank as of January 1, 1983, and January 1, 1984. Let us assume for this bank that Super NOW accounts grew $2 million and MMDAs grew $18 million during 1983. Part of this money was from new sources and part came from the bank's own low cost sources: demand deposits fell $2 million, passbook savings fell $6 million and time deposits fell $3 million. Table 2 presents some representative costs of and returns on funds as of January 1, 1983, and January 1, 1984. The roughly 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>January 1, 1983</th>
<th>Liabilities and Net Worth</th>
<th>January 1, 1984</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Due From</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Demand Deposits</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Invest</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NOW Accounts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Invest</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Super NOW Accounts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Passbook Savings</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Money Market Accounts</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Time Deposits</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th>January 1, 1983</th>
<th>Liabilities and Net Worth</th>
<th>January 1, 1984</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash and Due From</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Demand Deposits</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Invest</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>NOW Accounts</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Invest</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Super NOW Accounts</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Use</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Passbook Savings</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Money Market Accounts</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premises</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Time Deposits</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Borrowings and OL</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Equity</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
percent decline in most rates during 1983 would have resulted in a decline in interest expense from $7.0 million based on rates at the start of 1983 to slightly over $6.0 million based on the rates at the start of 1984 if the mix of funding sources had not changed.3 Table 3 illustrates that, rather than declining by $1 million, the bank’s actual cost of funds stayed roughly the same at the end of 1983 because of the changing mix of funds for the bank.

The lack of a significant decline in the bank’s cost of funds means the bank cannot lower its lending rate or it must accept a lower return on assets and return on equity unless some offsetting action is taken. Banks that have relied heavily upon accounts subject to Regulation Q interest rate ceilings for funding loan demand feel the greatest effect. Competition (and political jawboning) may limit the extent to which lending rates fall. An adequate net interest margin may be achieved only at the expense of accepting increased credit risk. However, loan demand continued to be weak, requiring that some new funds may have to be placed in investments which typically earn lower rates of return than loans.4 Return on asset targets are being squeezed by a lack of a significant decrease in the cost of funds, by declining earnings rates on assets, and by a shift in asset composition to lower earning assets.

Table 3 examines two alternative courses of action bank management could take. First, all newly attracted funds are assumed to be invested in loans earning 12 percent. Despite the higher credit risk (as reflected in a higher loan/asset ratio), the bank’s return on assets falls to .78 percent and its return on equity falls to 12.29 percent. Of course, investing in (riskier) loans with a higher return and higher credit risk could improve the bank’s performance. The second alternative is to assume that the newly attracted funds are invested in short-term instruments earning 8.5 percent. Such a
Table 2

Assumed Annual Percent Costs and Returns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>January, 1983</th>
<th>January, 1984</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demand Deposits</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOW Accounts</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Super NOWs</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passbook Savings</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Money Market Accts</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time Deposits</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borrowing and OL</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short-term Invest</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term Invest</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. The NOW and passbook savings account rates are the statutory maximums.
2. The Super NOW and MMDA rates represent recently quoted averages from several banks.
3. The short-term investment (91-day Treasury bill) and borrowing (federal funds) rates are the current rates at each point in time.
4. The time deposit, long-term investment, and loan returns are average figures, reflecting a weighted sum of current and past rates on amounts previously issued, but still outstanding.
Table 3
Resulting Annualized Income Statements
(in $ millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income</td>
<td>$11.70</td>
<td>$11.25</td>
<td>$10.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Interest Expense</td>
<td>$ 6.99</td>
<td>$ 6.96</td>
<td>$ 6.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Margin</td>
<td>$ 4.71</td>
<td>$ 4.29</td>
<td>$ 3.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+Other Income</td>
<td>$ 0.50</td>
<td>$ 0.60</td>
<td>$ 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-Other Expenses</td>
<td>$ 3.20</td>
<td>$ 3.30</td>
<td>$ 3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$ 2.01</td>
<td>$ 1.59</td>
<td>$ 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxes (46%)</td>
<td>$ 0.92</td>
<td>$ 0.73</td>
<td>$ 0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Income</td>
<td>$ 1.09</td>
<td>$ 0.86</td>
<td>$ 0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Assets</td>
<td>1.09%</td>
<td>0.78%</td>
<td>0.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return on Equity</td>
<td>18.09%</td>
<td>12.29%</td>
<td>9.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
solution promotes improved credit risk and better interest sensitivity matching (after all, MMDA's are interest sensitive), but this solution reduces ROA to .61 percent and ROE to 9.57 percent.

Another way to attempt to avoid a profit squeeze is for banks to take on more interest rate risk. With an upward sloping yield curve, a higher return on investments can be made by investing long-term taxable securities. But this strategy exposes the bank to increased interest rate risk. Moreover, the bank may be increasing its liquidity risk if the MMDA money is "hot". Should inflation flare up again, these funds may leave the bank for real assets such as real estate. Or, a continued robust recovery with a mild increase in interest rates (which would still cause material declines in long-term bond prices) may still expose the bank to liquidity risk if the funds are pulled out for investment in the stock market.

More investment in long-term municipal securities is yet another way to mitigate declining interest margins, especially given the high recent yields on these securities. This higher yield is required because of both higher credit risk and an increased supply of issues. Increased investment in long-term municipals, then, exposes the bank not only to additional interest rate risk, but possibly to additional credit risk.

Banks could avoid taking on more risk to maintain profitability by either increasing loan rates and non-interest income or by decreasing expenses. Increasing loan rates most likely is not a viable strategy because loan demand is still not strong and higher rates may not be supported by other banks, thus resulting in lost customers. Higher non-interest and fee income may also be an elusive goal, especially in deposit markets, where competition from money market funds, brokerage firms, and thrift institutions is intense. A significant reduction in expenses through acquisition to reduce the effect of
reduced interest margins is also not likely to be effective. Previous re-
search has shown that economies of scale in banking are small and tend to di-
minish rapidly once bank size exceeds $50 million in assets. If other income
cannot be increased enough or expenses reduced enough to offset the effect of
decreased interest margins, then higher risk may be the only feasible alterna-
tive for maintaining previous levels of profitability.

The New Bankruptcy Code and the Pricing of Loans

On October 1, 1979, the most significant change in the bankruptcy laws
since 1938 became effective, the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 (Public Law No. 95-598). The new Code was designed with three goals in mind: (1) provide the
debtor with a fresh start, (2) maximize the value of the debtor's property,
and (3) afford fair treatment of creditors, shareholders, and others with an
interest in the debtor's property. In achieving these goals the Code signifi-
cantly raised the cost of producing loans. For example, the automatic stay
provision prohibits any action on the part of a creditor to collect its debt,
whether secured or unsecured. The allowable amount of unattachable assets has
been increased (unless states decide to override the federal exemptions). No
knowledge test exists for preference payments. Setoffs can be disallowed
within 90 days of the bankruptcy filing even if the debtor was solvent at the
time or if the lender had security for the loan.

Faced with a new legal environment for lending that increased the cost of
making loans, banks had the choice of absorbing these costs or passing them on
to borrowers. The cumulative effects of competition from within and outside
of the banking industry made absorption of these costs impossible if banks
were to earn normal rates of return for their shareholders. Thus, the choice
was reduced to passing the costs on to the borrowers. But these additional
costs could be passed on in a variety of ways. Loan contract rates could be increased. Credit standards could be made more stringent, thus increasing the loan turn­down rate. Or less lending could take place.

Some evidence regarding the way in which these costs passed on in the form of higher loan rates is available from recent surveys (April 1980, 1982) of the membership of the National Federation of Independent Business. In these surveys, the respondents reported rates of interest on their most recent loans, characteristics of the loan (size, maturity, collateral requirements), and other information about the firm and its recent credit experience. The April, 1980 survey data included information about loans originated before and after the Code change and thus present an ideal opportunity to observe how the new bankruptcy Code affected contract interest rates on loans made to small businesses.

Any effort to identify the effect of the new Code on contract rates over the 1979 to 1980 period is complicated by the fact that loan rates rose dramatically at this time. Even if the change in the level of interest rates is controlled, attributing any remaining change in contract rates to the new Code is a very weak test. But the new Code does not affect all loan contracts in the same way. By identifying these differential effects on specific types of contracts and then by controlling for changes in market rates of interest and differences in borrower risk, a better test for the effect of the new Code on loan rates can be made.

The revised Code has a differential effect on non-corporate versus corporate loans. An expanded list of property exemptions (i.e., unattachable assets) reduces the pool of assets available to satisfy a bankruptcy proceeding and raises expected bad debt losses. This provision only affects personal loans, such as those made to proprietorships and partnerships, and not those
to corporations. The new Code also allows proprietorships access to Chapter 13 bankruptcy filing, which has the effect of delaying liquidation proceedings while the bankrupt debtor files a plan to repay debts out of future income. Both of these provisions in the revised Code should increase the cost of making loans to non-corporate small businesses relative to corporate ones and, therefore, reduce the spread between corporate and non-corporate loans after the effective date of the Code.

The second differential effect pertains to secured versus unsecured loans. All of the provisions listed above (automatic stay, preferences, set-off) raise the cost of making both secured and unsecured loans. But the provision of adequate protection for secured creditors gave this class of creditors an advantage over unsecured creditors not available in the previous bankruptcy act. The adequate protection clause established for the first time in the history of bankruptcy law how a debtor or trustee in possession may use a lender's collateral. With the benefit of adequate protection, the cost of making an unsecured loan should rise relative to an secured loan, causing the spread between secured and unsecured loans to decline after the effective date of the Code.

The evidence regarding these hypotheses is presented in Table 4. Short-term commercial bank loan rates obtained from almost 700 small businesses in the April, 1980 survey were used in the computations. The data are taken from the results of a regression analysis that controlled for changes in the market rates of interest (the 91-day Treasury bill rate) and borrower risk (using years in business and loan size as proxies). The spread between secured and unsecured loans fell about 90 basis points (122-135), on average, after the Code change, even after controlling for the level of interest rates and for borrower risk. The spread between corporate and non-corporate loan
Table 4

Small Business Short-term Loan Rates: Before and After the Bankruptcy Code Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>April, 1980 Pre-Code</th>
<th>April, 1980 Post Code</th>
<th>April, 1982 Post-Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secured vs. Unsecured</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate vs. Non-corporate</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rates also fell, about 70 basis points on average (113-431). The April, 1982 survey data confirmed the effects of the Code change on small business loan rates. Non-corporate loan rates were not significantly different from corporate loan rates and secured loan rates were above unsecured loan rates by approximately the same amount as they were after the Code change in the first quarter of 1980 (about 37 basis points).

Conclusion

Banking interest margins are under extreme pressure as the economy moves into the second year of positive real economic growth. In the past, these margins may have widened slightly at this stage of the recovery because of reintermediation of funds into lower rate accounts subject to regulation Q. But the higher overall level of rates plus the availability of MMDA and Super-Now Accounts have precluded this reintermediation of funds into lower rate accounts. In 1983, funds have moved from lower rate passbook accounts into those higher rate MMDA accounts for many banks.

Weak loan demand, increased lending competition from thrifts, and thrift competition for MMDA funds have all squeezed interest margins, with the overall effect of keeping lending rates higher (relative to other market rates of interest) than they have been in previous recoveries. Moreover, the new Bankruptcy Code has also increased the base lending rate by raising expected bad debt losses.

The combination of the lack of a significant decline in the cost of funds with limited lending opportunities early in an economic recovery may force banks into taking more risk to attain their 'normal' return targets. This higher risk arises from three sources. First, because loan demand is typically weak at the beginning of an economic recovery, increased credit risk may
have to be taken on to maintain normal net interest margins. Second, if banks attempt to offset the lack of a decline in the cost of funds through investment in long-term securities (taxable or non-taxable), they expose themselves to increased interest rate risk. And third, if the new MMDA money is 'hot' and interest rates do rise suddenly, any shift of these funds out of banks into real or other financial assets would create liquidity problems.

The implications of this new banking environment should be uncomfortable, but clear to bank managers if banking profitability is to be maintained: banking risks probably have to be increased in the future. Above average risk may now have to be taken to attain what was once an average return on assets' target, even if loan demand picks up. If banks extend the maturity of their investment portfolios to attain these targets, they would be well-advised to carefully evaluate their capital position. A sensitivity analysis of a scenario with rising rates and 'fleeing' MMDA money could be a sobering exercise.

The alternative to taking on more risk is to recognize that with the deregulation of the liability side of the balance sheet and intense competition from outside the industry, the banking industry has become more competitive. Unfortunately, more competition usually brings lower rates of return. Bankers cannot individually stop these competitive pressures, but they can adapt by recognizing the emerging new set of risk-return trade-offs.
Footnotes


3 The $6.0 million interest expense figure is computed by applying the January, 1984 interest costs to the January 1, 1983 balance sheet amounts (and thus assumes no asset growth as well as no change in funding mix).

4 The combined effects of more liberal depreciation allowances and significant paring of obsolete production capacity has greatly improved corporate cash flow.

5 Many smaller banks have begun to offer discount brokerage services, which large regional and money center banks have begun to offer an array of services to their downstream correspondents (see D. W. Hilder, "Some Big Banks Begin Marketing Advanced Services to Smaller Banks," Wall Street Journal, July 25, 1983). Whether the new service offerings, will generate sufficient income to offset narrower interest margins remains to be seen.


7 A more detailed discussion of these results is in Jonathan A. Scott, "The Effect of the Bankruptcy Reform Act of 1978 on Small Business Loan Pricing," unpublished manuscript, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, TX, 1984.
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